LOGIC:

OR,

THE RIGHT USE OF REASON

IN THE

INQUIRY AFTER TRUTH.

WITH

A VARIETY OF RULES TO GUARD AGAINST ERROR IN THE AFFAIRS OF RELIGION AND HUMAN LIFE, AS WELL AS IN THE SCIENCES.

BY ISAAC WATTS, D. D.

A NEW EDITION, CORRECTED.

BERWICK:
PRINTED FOR W. PHORSON; B. LAW AND SON, AVEMARIA-LANE, LONDON.

M DCC XCIII.



of

frie the fer was too that tree the and and ruff and cies bet row

enc hap mif divi nati of r

SIR JOHN HARTOPP, BART.

SIR,

hands what was written originally for the assistance of your younger studies, and was then presented to you. It was by the repeated importunities of our learned friend Mr. John Eames, that I was persuaded to revise these Rudiments of Logic; and when I had once suffered myself to begin the work, I was drawn still onward far beyond my first design, even to the neglect, or too long delay of other pressing and important demands that were upon me,

It has been my endeavour to form every part of this treatife both for the inftruction of students, to open their way into the sciences, and for the more extensive and general service of mankind, that the Gentleman and the Christian might find their account in the perusal as well as the Scholar. I have therefore co'lected and proposed the chief principles and rules of right judgment in matters of common and sacred importance, and pointed out our most frequent mistakes and prejudicies in the concerns of life and religion, that we might better guard against the springs of error, guilt and sor-

You know, SIR, the great design of this noble science is to rescue our reasoning powers from their unhappy slavery and darkness; and thus with all due submission and deference it offers a humble affistance to divine revelation. Its chief business is to relieve the natural weaknesses of the mind by some better efforts of nature; it is to diffuse a light over the understand-

mg

DEDICATION.

ing in our inquiries after truth, and not to furnish the tongue with debate and controversy. True Logic is not that noify thing that deals all in dispute and wrangling, to which former ages had debased and confined it; yet its disciples must acknowledge also, that they are taught to vindicate and defend the truth, as well as to fearch it out. True Logic doth not require a long detail of hard words to amuse mankind, and to puff up the mind with empty founds, and a pride of false learning; yet some distinctions and terms of art are neceffary to range every idea in its proper class, and to keep our thoughts from confusion. The world is now grown fo wife as not to fuffer this valuable art to be engroffed by the Schools, In fo polite and knowing an age, every Man of Reason will covet some acquaintance with Logic, fince it renders its daily fervice to Wisdom and Virtue, and to the affairs of common Life as well as to the Sciences.

I will not presume, SIR, that this little book is improved since its first composure, in proportion to the improvements of your manly age. But when you shall please to review it in your retired hours, perhaps you may refresh your own memory in some of the early parts of Learning: and if you find all the additional remarks and rules made so familiar to you already by your own observation, that there is nothing new among them, it will be no unpleasing reflection that you have so far anticipated the present zeal and labour of,

SIR,

Your most faithful and

Obedient Servant,

London, Aug. 24. 1724.

I. WATTS.

THE

CH

SeE

Se&

Sec

Sec

Sec

Sec

Cha

Sec

Sec

Sec

Sec

Cha

Sec

Sec

Sec

FIE

h the

rangfined

they ell as

long
off up
earn-

ne-

now to be ng an naintce to n Life

o the

early itional

dy by mong have

TS.

THE

THE introduction, and general scheme, Page	1
The first Part, viz. Of Perception and Ideas.	7
CHAP. I. Of the nature of ideas.	8
CHAP. II. Of the objects of perception, Sect. 1. Of being in general,	9 ib.
Sect. 2. Of substances, and their various kinds, Sect. 3. Of modes, and their various kinds; and	I,I
first of essential and accidental modes	17
Sect. 4. The farther divisions of mode,	21
Sect. 5. Of the ten categories. Of substance modesied, Sect. 6. Of not-being.	<i>ib.</i>
Sect. 2. Of fimple and complex, compound and col-	ib.
lective ideas, Sect. 3. Of univerfal and particular ideas, real and	31
imaginary,	33
Sect. 4. The division of ideas, with regard to their qualities.	37
Chap. IV. Of words, and their feveral divisions, to- gether with the advantage and danger of	
them,	42
Sect. 1. Of words in general, and their use,	ib.
Sect. 2. Of negative and positive terms,	47
Sect. 3. Of fimple and complex terms.	49
>	ect.

Sect. 5. Of concrete and abstract terms, Sect. 6. Of univocal and equivocal words, Sect. 7. Of various kinds of equivocal words,	52 53 54 56 61
	64 ib. 65 68 69
Chap. VI. Special rules to direct our conceptions of things, Sect. 1. Of gaining clear and distinct ideas, Sect. 2. Of the definition of words or names, Sect. 3. Directions concerning the definition of	71 ib. 73
names, Sect. 4. Of the definition of things, Sect. 5. Rules of the definition of the thing, Sect. 6. Observations concerning the definition of things,	75 88 92 95
Sect. 8. Of division, and the rules of it, Sect. 9. Of a comprehensive conception of things,	108
and of distribution, Sect. 11. Of an orderly conception of things, Sect. 12. These five rules of conception exemplified, Sect. 12. An illustration of these five rules by simi-	112 116 118

The fecond Part, viz. Of Judgment and Proposition. 124

CHAP. I. Of the nature of a proposition, and its feveral parts, 125

Chap

Chap

Sect.

Sect.

Sect Sect

Sect

Cha

Sed Sed Sed Sed

Cha

Cha

Sec

Sec

Sec

Sec

Sec

Sec

52	Chap. II. Of the various kinds of propositions, Sect. 1. Of universal, particular, indefinite, and sin-	128
53	gular propositions,	129
54 56	Sect. 2. Of affirmative and negative propositions, Sect. 3. Of the opposition and conversion of pro-	136
. 61	positions,	138
	Sect. 4. Of pure and moral propositions,	141
s, 64	Sect. 5. Of fingular propositions, whether simple or	
ib.	complex.	143
65 68	Sect. 6. Of compound propositions,	145
	Sect. 7. Of true and false propositions,	150
69	Sect. 8. Of certain and dubious propositions, of	
ons	knowledge and opinion,	153
71	Sect. 9. Of senses, consciousness, intelligence, rea-	
ib.	fon, faith and inspiration.	156
	Char III The Gainer of felfe independ on the	
of 73	Chap. III. The fprings of false judgment, or the	
	doctrine of prejudices,	163
75 88	Sect. 1. Prejudices arising from things,	164
92	Sect. 2. Prejudices arising from words, Sect. 3. Prejudices arising from ourselves,	171
of	Sect. 4. Prejudices arising from other persons.	173 186
95	seed 4. Trejudices arming from other perions	100
102	Chap. IV. General directions to affift us in judg	
104	ing aright.	200
ngs,	la in continue de la companya de la la la companya de la la companya de la la companya de la la companya de la companya del companya de la companya del companya de la comp	
108	Chap. V. Special rules to direct us in judging of	f
ngs,	particular objects,	216
116	Sect I Principles and vules of underment concern	•
ied, 118	ing the objects of fenfe,	2.17
mi-	sect. 2. Principles and rules of judgment in matter	S
120	of reason and speculation,	220
	Sect. 3. Principles and rules of judgment in mat	-
	ters of morality and rengion,	225
	Sect. 4. Principles and rules of judgment in mat	
ion.124	ters of human prudence,	229
dits	sect. 6. Principles and rules of judgment in ma	232
125	ters of divine testimony,	236
	Total of divine telemony,	Sect.
C1		2000

things past, present and to come, by the

Sect. 7. Principles and rules of judging concerning

mere use of reason.

	
The third Part, viz. Of Reasoning and Syllogism.	242
CHAP. I. Of the nature of a fyllogism, and of	
the parts of which it is composed.	243
Chap. II. Of the various kinds of fyllogisms, with	
particular rules relating to them,	246
Sect. 1. Of universal and particular syllogisms, both	ib.
negative and affirmative,	- 100
Sect. 2. Of plain, simple fyllogisms and their rules, Sect. 3. Of the moods and figures of simple syllo-	
gifins,	251
Sect. 4. Of complex syllogisms.	254
Sect. 5. Of conjunctive fyllogisms,	257
Sect. 6. Of compound fyllogisms,	261
Sect. 7. Of the middle terms, of common places or	
topics, and invention of arguments,	265
Sect. 8. Of feveral kinds of arguments and demon-	11 4 22
ftrations.	267
Chap. III. The do drine of Syllogisms,	271
Sect. 1. Of feveral kinds of fophilms and their folu-	100
Sect. 2. Two general tests of true syllogisms, and	272
methods of folving all fophisms,	280
Chap. IV. Some general rules to direct our reason-	•
ing.	282

The fourth Part, viz. Of Method.

and analytic.

HAP. I. The nature and kinds of method,

viz, natural and arbitrary, fynthetic

295 Chap. II. General and special rules of method. 303

LOGIC:

294

it to

the fello I mo with imp grea 1 cc reaf

Eur

the fron

mar

239

LOGIC:

ig ie 239

. 242

243

246 th

ib.

265

267

271

272

280

282

294

295

OGIC:

on-

olu-

and

fon-

hod,

s, 247

of

th

OR,

THE RIGHT USE OF REASON.

THE INTRODUCTION AND GENERAL SCHEME.

OGIC is the art of using reason* well in our inquiries after truth, and the communication of it to others.

Reason* is the glory of human nature, and one of the chief eminencies whereby we are raised above our fellow-creatures the brutes in this lower world.

Reason, as to the power and principle of it, is the common gift of God to all men, though all are not favoured with it by nature in an equal degree; but the acquired improvements of it in different men, make a much greater distinction between them than nature had made. I could even venture to say, that the improvement of reason hath raised the learned and the prudent in the European world, almost as much above the Hottentots,

^{*} The word Reason in this place is not confined to the mere faculty of reasoning or inferring one thing from another, but includes all the intellectual powers of man.

and other favages of Africa, as those favages are by nature superior to the birds, the beasts, and the fishes.

Now the design of Logic is to teach us the right use of our reason, or intellectual powers, and the improvement of them in ourselves and others: This is not only necessary in order to attain any competent knowledge in the sciences, or the affairs of learning, but to govern both the greater and the meaner actions of life. It is the cultivation of our reason by which we are better enabled to distinguish good from evil, as well as truth from salsehood; and both these are matters of the highest importance, whether we regard this life, or the life to come.

The pursuit and acquisition of truth is of infinite concernment to mankind. Hereby we become acquainted with the name of things both in heaven and earth, and their various relations to each other. It is by this means we discover our duty to God and our fellow-creatures; by this we arrive at the knowledge of natural religion, and learn to confirm our faith in divine revelation, as well as to understand what is revealed. Our wisdom, prudence, and piety, our present conduct and our future hope, are all influenced by the use of our rational powers in the search after truth.

There are several things that make it very necessary that our reason should have some affishance in the exer-

cise or use of it.

The first is, the depth and difficulty of many truths and the weakness of our reason to see far into things a once, and penetrate to the bottom of them. It was a saying among the ancients, Veritas in puteo, truth lies in a well; and, to carry on this metaphor, we may very justly say, that logic does, as it were, supply with steps whereby we may go down to reach the water; or it frames the links of a chain, whereby we may draw the water up from the bottom. Thus, by the means of many reasonings well connected together philosophers in our age have drawn a thousand truth out of the depths of darkness, which our fathers were utterly unacquainted with.

Anoth

to

C

in

n

th

be

in

th

fta

fa

pc

an

of

th

an

tic

ca

W

are

pai

edi

qui

flat

of

ver

fpin

our

we

ma

orig

diff

gre:

the

the

for

real

trut

forn

by nanes.

right
ne imThis is
npetent
arning,
actions
nich we
as well
atters of
life, or

infinite
ine acven and
. It is
and our
owledge
th in diit is rer prefent
I by the
ath.
neceffan

the exerty truths, things at truth lies we may fupply us the way we may so, by the together

Anoth

nd truth

hers wer

Another thing that makes it necessary for our reason to have some affiftance given it, is the difguise and false colours in which many things appear to us in this present imperfect state. There are a thousand things which are not in reality what they appear to be, and that both in the natural and moral world; so that the fun appears to be flat as a plate of filver, and to be lefs than twelve inches in diameter; the moon appears to be as big as the fun; and the rainbow appears to be a large fubflantial arch in the fky; all which are in reality gross falsehoods. So knavery puts on the face of justice; hypocrify and fuperfittion wear the vizard of piety, deceit and evil are often clothed in the shapes and appearances of truth and goodness. Now logic helps us to strip off the outward difguise of things, and to behold them and judge of them in their own nature.

There is yet a farther proof of our intellectual or rational powers needing some affistance, and that is, because they are so frail and fallible in the present state: We are imposed upon at home as well as abroad; we are deceived by our fenses, by our imaginations, by our passions and appetites; by the authority of men, by education and custom, &c.; and we are led into frequent errors, by judging according to these false and flattering principles, rather than according to the nature of things. Something of this frailty is owing to our very constitution, man being compounded of flesh and spirit; something of it arises from our infant state, and our growing up by finall degrees to manhood; fo that we form a thousand judgments before our reason is mature. But there is still more of it owing to our original defection from God, and the foolish and evil dispositions that are found in fallen man; so that one great part of the design of logic is to guard us against the delufive influences of our meaner powers, to cure the mistakes of immature judgment, and to raise us in fome measure from the ruins of our fall.

It is evident enough from all these things, that our reason needs the affistance of art in our inquiries after truth or duty; and without some skill and diligence in forming our judgment aright, we shall be led into fre-

A 2

quent

quent mistakes, both in matters of science, and in matters of practice; and some of these mistakes may prove fatal too.

The art of logic, even as it affifts us to gain the knowledge of the sciences, leads us on towards virtue and happiness; for all our speculative acquaintance with things should be made subservient to our better conduct in the civil and the religious life. This is infinitely more valuable than all speculations, and a wife man will use them chiefly for this better purpose.

All the good judgment and prudence that any man exerts in his common concerns of life, without the advantage of learning, is called natural logic; and it is but a higher advancement, and a farther affiftance of our rational powers, that is designed by and expected

from this artificial logic.

In order to attain this, we must inquire what are the principal operations of the mind which are put forth in the exercise of our reason; and we shall find them to be these four, viz. Percention, judgment, argumentation, and disposition.

Now the art of logic is composed of those observations and rules, which men have made about these four operations of the mind, perception, judgment, reason. ing, and disposition, in order to affist and improve

them.

- I. Perception, conception, or apprehension, is the mere simple contemplation of things offered to our mind, without affirming or denying any thing concerning them. So we conceive or think of a horse, a tree high, fwift, flow, animal, time, motion, matter, mind life, death, &c. The form under which these thing appear to the mind, or the refult of our conception of apprehension, is called an idea.
- II. Judgment is that operation of the mind, where by we join two or more ideas together by one affirma ope tion or negation; that is, we either affirm or deny this is a to be that. So this tree is high; that horse is no fwift; the mind of man is a thinking being; men matte

ma

goo gov

the

and

I

the

pro

or i

the

fitio

we

mir

tha

enc

tha

clud

evil

Go

twe

diff

be a

foni call

who

whi

fucl

of i

the

thou con

is c

prove um the virtue ce with ondud

n mat-

nan will ny man the adid it is ance of

xpected

finitely

are the forth in them to umenta-

observanese four reason. improve

1, is the d to our concerne, a tree

fe is no ng; men matte

matter has no thought belonging to it; God is just; good men are often miserable in this world; a righteous governor will make a difference betwixt the evil and the good; which fentences are the effect of judgment, and are called Propositions.

III. Argumentation or reasoning is that operation of the mind, whereby we infer one thing, that is, one proposition from two or more propositions premised: or it is the drawing a conclusion, which before was either unknown, or dark or doubtful, from some propofitions which are more known and evident. So when we have judged that matter cannot think, and that the mind of man doth think, we then infer and conclude that therefore the mind of man is not matter.

So we judge that a just governor will make a difference between the evil and the good; we judge also that God is a just governor; and from thence we conclude, that God will make a difference betwixt the

evil and the good.

This argumentation may be carried on farther; thus, God will one time or another make a difference between the good and the evil; but there is little or no difference made in this world: Therefore there must be another world wherein this difference shall be made.

These inferences or conclusions are the effects of reafoning; and the three propositions taken all together are

called a fylogism or argument.

IV. Disposition is that operation of the mind, whereby we put the ideas, propositions, and arguments, which we have formed concerning one subject, into er, mind fuch an order as is fittest to gain the clearest knowledge fe thing of it, to retain it longest, and to explain it to others in eption the best manner; or, in short, it is the ranging of our thoughts in fuch order as is best for our own and others. conception and memory. The effect of this operation. d, where is called method. This very description of the four e affirma operations of the mind, and their effects in this order deny this is an instance or example of method.

A 3

Now

Now, as the art of logic affifts our conception, for gives us a large and comprehensive view of the subject we inquire into, as well as a clear and distinct knowledge of them. As it regulates our judgment and our reasoning, so it secures us from mistakes, and gives us a true and certain knowledge of things; and as it surnishes with method, so it makes our knowledge of things both easy and regular, and guards our thoughts from confusion.

Logic is divided into four parts, according to the four operations of the mind, which it directs, and

therefore we shall treat of it in this order.

TH

ou

or

pro

THE

FIRST PART

OF

LOGIC.

OF PERCEPTIONS AND IDEAS.

THE first part of logic contains observations and precepts about the first operation of the mind, perception, or conception; and fince all our knowledge, how wide and large soever it grow, is founded upon our conceptions and ideas, here we shall consider,

1. The general nature of them.

2. The objects of our conception, or the archetypes or patterns of these ideas.

3. The feveral divisions of them.

4. The words and terms whereby our ideas are expressed.

5. General directions about our ideas.

6. Special rules to direct our conceptions.

CHAP

TH

on, for full the full

ngs bott n confu

to the

tion be p

of o

of o are

idea

feni

CHAP. I.

OF THE NATURE OF IDEAS.

IRST, the nature of conception or perceptions but shall just be mentioned, though this may feen conf to belong to another science rather than logic.

Perception is that act of the mind (or, as fome phi. or to losophers call it, rather a passion or impression), where about by the mind becomes conscious of any thing, as when A I feel hunger, thirst, or cold, or heat; when I fee gene horse, a tree, or a man; when I hear a human voice shap or thunder, I am conscious of these things, and this is we called perception. If I study, meditate, wish, or fear &c. I am conscious of these inward acts also, and my mind espe perceives its own thoughts, wishes, fears, &c.

An idea is generally defined a representation of a thing part in the mind; it is a representation of something that we in t have feen, felt, heard, &c. or been conscious of. That side notion or form of a horse, a tree, or a man, which is in the mind, is called the idea of a horse, a tree, or a man.

That notion of hunger, cold, found, colour, thought, or wish, or fear, which is in the mind, is called the idea of hunger, cold, found, wish, &c.

It is not the outward object or thing which is perceived, viz. the horse, the man, &c. nor is it the very perception or fense and feeling, viz. of hunger or cold &c. which is called the idea; but it is the thing as it exists in the mind by way of conception or representa-

^{*} The words Conception and Perception are often used promiscuously, as I have done here, because I would not embarrass a learner with too many distinctions; but if I were to distinguish them, I would say perception is the consciousness of an object when prefent; conception is the forming an idea of the object whether present or absent.

tion that is properly called the idea, whether the object

be prefent or absent.

As a horse, a man, and a tree, are the outward objects of our perception, and the outward archetypes or patterns of our ideas, fo our own fenfations of hunger, cold, &c. are also inward archetypes or patterns of our ideas; ception but the notions or pictures of these things, as they are ay feen confidered or conceived in the mind, are precifely the ideas that we have to do with in logic. To fee a horfe, ome phi or to feel cold, is one thing; to think of and converse where about a man, a horse, hunger, or cold, is another.

Among all these ideas, such as represent bodies are as when I fee generally called images, especially if the idea of the n voice shape be included. Those inward representations which d this is we have of spirit, thought, love, hatred, cause, effect, or fear &c. are more pure and mental ideas, belonging more my mind especially to the mind, and carry nothing of shape or fense in them. But I shall have occasion to speak more f a thing particularly of the original, and the distinction of ideas, that we in the third chapter. I proceed therefore now to con-

That fider the objects of our ideas.

CHAP. II.

OF THE OBJECTS OF PERCEPTION.

SECT. I.

Of Being in General.

HE object of perception is that which is reprefented in the idea, that which is the archetype or pattern, according to which the idea is formed; and thus

which is tree, or

thought, alled the

is perthe very or cold ng as it presentation

re often ecause I y distincould fay nen pree object thus judgment, propositions, reasons, and long d courses, may all become the objects of perception; b in this place we speak chiefly of the first and more fin ple objects of it, before they are joined and formed in propositions or discourses.

Every object of our idea is called a theme, wheth it be a being or not being; for not being may be pro posed to our thoughts, as well as that which has a rebeing. But let us first treat of beings, and that in ting.

largest extent of the word.

A being is confidered as possible, or as actual.

When it is confidered as possible, it is faid to ha are confidered as possible wood are confidered as possible. an essence or nature. Such were all things before the but creation. When it is confidered as actual, then it faid to have existence also. Such are all things which to ac are created, and God himself the creator.

Essence, therefore, is but the very nature of a the being, whether it be actually existing or no. A rose winter has an effence, in fummer it has existence also tice,

Note. There is but one being which includes ext mea ence in the very effence of it, and that is God, wi firsy therefore actually exists by natural and eternal necessit to the but the actual existence of every creature is very distin natur from its essence, for it may be or may not be, as Go man pleafes.

Again, every being is considered either as subsisting the in and by itself, and then it is called a substance; or main fubfifts in and by another, and then it is called a ma A b or manner of being. Though few writers allow mo bod to be called a being in the fame perfect fense as a ful for france is; and fome modes have evidently more of m entity or being than others, as will appear when being come to treat of them. These things will furnish matter for larger discourse in the following sections.

SECT beir

It

to lo

dent

depe

B

WOO hou

of n leng refit

of 1

long di tion; b more fin rmed in

, wheth

then it

re of a the mode.

SECT. II.

Of Substances, and their various Kinds.

y be pro A Substance is a being which can substitute by itself, without dependence upon any other created benat in ting. The notion of substifting by itself gives occasion to logicians to call it a substance. So a horse, a house, wood, stone, water, fire, a spirit, a body, an angel, are called substances, because they depend on nothing then it

It has been usual also in the description of substance ngs who to add, it is that which is the subject of modes or accidents; a body is the substance or subject, its shape is

A rose But lest we be led into mistakes, let us here take noence also tice, that when a substance is said to subsist without dependence upon another created being, all that we ides exi mean is, that it cannot be annihilated, or utterly de-God, white froyed and reduced to nothing, by any power inferior necessit to that of our creator, though its present particular form, ery diffin nature, and properties may be altered and destroyed by , as Gomany inferior causes; a horse my die and turn to dust; wood may be turned into fire, smoke, and ashes; a house into rubbish, and water into ice or vapour; but fubfill the fubstance or matter of which they are made still rence; or mains, though the forms and shapes of it are altered. ed a mo A body may cease to be a horse or a house, but it is a llow mo body ftill; and in this fense it depends only upon God as a ful for its existence.

ore of m Among substances, some are thinking or conscious when beings, or having a power of thought, fuch as the mind furnish of man, God, angels. Some are extended, and solid ctions. or impenetrable; that is, they have dimensions of length, breadth, and depth, and have also a power of refistance, or exclude every thing of the same kind from SECT being in the same place. This is the proper character

of matter or body. As

com

phic

fron

prop

fuffi

thef

ing

we :

folic

unk

and

is b

fubf

call

whi of v fubi

of ta

us i

read

N

F

I

As for the idea of space, whether it be void or fu that is, a vacuum or a plenum, whether it be inte fperfed among all bodies, or may be supposed to rea beyond the bounds of the creation, it is an argume too long and too hard to be disputed in this place wh the nature of it is. It has been much debated wheth it be a real fubstance, or a more conception of the min whether it be the immensity of the divine nature, the mere order of co-existent beings; whether it bet manner of our conception of the distances of bodies, a mere nothing. Therefore I drop the mention of here, and refer the reader to the first essay among the Philosophical Essays by I. W. published 1733.

Now, if we feelude space out of our consideration there will remain but two forts of substances in t world, that is, matter and mind; or, as we otherw call them, body and spirit; at least we have no ideast

any other fubstance but these *.

Amor

* Because men have different ideas and notions fubstance, I thought it not proper entirely to omit accounts of them, and therefore have thrown them in

the margin.

Some philosophers suppose that our acquaintance wit which matter or mind reaches no farther than the mere pn this perties of them, and that there is a fort of unknow " o being, which is the fubstance or the subject by whit " t these properties of solid extension and of cogitation a " p fupported, and in which these properties inhere or ext " al But perhaps this notion arises only from our turnin " ic the mere abstracted or logical notion of substance (" n felf-fubfifting into the notion of a diffinct, physical, " ca natural being, without any necessity. Solid extensio a ic feems to me to be the very fubstance of matter, or co all bodies; and a power of thinking, which is always? act, feems to be the very substance of all spirits; toon God himself is an intelligent, almighty power; nor prop there any need to feek for any other fecret and w known being or abstracted substance entirely distin

PART or fu be inte to read argume lace wh

wheth the min nature, it bet odies, ion of mong t

fideration | es in t o ideas

notions omit i them in

t and un

ely disting

from

Among fubstances, some are called simple, some are compound, whether the words be taken in a philosophical or a vulgar fense.

B

Simple

from these, in order to support the several modes or properties of matter or mind; for these two ideas are fufficient for that purpose; therefore I rather think these are substances.

It must be confessed, when we say, spirit is a thinking substance, and matter is an extended solid substance, we are fometimes ready to imagine, that extension and folidity are but mere modes and properties of a certain unknown substance or subject which supports them, and which we call body; and that a power of thinking otherw is but a mere mode and property of some unknown fubstance or subject which supports it, and which we call spirit; but I rather take this to be a mere mistake Amor which we are led into by the grammatical form and use of words; and perhaps our logical way of thinking by fubstances and modes, as well as our grammatical way of talking by fubstantives and adjectives, help to delude us into the supposition.

However, that I may not be wanting to any of my readers, I would let them know Mr Locke's opinion, tance wil which has obtained much in the present age, and it is mere po this: " That our idea of any particular substance is unknow " only fuch a combination of fimple ideas as reprefents by whit " that thing as subsisting by itself, in which the supitation a " posed or confused idea of substance (such as it is) is re or exi " always ready to offer itself. It is a conjunction of ar turnin " ideas co-existing in such a cause of their union, and bistance " makes the whole subject subsist by itself, though the hysical, " cause of their union be unknown; and our general extensio" idea of substance arises from the self-subsistence of this tter, or " collection of ideas."

s always. Now if this notion or substance rest here, and be spirits; f considered merely as an unknown cause of the union of er; nor properties, it is much more easy to be admitted; but if

we

PA

and

thir

ven

tino

and

trin

fpir

eler

the

tho

elerally or in the fore

fim

crea

or I

boo

vul

are

eve

ner

ver

bod

is a

ma

Per

whe

ples

or 1

are

is p

any

me

er |

Simple substances, in a philosophical sense, are either spirits which have no manner of composition in them, and in this sense God is called a simple being; or the are the first principles of bodies, which are usually called elements, of which all other bodies are compounded: elements are such substances as cannot be resolved or reduced into two or more substances of different kinds.

The various fects of philosophers have attributed the honour of this name to various things. The Peripateticks, or followers of Aristotle, made fire, air, earth

and

we proceed to support a fort of real, substantial, disting being, different from solid quantity or extension in bodies, and different from a power of thinking in spirits in my opinion it is the introduction of a needless, scholastical notion into the real nature of things, and the

fancying it to have a real existence.

Mr Locke, in his Effay of Human Understanding Book II. chap. 22. § 2. feems to ridicule this commo idea of fubstance, which men have generally suppose to be a fort of substratum, distinct from all propertie whatfoever, and to be the support of all properties Yet, in Book IV. chap. 3. § 6. he feems to support there may be some such unknown substratum, which may be capable of receiving the properties both matter and of mind, viz. extension, solidity, and con tation; for he supposes it possible for God to add of gitation to that substance which is corporeal, and the to cause matter to think. If this be true, then spin (for ought we know) may be corporeal beings thinking bodies, which is a doctrine too favourable the mortality of the foul. But I leave these debates the philosophers of the age, and will not be too position in my opinion of this abstruse subject.

See more of this argument in Philosophical Essay

before cited, Effay 2d.

re eithe n them or they ufually re com. nnot b s of dif.

uted the Peripa. ir, earth

, distina n in bo in spirits els, scho and the

estanding commo **fuppole** propertie roperties o fuppo m, which both (and cog add co and thu en spirit beings 0 urable t debates 1

al Effay

oo politin

and water, to be the four elements of which all earthly things were compounded; and they supposed the heavens to be a quintessence, or a fifth fort of body, diftinct from all these; but since experimental philosophy and mathematics have been better understood, this doctrine has been abundantly refuted. The chemists make fpirit, falt, fulphur, water, and earth, to be their five elements, because they can reduce all terrestial things to these five. This seems to come nearer the truth, though they are not all agreed in this enumeration of elements. In fhort, our modern philosophers generally suppose matter or body to be one simple principle or folid extension, which being diversified by its various shapes, quantities, motions, and situations, makes all the varieties that are found in the universe, and therefore they make little use of the word element.

Compound substances are made up of two or more fimple fubstances; so every thing in this whole material creation that can be reduced by the art of man into two or more different principles or substances, is a compound

body in the philosophical sense.

But if we take the words simple and compound in a vulgar fense, then all those are simple substances which are generally effeemed uniform in their natures. every herb is called a fimple; and every metal and mineral, though the chemist perhaps may find all his several elements in each of them. So a needle is a simple body, being only made of fleel; but a fword or a knife is a compound, because its haft or handle is made of materials different from the blade. So the bark of Peru, or the juice of forrel, is a fimple medicine; but when the apothecary's art has mingled feveral fimples together, it becomes a compound, as diafcordiumor mithradite.

The terms of pure and mixt, when applied to bodies, are much akin to fimple and compound. So a guinea is pure gold, if it has nothing but gold in it, without any alloy or baser metal; but if any other mineral or metal be mingled with it, it is called a mixed fubstance or body.

in

bu

by

is

fta

a

fe!

of

W

qu

W

m

th

fta

is W

to

te eff

Substances are also divided into animate and in animate. Animate substances are either animal or

vegetable*.

Some of the animated fubstances have various organical or instrumental parts, fitted for a variety of motions from place to place, and a spring of life within themselves, as beasts, birds, sishes, and insects; the are called animals. Other animated substances are called vegetables, which have within themselves the principle of another fort of life and growth, and of various productions of leaves, slowers, and fruit, such as we see a plants, herbs, and trees.

And there are other substances, which are called in animate, because they have no fort of life in them, a

earth, stone, air, water, &c.

There is also one fort of substance or being, which compounded of body and mind, or a rational spin united to an animal; such is mankind. Angels, or an other beings of the spiritual and invisible world, who have assumed visible shapes for a season, can hardly be reckoned among this order of compounded beings; be cause they drop their bodies, and divest themselves those visible shapes, when their particular message is performed, and thereby shew that these bodies do no belong to their natures.

SECT

^{*} Vegetables as well as animals have gotten the name of animated fubstances, because some of the ascients supposed herbs and plants, beasts and birds, & to have a sort of souls distinct from matter or body.

PART I and in nimal o

of mo.

fe withing
ts; the
hare called
principle
tous pro
we fee in

called in them, a

which is on all fpin orld, who hardly beings; beings; beings to message is do not be the second of t

SECT

gotten the ambirds, &

SECT. III.

Of Modes, and their various Kinds, and first of essential and accidental Modes.

THE next fort of objects which are represented in our ideas, are called modes, or manners of being*.

A mode is that which cannot subsist in and of itself, but is always esteemed as belonging to, and subsisting by, the help of some substance, which, for that reason, is called its subject. A mode must depend on that substance for its very existence and being; and that not as a being depends on its cause, (for so substances themselves depend on God their creator), but the very being of a mode depends on some substance for its subject, in which it is, or to which it belongs; so motion, shape, quantity, weight, are modes of the body; knowledge, wit, folly, love, doubting, judging, are modes of the mind; for the one cannot subsist without body, and the other cannot subsist without mind.

Modes have their feveral divisions, as well as sub-

I. Modes are either effential or accidental.

An effential mode or attribute is that which belongs to the very nature or effence of the subject wherein it is; and the subject can never have the same nature without it. Such is roundness in a bowl, hardness in a stone,

^{*} The term Mode is by some authors applied chiefly; to the relations or relative manners of being; but, in logical treatises, it is often used in a larger sense, and extends to all attributes whatsoever, and includes the most essential and inward properties, as well as outward respects and relations, and reaches to actions themselves, as well as manners of action.

18

It one, foftness in water, vital motion in an animal, so lidity in matter, thinking in a spirit; for though the athing, piece of wood which is now a bowl may be made called a square, yet if roundness be taken away it is no longer making bowl; so that very flesh and bones, which is now a so for animal, may be without life or inward motion; but a pends we all motion be entirely gone, it is no longer an animal lubility, but a carcase; so if a body or matter be divested and is considered to some some support the entirely without thinking. I have no idea of an of a picture of the source of the support of the source of the support of a picture of the support of th rit be entirely without thinking, I have no idea of am of a pic thing that is left in it; therefore fo far as I am able ! An a judge, consciousness must be its effential attributes as is no thus all the perfections of God are called his attributed ject ma for he cannot be without them.

An effential mode is either primary or fecondary. be separated A primary essential mode is the first or chief thin ness or that constitutes any being in its particular essence or rest, are nature, and makes it to be that which it is, and di changed tinguishes it from all other beings: this is called the justice, difference in the definition of things, of which here man; after: so roundness is the primary essential mode of fize, are difference of a bowl: the meeting of two lines is the general primary effential mode, or the difference of an angle some size the perpendicularity of these lines to each other is the hope, s difference of a right angle: folid extension is the pri dents of mary attribute or difference of matter: consciousnes be essen or at least a power of thinking, is the difference or pri Here mary attribute of a spirit +: and to fear and love Got oftenting is the primary attribute of a pious man.

ture tha

all mod derns c I have

Here

^{*} When I call folid extension an effential mode of property attribute of matter, and a power of thinking an effen feconda tial mode or attribute of a spirit, I do it in compliant guage t with common forms of speech; but perhaps in reality some are these are the very essences or substances themselves, and (1.) the most substantial ideas that we can frame of both but not and spirit, and have no need of any (we know not what ductility substratum or unintelligible substance to support them is but not their existence or being.

⁺ See the preceding note.

is ducti

A fecondary effential mode is any other attribute of a thing, which is not of primary confideration; this is made called a property. Sometimes indeed it goes towards making up the effence, especially of a complex being, two as so far as we are acquainted with it: sometimes it debut it pends upon, and follows from, the essence of it; so voluming lubility, or aptness to roll, is the property of a bowl, and is derived from its roundness. Mobility and figure or shape are properties of matter; and it is the property of an of a pious man to love his neighbour.

An accidental mode, or an accident, is such a mode outer as is not necessary to the being of a thing: for the substitutes ject may be without it, and yet remain of the same nature that it was before; or it is that mode which may be separated or abolished from its subject; so smoothness or roughness, blackness or whiteness, motion or rest, are the accidents of a bowl; for these may be all changed, and yet the body remain a bowl still. Learning, and the justice, folly, sickness, health, are the accidents of a here man; motion, squareness, or any particular shape or size, are the accidents of a body; yet shape and size in general are essential modes of it; for a body must have angle some size and shape, nor can it be without them; so is the hope, fear, wishing, assenting, and doubting, are accidents of the mind, though thinking in general seems to use essential to it.

Here observe, that the name of accident has been of constitutions given by the old Peripatetick philosophers to all modes, whether essential or accidental; but the moderns confine this word accident to the sense in which I have described it.

Here it should be noted also, that though the word ode a property be limited sometimes in logical treatises to the essential mode, yet it is used in common lan-pliand guage to signify these four sorts of modes, of which realit some are essential, and some accidental.

s, and (1.) Such as belong to every subject of that kind, bod but not only to those subjects. So yellow colour and what ductility are properties of gold; they belong to all gold; hem in but not only to gold, for saffron is also yellow, and lead

is ductile.

PART I.

(2.) Such as belong only to one kind of full but not to every subject of that kind. So learn reading, and writing, are properties of human natu they belong only to man, but not to all men.

(3.) Such as belong to every subject of one ki and only to them, but not always. So speech or h guage is a property of man, for it belongs to all m and to men only; but men are not always speaking.

(4.) Such as belong to every subject of one kin and to them only and always. So shape and divis II. lity are properties of body; fo omniscience and om potence are properties of the divine nature; for in t which be fense properties and attributes are the same; and, other be cept in logical treatises, there is scarce any distinct from the made between them. These are called propria qua roundness modo in the schools, or properties of the fourth fort.

Note. Where there is any one property or effent but great attribute fo superior to the rest, that it appears plan very ide that all the rest are derived from it, and such as is s rison of ficient to give a full distinction of that subject from diameter other subjects, this attribute or property is called t and a h essential difference, as is before declared; and we con ther bo monly fay the effence of the thing confifts in it; for Motion effence of matter in general feems to confift in folidit or folid extension. But, for the most part, we are a fnail; much at a loss in finding out the intimate effence particular natural bodies, that we are forced to diffi guish the effential difference of most things by a comb nation of properties. So a sparrow is a bird which h fuch coloured feathers, and fuch a particular fize, shap and motion. So wormwood is an herb which has fu a leaf of fuch a colour and shape, and taste, and fuch root and stalk. So beasts and fishes, minerals, metal and works of art, fometimes as well as of nature, a diffinguished by such a collection of properties.

whole c or flov bowl or

> fuch as One fo the like cause i ther th one eg an egg fied b and de

> > Thefe

bullet.

logical

lation;

divided

The

learni natu

ne ki or l

all m

ne ki

ence

SECT. IV.

The farther Divisions of Mode.

divifill. THE fecond division of modes is into absolute and relative. An absolute mode is that d om in t which belongs to its subject, without respect to any and, tother beings whatsoever; but a relative mode is derived stinct from the regard that one being has to others. So qua roundness and smoothness are the absolute modes of a fort bowl; for if there were nothing else existing in the whole creation, a bowl might be round and smooth; effent but greatness and smallness are relative modes; for the rifon of one being with others. A bowl of four inches and a half; but it is very small in comparison of an inches the comparison of an inches and a half; but it is very small in comparison of another continuous there bowl, whose diameter is eighteen or twenty inches.

Motion is the absolute mode of a body, but swiftness or slowness are relative ideas; for the motion of an inches or slowness are relative ideas; for the motion of a continuous statements. folidit or flowness are relative ideas; for the motion of a are bowl on a bowling-green is swift, when compared with a mail; and it is flow when compared with a cannondiftir bullet.

These relative modes are largely freated of the logical and metaphysical writers, under the name of relation; and these relations themselves are farther sub-divided into such as arise from the operation of our mind. These relative modes are largely treated of by some fuch as arise merely from the operation of our mind.

One fort are called real relations, the other mental; fo
the likeness of one egg to another is a real relation, because it arises from the real nature of things; for whether there was any man or mind to conceive it or no, one egg would be like another; but when we confider an egg as a noun substantive in grammar, or as signified by the letters e, g, g, these are mental relations, and derive their very nature from the mind of man. These fort of relations are called by the schools entia rationis,

rationis, or fecond notions, which have no real be gent; th but by the operations of the mind. ient diffe

III. The third division of mode shews us they either intrinsical or extrinsical. Intrinsical modes lical, tha conceived to be in the subject or substance, as when so when fay a globe is round, or fwift, rolling, or at rest; nan, a R when we say a man is tall or learned, these are intrinsitute or modes; but extrinsic modes are such as arise for the sire is a formething that is not in the substance or subject its privilege, but it is a manner of being which some substances being inspection. tain, by reason of something that is external or fores VII. I to the subject; as, this globe lies within two yards the wall; or, this man is beloved or hated. No both. N Such fort of modes as this last example are called torporeal ternal denominations. ternal denominations.

IV. There is a fourth division much akin to the ometimes whereby modes are said to be inherent or adherent hese are that is, proper or improper. Adherent or impropounded modes arising from the joining of some accidental surface to the chief subject, which yet may be separate that is, proper or improper. Adherent or impropounded modes arising from the joining of some accidental surface from it; so when a bowl is wet, or a boy is cloathed these are adherent modes; for the water and the cloat are distinct substances, which adhere to the bowl or the boy; but when we say the bowl is swift or round the substance it should be sufficiently these are propounded maginate surface. But the same in the substance it should be sufficiently the same in the substance it should be substance it should be substance it should be substanced in the substance it should be substanced by the substance in the substance it should be substanced by the substance in the substance is should be substanced by the substance in the substance it should be substanced by the substance in the substance is should be substanced by the substance in the substance is should be substanced by the substance in the substance is should be substanced by the substance in the substance is should be substanced by the substance in the substance is should be substanced by the substance in the substance is should be substanced by the substance in the substance is should be substanced by the substance is should be substanced by the substance in the substance is should be substanced by the substance in the substance is should be substanced by the substance in the sub tion of any other substance to it.

V. Action and passion are modes or mannahe sense which belong to fubstances, and should not entire be omitted here. When a finith with a hamm strikes a piece of iron, the hammer and the smith both agents or subjects of action; the one is the prim * A or supreme, the other the subordinate; the iron is doing the patient, or the subject of passion, in a philostained to phical sense, because it receives the operation of them are

VI. T

uch are

modes a count o

agen in com

hamm nith a

I beingent; though this fense of the words passion and paient differs much from the vulgar meaning of them *.

hey ical, that is, natural, civil, moral, and supernatural. So when we consider the apostle Paul, who was a little nan, a Roman by the privilege of his birth, a man of intuition intuition or honesty, and an inspired apostle; his low state in the privilege, his honesty is a moral consideration, and his nees being inspired is supernatural. VI. The fixth division of modes may be into phy-

fore VII. Modes belong either to body or to spirit, or to No oth. Modes of body belong only to matter or to lled corporeal beings; and these are shape, size, situation, or place, &c. Modes of spirit belong to mind; such are knowledge, affent, diffent, doubting, reaoning, &c. Modes which belong to both have been

oning, &c. Modes which belong to both have been ometimes called mixed modes, or human modes; for hefe are only found in human nature, which is compounded both of body and spirit; such are sensation, magination, passion, &c. in all which there is a conseparate surrence of the operations both of mind and body, that cloate cloate the modes of body may be yet farther distinguished. Some of them are primary modes or qualities, for they belong to bodies considered in themore process, whether there were any man to take notice of them or no; such are these before mentioned, viz. the modes are such ideas as we ascribe to bodies on acmodes are such ideas as we ascribe to bodies on acmodes are such ideas as we ascribe to bodies on acmodes are such ideas as we ascribe to bodies on acmodes are such ideas as we ascribe to bodies on acmodes are such ideas as we ascribe to bodies on acmodes are such ideas as we ascribe to bodies on acmodes are such ideas as we ascribe to bodies on acmodes are such ideas as we ascribe to bodies on acmodes are such ideas as we ascribe to bodies on acmodes are such ideas as we ascribe to bodies on acmodes are such ideas as we ascribe to bodies on acmodes are such ideas as we ascribe to bodies on acmodes are such ideas as we ascribe to bodies on acmodes are such ideas as we ascribe to bodies on acmodes are such as a such modes are fuch ideas as we ascribe to bodies on account of the various impressions which are made on mann the senses of men by them, and these are called sensible entire qualities,

ne prin * Agent signifies the doer, patient the sufferer, action iron is doing, passion is suffering: agent and action have re-philos tained their original and philosophical sense, though pa-of tient and passion have acquired a very different meaning agen in common language.

or ind

offect t
are pr
we:
y bod
hilosop
are rea
n nan
tpon of
means
fition
Then
by seve

It wou injudic of thin which be foug Befo

not ut

names

action,

would distinct thing r viz. T it is co in all it guithed

qualities, which are very numerous; fuch are all lours, as red, green, blue, &c.; fuch are all founds, fharp, shrill, loud, hoarse; all tastes, as sweet, bit four; all fmells, whether pleafant, offensive, or in ferent; and all tactile qualities, or fuch as affect touch or feeling, viz. Heat, cold, &c. These are m perly called fecondary qualities; for though we ready to conceive them as existing in the very bot themselves which affect our senses, yet true philosophilos has most undeniably proved, that all these are re various ideas or perceptions excited in human nat by the different impressions that bodies make upon fenses by their primary modes, that is, by means their different shape, fize, motion, and position those little invisible parts that compose them. it follows, that a fecondary quality, confidered as the bodies themselves, is nothing else but a power aptitude to produce fuch fensations in us. See Lock Essay on the Understanding, Book II. chap. 8.

VIII. I might add, in the last place, that as more belong to substances, so there are some also that are modes of other modes; for though they subsist in a by the substance, as the original subject of them, y they are properly and directly attributed to some more of that substance. Motion is the mode of a body but the swiftness or slowness of it, or its direction the north or south, are but modes of motion. Walling is the mode or manner of man or of a beast; be walking gracefully implies a manner or mode superaded to that action. All comparative and superaded to that action. All comparative and superaded to the modes of a mode, swifter implies a greater measure of swiftness.

It would be too tedious here to run through all the modes, accidents, and relations at large that belong various beings, and are copiously treated of in general the science called metaphysics, or more properly ont logy; they are also treated of in particular in the sciences which have assumed them severally as the

proper fubjects.

SEC Thefe.

ART

all onds,

r ind

we ;

bod

losop

e rea

natu

on o

eans

tion

Then

d as

ower

Lock

mod

areb

in a

em,

e mo

bod

tion

Wal

aft; b

fupera

perlati

all t

y ont

in the

SEC

SECT. V.

Of the ten Categories. Of Substances modified.

TTE have thus given an account of the two chief objects of our ideas, viz. Substances and modes, and their various kinds; and in these last sections, we have briefly comprized the greatest part of what is necessary in the famous ten ranks of being, called the ten predicaments or categories of Aristotle, on which there are endless volumes of discourses formed by several of his followers. But that the reader may not utterly be ignorant of them, let him know the names are these: Substance, quantity, quality, relation, action, paffion, where, when, fituation, and cloathing. It would be mere lofs of time to fhew how loofe, how injudicious, and even ridiculous is this ten-fold division of things; and whatfoever farther relates to them, and which may tend to improve useful knowledge, should be fought in outology, and in other sciences.

Besides substance and mode, some of the moderns would have us consider the substance modified, as a distinct object of our ideas; but I think there is nothing more that need be said on this subject than this, viz. There is some difference between a substance when it is considered with all its modes about it, or clothed in all its manners of existence, and when it is distinguished from them, and considered naked without them.

SECT. VI.

Of Not Being.

A S being is divided into substance and mode, so we may consider not-being with regard to both

C

I. Not-being is confidered as excluding all fubstance and then all modes are also necessarily excluded, a hood, s this we call pure nullity, or mere nothing.

This nothing is taken either in a vulgar or a phil formity fophical fense; so we say there is nothing in the cur in a vulgar fense, when we mean there is no liquor it; but we cannot fay there is nothing in the cup, in thrich philosophical sense, while there is air in it, a we must perhaps a million of rays of light are there.

II. Not-being, as it has relation to modes or man ness of ners of being, may be confidered either as a mere it for it is gation, or as a privation.

A negation is the absence of that which does no naturally belong to the thing we are speaking of, which has no right, obligation, or necessity to be pro fent with it; as when we fay a frone is inanimate, blind, or deaf, that is, it has no life, nor fight, m hearing; nor when we fay a carpenter or a fisherman unlearned, these are mere negations.

But a privation is the absence of what does natural of THE belong to the thing we are speaking of, or which our to be present with it, as when a man or a horse is de or blind, or dead, or if a physician or a divine be un learned, these are called privations; so the sinfulness any human action is faid to be a privation; for fini that want of conformity to the law of God, which ought to be found in every action of man.

Note. There are some writers who make all fort relative modes or relations, as well as all external de nominations, to be mere creatures of the mind, and entia rationis, and then they rank them also under the general head of not-beings; but it is my opinion, the whatfoever may be determined concerning mere ment relations and external denominations, which feem have fomething less of entity or being in them, y there are many real relations which ought not to reduced to so low a class; such are the situation of be there is mutual distances, their particular proportions and measures, the notions of fatherhood, brother marks. hoo marks

PART I very eff the law the con though tue, an nothing ufual, a

matters

TDE. th

d, a hood, fonship, &c. all which are relative ideas. very essence of virtue or holiness consists in the conphile formity of our actions to the rule of right reason, or e cu the law of God; the nature and effence of fincerity is uor the conformity of our words and actions to our thoughts, all which are but mere relations; and I think it, a we must not reduce such positive beings as piety, virtue, and truth, to the rank of nonentities, which have nothing real in them, though fin (or rather the finfulman ness of an action) may be properly called a not-being, ere is for it is a want of piety and virtue. This is the most usual, and perhaps the justest, way of representing these pes no matters.

CHAP. III.

atural of the several sorts of Perceptions or ideas.

is del TDEAS may be divided with regard to their original, their nature, their objects, and their qualities.

SECT. I.

Of fensible, spiritual, and abstracted Ideas.

THERE has been a great controverfy about the origin of ideas, viz. Whether any of our ideas feem are innate or no, that is, born with us, and naturally belonging to our minds? Mr. Locke utterly denies hem, y belonging to our minds? Mr. Locke utterly denies it; others as positively affirm it: Now, though this controversy may be compromised, by allowing that there is a sense, wherein our first ideas of some things may be said to be innate, (as I have shewn in some remarks on Mr. Locke's essay, which have said long by hoo marks on Mr. Locke's effay, which have lain long by

of, be pro nate, ht, no

ough

rman

lness r fin i which

fort mal de nd, an nder th on, th

e ment

me), yet it does not belong to this place and business By fe to have that point debated at large, nor will it hinder out of it our pursuit of the present work to pass it over in silence

There is sufficient ground to say, that all our ideas with regard to their original, may be divided into three forts, viz. Senfible, spiritual, and abstracted ideas.

I. Senfible or corporeal ideas are derived originally be entire from our fenses, and from the communication which reflection the foul has with the animal body in this present state; them m fuch are the notions we frame of all colours, founds, occurrer fuch are the notions we frame or all colours, lounds occurrent taftes, figures, or shapes and motions; for our sense who was being conversant about particular sensible objects be words y come the occasions of several distinct conceptions in the nor commind; and thus we come by the ideas of yellow, white heat, cold, soft, hard, bitter, sweet, and all those those which we call sensible qualities. All the ideas which ever gas we have of body, and the sensible modes and properties doubting that helpost to it. Seem to be derived from sensation.

that belong to it, feem to be derived from fensation. invent, And howsoever these may be treasured up in the actions memory, and by the work of fancy may be increased attained diminished, compounded, divided, and diversified may be (which we are ready to call our invention), yet the figns, o all derive their first nature and being from somethin with the that has been let into our minds by one or other a ideas ha our fenses. If I think of a golden mountain, or a fa custom, of liquid fire, yet the fingle ideas of fea, fire, mountain the other and gold came into my thoughts at first by sensation the mind has only compounded them.

II. *Spiritual or intellectual ideas are those which stracted we gain by reflecting on the nature and actions of ou occasion own fouls, and turning our thoughts within ourselves both, y and observing what is transacted in our own minds mind, Such are the ideas we have of thought, affent, diffent word at judging, reason, knowledge, understanding, will, love an idea fear, hope.

fible ide

and thin ritual id

Here these tw

III. is a thir abstract By thing co

> or prop general,

^{*} Here the word Spiritual is used in a mere natural Some and not in a religious fense.

finels By fensation the soul contemplates things (as it were) out of itself, and gains corporeal representations or sen-side ideas; by reflection the soul contemplates itself, and things within itself, and by this means it gains spithre ritual ideas, or representations of things intellectual.

Here it may be noted, though the first original of these two sorts of ideas, viz. Sensible and spiritual, may be entirely owing to these two principles, sensation and which resection, yet the recollection and fresh excitation of ftate; them may be owing to a thousand other occasions and fense who was born blind or deaf what we mean by the words yellow, blue, red, or by the words loud or shrill, nor convey any just ideas of these things to his mind, white by all the powers of language, unless he has experienced those those sense of sound and colour; nor could we which ever gain the ideas of thought, judgment, reason, perties doubting, hoping, &c. by all the words that man could invent, without turning our thoughts inward upon the actions of our own souls. Yet when we once have reased attained these ideas by sensation and respection, they may be excited afresh by the use of names, words, the signs, or by any thing else that has been connected t the figns, or by any thing elfe that has been connected ethin with them in our thoughts; for when two or more her dideas have been affociated together, whether it be by or a fee custom, or accident, or design, the one presently brings intain the other to mind. fation:

III. Besides these two which we have named, there is a third fort of ideas, which are commonly called abwhich stracted ideas, because though the original ground or of ou occasion of them may be sensation, or reflection, or rselves both, yet these ideas are framed by another act of the minds mind, which we usually call abstraction. Now the diffent word abstraction signifies a withdrawing some parts of , love an idea from other parts of it, by which means fuch abstracted ideas are formed, as neither represent any By thing corporeal or spiritual, that is, any thing peculiar or proper to mind or body. Now these are of two kinds. Some of these abstracted ideas are the most absolute, general, and universal conceptions of things confidered

in themselves, without respect to others, such as entire or being, and not-being, effence, existence, act, power

fubstance, mode, accident, &c.

The other fort of abstacted ideas is relative, as whe we compare several things together, and consider mere the relations of one thing to another, entirely dropping the subject of those relations, whether they be corpored or spiritual; such are our ideas of cause, effect, liknes unlikeness, subject, object, identity, or sameness, and contrairity, order, and other things which are treated of in ontology.

Most of the terms of art in several sciences may be ranked under this head of abstracted ideas, as now here, t pronoun, verb, in grammar, and the feveral particles being refpeech, as wherefore, therefore, when, how, although arise fr howfoever, &c. So connections, transitions, similar such as tudes, tropes, and their various forms in rhetoric.

The abstracted ideas, whether absolute or relative mixed cannot fo properly be faid to derive their immediate not pro complete, and distinct original, either from sensation of See a r reflection, (1.) because the nature and the action ter in t both of body and spirit give us occasion to frame exactly the fame ideas of effence, mode, cause effect likeness, contrairity, &c. Therefore these cannot be ealled either fenfible or spiritual ideas, for they are no exact representations either of the peculiar qualities of actions of spirit or body, but seem to be a distinct kind of idea framed in the mind, to represent our most ge neral conceptions of things, or their relations to one another, without any regard to their natures, whether they be corporeal or spiritual. And, (2.) the same general ideas of cause and effect, likeness, &c. may be TDE transferred to a thousand other kinds of being, whether bodily or spiritual, besides those from whence we firm A sin derived them: even those abstracted ideas, which might divided be first occasioned by bodies, may be as properly after or mor ward attributed to spirit.

Now, though Mr. Locke supposes sensation and re hard, s flection to be the only two springs of all ideas, and ration; these two are fufficient to furnish our minds with all as thou that rich variety of ideas which we have; yet abstrac-

tion is thefe al haps fe first ob are exc things reprefer forbid 1

Not ter int **Aracted** of this

Of fin

0 as the entin whe mere oppin rpore iknek

treated nay. b

2

is, and

ne ex effed not be re not ties of

et kind

oft ge to one hether e fame

bftrac-

tion

tion is certainly a different act of the mind, whence power these abstracted ideas have their original; though perhaps fensation or reflection may furnish us with all the first objects and occasions whence these abstracted ideas are excited and derived. Nor in this sense and view of things can I think Mr. Locke himself would deny my representation of the original of abstracted ideas, nor forbid them to stand for a distinct species.

Note. Though we have divided ideas in this chapter into three forts, viz. Sensible, spiritual, and abstracted, yet it may not be amiss just to take notice nous here, that as man may be called a compound substance, icles being made up of body and mind, and the modes which hough arise from this composition are called mixed modes, fimile fuch as fensation, passion, discourse, &c. So the ideas of this fubstance or being called man, and of these elative mixed modes may be called mixed ideas, for they are nediate not properly and strictly spiritual, sensible, or abstracted. tion of See a much larger account of every part of this chapaction ter in the Philosophical Essays by J. W. Essay 3, 4, &c.

SECT. II.

Of simple and complex, compound and collective Ideas.

may be TDEAS, confidered in their nature, are either simple

whether A or complex.

we first A simple idea is one uniform idea, which cannot be might divided or distinguished by the mind of man into two y after or more ideas; fuch are a multitude of our fensations, as the idea of sweet, bitter, cold, heat, white, red, blue, nd re hard, foft, motion, rest, and perhaps extension and duas, and ration; fuch are also many of our spiritual ideas; such with all as thought, will, wish, knowledge, &c.

A

A complex idea is made by joining two or more fimple ideas together; as a square, a triangle, a cub a pen, a table, reading, writing, truth, falfenood, a bod a man, a horse, an angle, a heavy body, a swift hor &c. Every thing that can be divided by the mind im

two or more ideas is called complex.

Complex ideas are often confidered as fingle and distinct beings, though they may be made up of seven fimple ideas; fo a body, a spirit, a house, a tree, flower; but when several of these ideas of a different kind are joined together, which are wont to be conf dered as diffinet fingle beings, this is called a compound only. idea, whether these united ideas be simple or complex So a man is compounded of body and spirit, so mithe and inde date is a compound medicine, because it is made many different ingredients. This I have shewn under the doctrine of substances. And modes also may be compounded; harmony is a compound idea, made u of different founds united; fo feveral different virtue must be united to make up the compounded idea of the orate character either of a hero or a faint.

But when many ideas of the fame kind are joined together, and united in one name, or under one view it is called a collective idea; fo an army, or a parliament, is a collection of men; a dictionary, or nomen clature, is a collection of words; a flock is a collection of sheep; a forest or grove a collection of trees; a heap is a collection of fand, or corn, or dust, &c. a city is book, collection of houses; a nosegay is a collection of flowers; a month or a year is a collection of days; and a

thousand is a collection of units.

The precise difference between a compound and collective idea is this, that a compound idea unites things of a different kind, but a collective idea things of the fame kind; though this distinction in some cases is not accurately observed, and custom oftentimes uses the word compound for collective.

Of univer

TDEA vide A part

Somet any man horse; (schools i

Some a determ this boo London determin

ther it b

The itself, is though one fing

Anı nature a man, they ag

And that th mind v ing for when i tion of

or mor a cube a body

t horie ind into

gle and

ection

a heap

ty is a

and a

of the

s not

s the

CT.

SECT. III.

Of universal and particular Ideas, real and imaginary.

tree, TDEAS, according to their objects, may first be divided into particular or universal. ifferen L

conf. A particular idea is that which represents one thing

apount only.

Sometimes the one thing is represented in a loofe mithi and indeterminate manner, as when we fay some man, any man, one man, another man; some horse, any under horse; one city, or another, which is called by the nay h chools individuum vagum.

Sometimes the particular idea represents one thing in ade u a determinate manner, and then it is called a fingular virtue idea; fuch is Bucephalus, or Alexander's horse, Cicero dea the orator, Peter the apostle, the palace of Versailles, joing this book, that river, the new forest, or the city of London. That idea, which represents one particular determinate thing to me, is called a fingular idea, whether it be fimple, or complex, or compound. omen.

The object of any particular idea, as well as the idea itself, is sometimes called an individual; so Peter is an individual man, London is an individual city. flow book, one horse, another horse, are all individuals; though the word individuals is more usually limited to

one fingular, certain, and determined object.

An universal idea is that which represents a common d col-nature agreeing to feveral particular things; fo a horfe, a man, or a book, are called universal ideas, because

they agree to all horses, men, or books.

And I think it not amiss to intimate, in this place, that these universal ideas are formed by that act of the mind which is called abstraction, that is, a withdrawing some part of an idea from other parts of it; for when fingular ideas are first let into the mind by sensation or reflection, then, in order to make them uni-

vertal

versal, we leave out or drop all those peculiar and the most genus, is terminate characters, qualities, modes, or circumstance that which belong merely to any particular individual being property and by which it differs from other beings; and we on hings, is contemplate those properties of it wherein it agree the remote with other beings.

with other beings.

Though it must be confessed, that the name of a mate, as stracted ideas is sometimes attributed to universal idea &c. But both sensible or spiritual, yet this abstraction is not bird, because great, as when we drop out of our idea every sensible reneral nor spiritual representation, and retain nothing but the most reneral and absolute concentions of things most general and absolute conceptions of things, their mere relations to one another, without any regar Note.
to their particular natures, whether they be fensible their con spiritual. And it is to this kind of conceptions we me The c properly give the name of abstracted ideas, as in the modes as first fection of this chapter.

An univerfal idea is either general or special.

An universal idea is either general or special.

A general idea is called by the schools a genus; a bility, & it is one common nature agreeing to feveral other com. The common natures. So animal is a genus, because it agree particular to horse, lion, whale, buttersty, which are also communder is mon ideas; fo fish is a genus, because it agrees to trou moon, herring, crab, which are common natures also.

A special idea is called by the schools a species; it of body one common nature that agrees to several singular in wooden dividual beings; so horse is a special idea, or a specie a heavy because it agrees to Bucephalus, Trott, and Snow with all ball. City is a special idea, for it agrees to London Note

Paris, Briftol.

Note. 1st, Some of these universals are genuses, essential compared with natures more common. So bird is relation genus, if compared with eagle, sparrow, raven, which appear, are also common natures; but it is a species, if com This pared with the more general nature, animal. The mous fame be faid of fish, beast, &c.

This fort of universal ideas, which may either be a considered as a genus or a species, is called subaltem cal ser but the highest genus, which is never a species, is called

fion take

feveral f

Note

taken in

PART I.

the most general; and the lowest species, which is never and the genus, is called the most special.

It may be observed here also, that that general nature also property wherein one things agrees with most other we on hings, is called its more remote genus; fo substance is

agree he remote genus of bird or beast, because it agrees not only to all kinds of animals, but also to things inaniof a mate, as sun, stars, clouds, metals, stones, air, water,
al idea &c. But animal is the proximate or nearest genus of
not bird, because it agrees to fewest other things. Those
sense general natures which stand between the nearest and
but the most remote are called intermediate.

ngs, of Note. 2dly, In universal ideas it is proper to consider sible their comprehension and their extension*.

ngs,

we more The comprehension of an idea regards all the effential in the complete month of an idea regards an idea chemian modes and properties of it: fo body in its comprehenfion takes in folidity, figure, quantity, mobility, &c. So a bowl in its conprehension includes roundness, volu-

er com The extension of an universal idea regards all the t agree particular kinds and fingle beings that are contained to comfunder it. So a body in its extension includes sun, to trou moon, flar, wood, iron, plant, animal, &c. which are feveral species, or individuals, under the general name es; it of body. So a bowl, in its extension, includes a ular in wooden bowl, a brass bowl, a white and black bowl, species a heavy bowl, &c. and all kinds of bowls, together

Snow with all the particular individual bowls in the world.

London Note. The comprehension of an idea is sometimes taken in so large a sense, as not only to include the nufes, effential attributes, but all the properties, modes, and ird is relations whatfoever, that belong to any being, as will , whice appear, chap. VI.

if com This account of genus and species is part of that fa-1. The mous doctrine of universals, which is taught in the schools,

either * The word extension here is taken in a mere logiibaltem cal fense, and not in a physical and mathematical sense.

fchools, with divers other formalities belonging to for it is in this place that they introduce different which is the primary effential mode, and property the fecondary effential mode, and accident, or the dental mode; and these they call the five predical because every thing that is affirmed concerning being must be either the genus, the species, the ence, fome property, fome accident: but what far is necessary to be faid concerning these things will mentioned when we treat of definition.

Having finished the doctrine of universal and part ther cle lar ideas, I should take notice of another division them, which also hath respect to their objects; and imperfe-

is, they are either real or imaginary.

Real ideas are fuch as have a just foundation in ture, and have real objects, or examplars, which did and con do, or may actually exist, according to the present and nature of things; fuch are all our ideas of h broad, fwift, flow, wood, iron, men, horfes, thoughton the fpirits, a cruel master, a proud beggar, a man se

feet high. Imaginary ideas, which are also called fantastical chimerical, are fuch as are made by enlarging, di nishing, uniting, dividing real ideas in the mind fuch a manner as no objects or exemplars did or e will exist, according to the present course of nat though the feveral parts of these ideas are borron keep up from real objects; fuch are the conceptions we have a centaur, a fatyr, a golden mountain, a flying ho a dog without a head, a bull lets than a moule, of mouse as big as a bull, and a man twenty feet high.

Some of these fantastical ideas are possible, that they are not utterly inconfiftent in the nature of thin and therefore it is within the reach of divine power make fuch objects; fuch are most of the instances ready given; but impossibles carry an utter incom ence in the ideas which are joined; fuch are felf-ad matter, and infinite or eternal men, a pious man wi

out honesty, or heaven without holiness.

The D

th They a

I. O

Sever from th ledged, for it is them di that wi Yet wh Subject, great ni found t of clear

A cle object and pla loever.

obscure

An either other ic to the ently d

Whe have a

ing to differe operty

the redical

ning the di

ion in

nan fe

SECT. IV.

The Division of Ideas, with regard to their Qualities.

gs will TDEAS, with regard to their qualities, afford us these several divisions of them. 1. They are eid pan ther clear and diffin It, or obscure and confused. division They are vulgar or learned. 3. They are perfect or and imperfect. 4. They are true or false.

I. Our ideas are either clear and distinct, or obscure ch did and confused.

Several writers have diffinguished the clear ideas efent # from those that are distinct; and the confused ideas s of la thou from those that are obscure; and it must be acknowledged, there may be some difference between them; for it is the clearness of ideas for the most part makes them distinct; and the obscurity of ideas is one thing that will always bring a sort of confusion into them.

Yet when these writers come to talk largely upon this mind, subject, and to explain and adjust their meaning with of nate great nicety, I have generally found that they did not borrow keep up the distinction they first designed, but they confound the one with the other. I shall therefore treat of clear or distinct ideas, as one and the same fort, and obscure or confused ideas, as another.

A clear and distinct ideas, as another.

A clear and distinct idea is that which represents the high. that object of the mind with full evidence and strength, of thin and plainly distinguishes it from all other objects whatfoever. power

An obscure and confused idea represents the object income either fo faintly, fo imperfectly, or fo mingled with other ideas, that the object of it doth not appear plain to the mind, nor purely in its own nature, nor fufficiently distinguished from other things.

When we fee the fea and fky nearer at hand, we SEC have a clear and diffinet idea of each; but when we

rious Co

look far toward the horizon, especially in a misty day our ideas of both are but obscure and confused; for we know not which is fea and which is fky. So when we look at the colours of the rainbow, we have a clean idea of the red, the blue, the green in the middle of have or their feveral arches; and a diffinet idea too, while the eye fixes there; but when we confider the border of those colours, they so run into one another, that it ren. ders their ideas confused and obscure. So the idea which we have of our brother, or our friend, whom we fee daily, is clear and diffinet; but when the absenced many years has injured the idea, it becomes obfour and confused.

Note here, that some of our ideas may be very clay idea, v and diffinct in one respect, and very obscure and confused in another. So when we speak of a chiliagonum the ho or a figure of a thousand angles, we may have a clear watching and distinct rational idea of the number one thousand of it, angles; for we can demonstrate various properties con their as cerning it by reason; but the image, or sensible ide and ad which we have of the figure is but confused and ob uniform feure; for we cannot precifely distinguish it by fand to the from the image of a figure that has nine hundre derstan angles, or nine hundred and ninety. So when we idea of fpeak of the infinite divisibility of matter, we alway tained keep in our minds a very clear and distinct idea of de when a vision and divisibility. But after we have made a little he has progress in dividing, and come to parts that are far to and rel fmall for the reach of our fenses, then our ideas, a poet, a fensible images of these little bodies, become obscur which and indiffinet, and the idea of infinite is very obfcur and ma imperfect, and confused.

II. Ideas are either vulgar or learned. A vulgar ide feels h reprefents to us the most obvious and fensible appear painter ances that are contained in the object of them; but and fee learned idea penetrates farther into the nature, proper eye ne ties, reasons, causes and effects of things. This is ent de best illustrated by some examples.

It is a vulgar idea that we have of a rainbow, who we conceive a large arch in the clouds, made up of w

idea wh the var drops (them to ture of phical i nothin the va eyes in fize, or furface: concerv

It is cartoon blemiss y day rious colours paralell to each other; but it is a learned for we idea which a philosopher has when he considers it as nen ne the various reflections and refractions of sun-beams in a clea drops of falling rain. So it is a vulgar idea which we ddle d have of the colours of folid bodies, when we perceive ile the them to be, as it were, a red, or bine, or green tineder de ture of the surface of those bodies; but it is a philosoit ren, phical idea when we confider the various colours to be ne ida nothing else but different sensations excited in us by om we the variously refracted rays of light reflected on our enced eyes in a different manner, according to the different obscur, size, or shape, or situation of the particles of which the furfaces of those bodies are composed. It is a vulgar ry clar idea, which we have of a watch or clock, when we d con conceive of it as a pretty instrument made to shew us gonum the hour of the day; but it is a learned idea which the a clear watchmaker has of it, who knows all the feveral parts nousand of it, the spring, the balance, the chain, the wheels, es con their axles, &c. together with the various connections le ide and adjustments of each part, whence the exact and nd of uniform motion of the index is derived, which points y fanc to the minute or the hour. So when a common unnundra derstanding reads Virgil's Æneid, he has but a vulgar nen widea of that poem; yet his mind is naturally enteralway tained with the story, and his ears with the verse; but of d when a critic, or a man who has skill in poefy, reads it, e a little he has a learned idea of its peculiar beauties; he tastes far to and relishes a superior pleasure; he admires the Roman deas, a poet, and wishes he had known the christian theology, obscur which would have furnished him with nobler materials obscure and machines than all the heathen idols.

It is with a vulgar idea that the world beholds the cartoons of Raphael at Hampton-court, and every one lear idea feels his share of pleasure and entertainment; but a painter contemplates the wonders of that Italian pencil, and sees a thousand beauties in them which the vulgar proper eye neglected: his learned ideas give him a transcendent this is the fame time, discover the blemishes which the common gazer never observed.

v, when p of various

III. Ideas are either perfect or imperfect, which a rofemary

otherwife called adequate or inadequate.

Those are adequate ideas which perfectly represe the little their archetypes or objects. Inadequate ideas are but perhaps partial or incomplete representation of those archetyn that ma to which they are referred.

All our simple ideas are in some sense adequate ledge o perfect; because simple ideas, considered merely as on there is first perceptions, have no parts in them; so we may has too faid to have a perfect idea of white, black, fweet, for for the length, light, motion, rest, &c. We have also a per solve. fect idea of various figures, as a triangle, a square, cylinder, a cube, a sphere, which are complex idea IV. but our idea or image of a figure of a thousand side being the our idea of the city of London, or the powers of be either loaditone, are very imperfect, as well as all our ideas idea be infinite length or breadth, infinite power, wisdom, is a true duration; for the idea of infinite is endless and en ideas ar growing, and can never be completed.

Note 1. When we have a perfect idea of any thin jaundice in all its parts, it is called a complete idea; when in them. its properties, it is called comprehensive. But whe our ide we have but an inadequate and imperfect idea, we a the men only said to apprehend it; therefore use the term a those h prehension, when we speak of our knowledge of Ga same b who can never be comprehended by his creatures. crooked

Note 2, Though there are a multitude of ide gives n which may be called perfect or adequate, in a vulg to the fense, yet there are scarce any ideas which are adequat word a comprehensive, and complete in a philosophical sent use the for there is scarce any thing in the world that we know by the as to all the parts, and powers, and properties of it, i fels chi perfection. Even so plain an idea as that of a triangle the Lo has perhaps infinite properties belonging to it, of which the con we know but a few. Who can tell what are the shape speaks and positions of those particles, which cause all the va Rome riety of colours that appear on the furface of things miltake Who knows what are the figures of the little corpufe has a that compose and distinguish different bodies? The whense ideas of brass, iron, gold, wood, stone, hyssop, and may rofeman

containe the anin

their ar lours, 1

Some

nich a rosemary, have an infinite variety of hidden mysteries contained in the shape, size, motion, and position of represe the little particles of which they are composed; and re but perhaps also infinite unknown properties and powers chetyn that may be derived from them. And if we arise to the animal world, or the world of spirits, our knowquate cledge of them must be amazingly imperfect, when y as or there is not the least grain of sand, or empty space, but may has too many questions and difficulties belonging to it, et, for for the wifest philosopher upon earth to answer and reo a per solve.

quare, k idea IV. Our ideas are either true or false; for an idea d fide being the representation of a thing in the mind, it must ers of be either a true or a falle representation of it. If the ideas idea be conformable to the object or archetype of it, it dom, is a true idea; if not, it is a false one. Sometimes our nd en ideas are referred to things really existing without us as their archetypes. If I fee bodies in their proper colours, I have a true idea; but when a man under the ny thin jaundice sees all bodies yellow, he has a false idea of en in them. So if we see the sun or moon rising or setting, at who our idea represents them bigger than what they are on we the meridian; and in this fense it is a false idea, because erm a those heavenly bodies are all day and all night of the of Go same bigness. Or when I see a straight staff appear es. crooked while it is half under water, I fay the water of ide gives me a false idea of it. Sometimes our ideas refer a vulg to the ideas of other men, denoted by fuch a particular dequat word as their archetypes. So when I hear a protestant al fent use the words church and facraments, If I understand e know by these words a congregation of faithful men who proof it, i fess christianity, and the two ordinances, baptism and triangle the Lord's supper, I have a true idea of those words in of whice the common fense of protestants; but if the man who e shape speaks of them be a papist, he means the church of the va Rome and the feven facraments, and then I have a things miltaken idea of those words, as spoken by him, for he orpuid has a different fense and meaning; and in general, ? The whenfoever I mistake the sense of any speaker or writer, op, and may be faid to have a falie idea of it.

ofeman

Some think that truth or falshood properly below means of only to propositions, which shall be the subject of de call work course in the second part of logic; for if we could obtained ideas as mere impressions upon the mind, made by out called sp ward objects, those impressions will ever be conforme But a able to the laws of nature in such a case; the water we words, make a flick appear crooked, and the horifontal a by the u will make the fun and moon appear bigger. And ge guard ag nerally, where there is falsehood in ideas, there seem improve to be some secret or latent proposition, whereby w ourselve judge falsely of things. This is more obvious when with the we take up the words of a writer or speaker in a mil taken fense; for we join his words to our own idea. Obset which are different from his. But after all, fince ide written are pictures of things, it can never be very impropert they are pronounce them to be true or false, according to the are rep conformity or nonconformity to their examplars.

CHAP. IV.

OF WORDS, AND THEIR SEVERAL DIVISIONS, TO GETHER WITH THE ADVANTAGE AND DANGE OF THEM.

SECT. I.

Of Words in General, and their Use.

HOUGH our ideas are first acquired by the per taste of ception of objects, or by various fensations and mistake reflections, yet we convey them to each other by the

ner of or black by that words a colour t are mer

press bu black, there w But,

cate the Obse

that dif the farr plied be shall se or four

Obs one an propria imple dom b

a mil

belon means of certain founds, or written marks, which we tof di call words; and a great part of our knowledge is both confide obtained and communicated by these means, which are by our called speech or language.

onform But as we are led into the knowledge of things by ater we words, so we are oftentimes led into error, or mistake, ontal a by the use or abuse of words also. And in order to And go guard against such mistakes, as well as to promote our se seem improvement in knowledge, it is necessary to acquaint reby we ourselves little with words and terms. We shall begin

s when with these observations.

observ. I. Words (whether they are spoken or needed written) have no natural connection with the ideas ropert they are designed to signify, nor with the things which are represented in those ideas. There is no manner of difference between the sounds white in English, or black in French, and that colour which we represent by that name; nor have the letters of which these words are composed, any natural aptness to signify that colour than red or green. Words and names therefore are mere arbitrary signs invented by men to communicate their thoughts or ideas to one another.

Observ. 2. If one single word were appointed to express but one simple idea, and nothing else, as white, black, sweet, sour, sharp, bitter, extension, duration,

vs, To there would be scarce any mistake about them.

But, alas! it is a common unhappiness in language, that different simple ideas are sometimes expressed by the same word; so the words sweet and sharp are applied both to the objects of hearing and tasting, as we shall see hereafter; and this, perhaps, may be one cause or soundation of obsurity and error arising from words.

Observ. 3. In communicating our complex ideas to one another, if we could join as many peculiar and appropriated words together in one sound, as we join simple ideas to make one complex one, we should seldom be in danger of mistaking: When I express the taste of an apple, which we call the bitter sweet, none can

ons and mistake what I mean.

the perons and by the

means

ANGE

Yet this fort of composition would make all la original, guage a most tedious and unwieldy thing, since most borrowed our ideas are complex, and many of them have eig forth.

or ten simple ideas in them; so that the remedy work be worse than the disease; for what is now expressed called etgone short word, as month or year, would require to uncertain lines to express it. It is necessary, therefore, that sime progress words be invented to express complex ideas, in ord a comple to make language short and useful.

We know

But here is our great infelicity, that when fine by know words fignify complex ideas, one word can never d Obser tinctly manifest all the parts of a complex idea; a are applied thereby it will often happen, that one man include up to the more or less in his idea, than another does, while found to affixes the same word to it. In this case there will be the word danger of mistake between them, for they do not me vention, the same object, though they use the same name. I adoration if one person or nation, by the word year mean twen original. months of thirty days each, i. e. three hundred an in Latir fixty-five days, another intend a folar year of the all langu hundred and fixty days, and a third mean a lunar yer corpored or twelve lunar months, i. e. three hundred and fift and con four days, there will be a great variation and error Obse their account of things, unless they are well apprised us into each other's meanings before hand. This is suppose one nar to be the reason why some ancient histories and profinite va phecies, and accounts of chronology, are fo hard to b plex, b adjusted. And this is the true reason of so furious an language endless debates on many points in divinity; the work name of church, worship, idolatry, repentance, faith, election the two merit, grace, and many others which fignify very com togethe plex ideas, are not applied to include just the sam green: simple ideas, and the same number of them, by the proport various contending parties: Thence arise confusion and yet we

Observ. 4. Though a single name does not certainly term, manifest to us all the parts of a complex idea, yet with must be acknowledged, that in many of our complex coast of ideas, the single name may point out to us some chief proof or a preperty which belongs to the thing the word signifies the word especially when the word or name is traced up to its who be

origina

e all la original, through several languages from whence it is the most borrowed. So an apostle signifies one that is sent

dy wo. But this tracing of a word to its original, (which is pressed called etymology), is sometimes a very precarious and uire to uncertain thing; and, after all, we have made but little hat fine progress towards the attainment of the full meaning of in ordacomplex idea, by knowing some one chief property of it. We know but a small part of the notion of an apostle,

en fing by knowing barely that he is sent forth.

ever & Observ. 5. Many (if not most) of our words which dea; as are applied to moral and intellectual ideas, when traced includ up to the original in the learned languages, will be while I found to fignity fensible and corporeal things: Thus will I the words apprehension, understanding, abstraction, into the vention, idea, inference, prudence, religion, church, me. I adoration, &c. have all a corporeal signification in their n twel original. The name spirit itself signifies breath or air, dred an in Latin, Greek, and Hebrew: Such is the poverty of of the all languages, they are forced to use these names for innar yet corporeal ideas, which thing has a tendency to error nd fifty and confusion.

error Observ. 6. The last thing I shall mention that leads oprifed us into many a mistake is, the multitude of objects that suppose one name sometimes signifies: There is almost an inand profinite variety of things and ideas both simple and comrd to b plex, beyond all the words that are invented in any ious at language; thence it becomes almost necessary that one e word name should signify several things. Let us but consider election the two colours of yellow and blue, if they are mingled ery com together in any confiderable proportion, they make a he sam green: Now there may be infinite differences of the by the proportions in the mixture of yellow and blue; and fion an yet we have only these three words, yellow, blue, and green, to signify all of them, at least by one single

certainly term.

a, yet When I use the word shore, I may intend thereby a comple coast of land near the sea, or a drain to carry off water, hief pro or a prop to support a building; and by the sound of ignifies the word porter, who can tell whether I mean a man p to it who bears burdens, or a fervant who waits at a nobleorigina man's

man's gate? the world is fruitful in the invention ame, thus utenfils of lite, and new characters and offices of an iritual arryet names entirely new are feldom invented; therehold names are almost necessarily used to fignify and it it does things, which may occasion much confusion and ender the church in the receiving and communicating of knowledge.

Give me leave to propose one single instance, who lea of the in all those notes shall be remarkably exemplified. lergy, or is the word bishop, which in French is called evêquo presbyt upon which I would make these several observation eccessarily (11). That there is no natural connection between are of a

(1.) That there is no natural connection between are of a facred office hereby fignified, and the letters or for arious of which fignify this office; for both these words ever fallican hand bishop fignify the same office, though there is relate, a one letter alike in them; nor have the letters whilder. To compose the English or the French word any thind it is facred belonging to them, more than the letters there of compose the words king or foldier. (2.) If the mar leas in it incompose the word could be learned by its derivation or even. I might ing of a word could be learned by its derivation or etym I might logy, yet the original derivation of words is oftenting kirk, very dark and unsearchable; for who would image oute of that each of these words are derived from the Latin appose to piscopus, or the Greek EPISCOPOS? yet in this instant all the we happen to know certainly the true derivation; thake it to French being anciently writ everque, is borrowed for has been the first part of the Latin word; and the old Engloversies biscop from the middle of it. (3.) The original Graesore. word fignifies an overlooker, or one who flands high than his fellows and overlooks them: it is a compour word, that primarily fignifies fenfible ideas, translated to fignify or include feveral moral or intellectual idea therefore all will grant that the nature of the office be never known by the mere found or fense of the w (4.) I add farther, the word bishop or piscopus, even when it is thus translated from a fendi idea, to include several intellectual ideas, may yet equally signify an overseer of the poor; an inspector of the customs; a surveyor of the highways; a supervisor of the the excise, &c. But by the consent of men, and anowher language of seripture, it is appropriated to signify and term factored of series in the character. facred office in the church. (5.) This very idea at tem. nam

PART ART I. vention ame, thus translated from things sensible, to signify a ses of me piritual and sacred thing, contains but one property of thereb, (viz.) one that has an oversight, or care over others: gnify me ut it does not tell us whether it includes a care over and en me church, or many; over the laiety, or the clergy. edge. 6.) Thence it follows, that those who in the complex ce, who lea of the word bishop include an oversight over the diffied war or over a whole diocese of people, a superiority d evêque presbyters, a distinct power of ordination, &c. must fervation ecessarily disagree with those who include in it only the etweent are of a fingle congregation. Thus according to the or for arious opinions of men, this word fignifies a pope, a ds ever fallican bishop, a Lutherian superintendant, an English nere is relate, a pastor of a single assembly, or a presbyter or ers whilder. Thus they quarrel with each other perpetually; any then dit is well if any of them all have hit precisely the etters thense of the sacred writers, and included just the same the mea leas in it, and no others.

or etym. I might make all the fame remarks on the word church oftenting kirk, which is derived from KURIOU OIKOS or the image ouse of the Lord, contracted into kyrioik, which some Latin appose to fignify an affembly of christians, some take it is instant or all the world that professes christianity, and some tion; take it to mean only the clergy, and on these accounts wed for has been the occasion of as many and as furious cond Englowersies as the word bishop which was mentioned nal Greefore.

ds high ompour

ual idea

the wo hop or

a fensil

nam

SECT. II.

Of negative and positive Terms.

vet equa tor of t ROM these and other considerations it will follow, servisor that if we would avoid error in our pursuit of and i nowledge, we must take good need to the use of words signify and terms, and be acquainted with the various kinds of idea an nem.

I. Terms

I. Terms are either positive or negative.

Negative terms are fuch as have a little word general por fyllable of denying joined to them, according to an easing various idioms of every language, as unpleasant, important important infinite and easing the second property in the second property in the second property is a second property in the second property in the second property is a second property in the second property in the second property is a second property in the second property in the second property is a second property in the second property in the second property is a second property in the second property in the second property is a second property in the second property in the second property is a second property in the second property in the second property is a second property in the second property in the second property is a second property in the second property in the second property is a second property in the second property in the second property is a second property in the second property in the second property is a second property in the second property in the second property is a second property in the second property in the second property is a second property in the second property in the second property is a second property in the second property in the second property in the second property in the second property is a second property in the second property in the second property is a second property in the second property in the second property is a second property in the second pr dent, immortal, irregular, ignorant, infinite, end (viz.) a confidence, deathlefs, nonfense, abyss, anonymous, who have the prepositions un, im, in, non, a, an, and the terminegative of tion less, signify a negation, either in English, Latin the absence Greek.

Positive terms are those which have no such negativays it appendices belonging to them, as life, death, end, it prefies it mortal.

But so unhappily are our words and ideas linked inite, ab gether, that we can never know which are positive ide hey figh and which are negative, by the word that is used to darks press them, and that for these reasons:

There are some positive terms which are made he want fignify a negative idea; as dead is properly a thingt mong the is deprived of life; blind implies a negation or prival Here of fight; deaf a want of hearing; dumb a denial erms ar

fpeech.

2dly, There are also some negative terms which ignities ply positive ideas, such as immortal and death an of p which fignify ever-living, or a continuance in life: n such a folent fignifies rude and haughty: indemnify, to k iminish fafe; and infinite perhaps has a positive idea too, for is an idea ever growing; and when it is applied

God, it fignifies his complete perfection. 3dly, There are both positive and negative ten invented to fignify the fame and contrary ideas; as 1 happy and miferable, finless and holy, pure and un filed, impure and filthy, unkind and cruel, irreligit and profane, unforgiving and revengeful, &c. and the is a great deal of beauty and convenience derived to language from this variety of expression; though some times it a little confounds our conceptions of being² not-being, our positive and negative ideas.

4thly, I may add also, that there are some woll. which are negative in their original language, but it positive to an Englishman, because the negation is when known; as abyss, a place without a bottom; anody

t doth,

ales this

word an eafing medicine; amnesty, an unremembrance, or word general pardon; anarchy, a flate without government; ag to anonymous, i. e. nameless; inept, i. e. not sit; iniquity, at, imp i. e. unrighteousness: infant, one that cannot speak, end (viz.) a child; injurious, not doing justice or right.

us, who have way therefore to know whether any idea to the terminegative or not is to consider whether it primarily imply he absence, of any positive being, or mode of being; if

it doth, then it is a negation or negative idea; other-h negatives it is a positive one, whether the word that ex-end, so resset it be positive or negative. Yet after all, in many linked finite, abyss, which are originally relative terms, but tive it hey fignify pardon, &c. which feem to be positives. sed to so darkness, madness, clown, are positive terms, but

they imply the want of light, the want of reason, and he want of manners; and perhaps these may be ranked

thingt mong the negative ideas.

r prival Here note, that in the English tongue two negative denial erms are equal to one positive, and fignify the same hing, as not unhappy, fignifies happy; not immortal, which imines mortal; he is no imprudent man, i. e. he is a death an of prudence: but the fense and force of the word n life: In fach a negative way of expressions seem to be a little

y, to ke iminished.

too, for applied

ive ten as; ast and un irreligio

and th ved to ough for

being 1

SECT. III.

Of simple and complex Terms.

ERMS are divided into simple or complexe, but so A simple term is one word, a complex term tion is when more words are used to sign sy one thing.

Some

Some terms are complex in words, but not in fen fuch is the second emperor of Rome; for it excites our minds only the idea of one man (viz.) Augustus

Some terms are complex in fense, but not in worth fo when I fay an army, a forest, I mean a multitude men, or trees; and almost all our moral ideas, as we as many of our natural ones, are expressed in this ma ner; religion, piety, loyalty, knavery, theft, include a variety of ideas in each term.

There are other terms which are complex both words and fense; so when I say a fierce dog, or a pio man, it excites an idea, not only of those two creature

but of their peculiar characters also.

Among the terms that are complex in fense, but in words, we may reckon those simple terms whi contain a primary and a fecondary idea in them; as wh I hear my neighbour speak that which is not true, a I fay to him this is not true, or this is false, I only or vey to him the naked idea of his error; this ist primary idea: but if I fay it is a lie, the word lie can also a secondary idea in it, for it implies both thes hood of the speech, and my reproach and censure the speaker. On the other hand, if I say it is a m take, this carries also a secondary idea with it; for not only refers to the fallhood of his speech, but cludes my tenderness and civility to him at the time. Another instance may be this; when I use word incest, adultery, and murder, I convey to anot not only the primary idea of those actions, but I inch also the secondary idea of their unlawfulness, and abhorrence of them.

Note, 1st, Hence it comes to pass, that among wo which fignify the fame principal ideas fome are d and decent, others unclean; fome chafte, others fcene; fome are kind, others are affronting and reproach because of the secondary idea which custom has affi to them. And it is the part of a wife man, when the is a necessity of expressing any evil actions, to do it ther by a word that has a fecondary idea of kinds. or foftness; or a word that carries in it an idea of reb is writ

PART and fe

there i scene,

to excl of the Not cuftom as thal

their fe main: grow c honou conten

mistres in the use no treis of those v

lation, and cl above : tained

this da cultom rather thould. more p

Supplie brew 1 and cu unclear word v

For

not tha chetib.

thould

PART I. agustus, n word altitude. as, as w this ma

x both creatur

e, but n

ms whi ; as wh true, a only co this ist lie can h the f cenfure isam it; for h, but i t the fa 1 I ulet

ong wo e are di others o eproach has affu

to anot

t I inch

is, and

when th to do it

excites and feverity, according as the case requires. So when there is a necessity of expressing things unclean or obscene, a wife man will do it in the most decent language, to excite as few uncleanly ideas as posible in the minds of the hearers.

Note, 2dly, In length of time, and by the power of include custom, words formetimes change their primary ideas, as shall be declared and sometimes they have changed their fecondary ideas, though the primary ideas may reor a pio main: fo words that were once chaste, by frequent use grow obscene and uncleanly; and words that were once honourable, may in the next generation grow mean and contemptible. So the word dame originally fignified a mistress of a family, who was a lady, and it is used still in the Englith law to fignify a lady; but in common use now-a-days it represents a farmer's wife, or a mistiefs of a family of the lower rank in the country. tiose words of Rabshaketh, Ifa. xxxvi. 12. in our translation, (eat their own dung, &cc.) were doubtless decent and clean language, when our translators wrote them above a hundred years ago. The word dung has maintained its old fecondary idea and inoffensive sense to this day; but the other word in that fentence has by custom acquired a more uncleanly idea, and should now rather be changed into a more decent term, and fo it should be read in public, unless it should be thought more proper to omit the fentence*.

> For that reason it is, this the sewish rabbins have supplied other chafte words in the margin of the Hebrew bible, where the words of the text, through time and custom are degenerated, so as to carry any base and unclean fecondary idea in them; and they read the word which is in the margin, which they call keri, and not that which was written in the text, which they call

chetib.

E 2

SECT.

f kinds * So in some places of the facred historians, where it: a of reb is written, every one that piffes against the wall, we should read every male,

SECT. IV.

Of Words common and proper.

III. TYORDS and names are either common proper. Common names are fuch stand for universal ideas, or a whole rank of being whether general or special. These are called appe tives; fo fish, bird, man, city, river, are common nam and fo are trout, eel, lobster, for they all agree to m individuals, and fome of them to many species: Cicero, Virgil, Bucephalus, London, Rome, Ætna, Thames, are proper names, for each of them ago only to one fingle being.

Note here first, that a proper name may become fome fense common, when it hath been given to fen beings of the fame kind; fo Cæfar, which was then per name of the first emperor, Julius, became all common name to all the following emperors. tea, which was the proper name of one fort of Inc leaf, is now-a-days become a common name for IV. infusions of herbs, or plants, in water; as fage-tea, hoof-tea, limon-tea, &c. So Peter, Thomas, Ja Abi William, may be reckoned common names also, ing, wi cause they are given to many persons, unless they as whi determined to signify a single person at any particular, time or place.

Note in the fecond place, that a common names also eit become proper by custom, or by the time or place which persons that use it; as in Great Britain, when we mortal the King, we mean our present rightful sovereign k adjecti George, who now reigns; when we speak of a philo prince, we intend his royal highness Frederick Prince as well. Wales: if we mention the city when we are near La abstract don, we generally mean the city of London; when

country parish k io whe Testam

mean t Note whatfor added garden, lar idea

Great New-1 book;

count

Con

country town, we fay the parfon or the efquire, all the parish knows who are the fingle persons intended by it; when we are speaking of the history of the New Testament, and use the words Peter, Paul, John, we mean those three apostles.

Note in the third place, that any common name whatfoever is made proper, by terms of particularity added to it, as the common words Pope, King, horse, garden, book, knife, &c are defigned to fignify a fingu lar idea, when we fay the present pope; the King of e such Great Britain; the horse that won the last plate at of hein New-Market; the royal garden at Kenfington: this book; that knife, &c.

SECT. V.

Of concrete and abstract Terms.

ge-tea, or concrete.

mas, Ja Abstract terms signify the mode or quality of a bees also, ing, without any regard to the subject in which it is; is they as whiteness, roundness, length, breadth, wildom, mor-

y particle tality, life, death.

Concrete terms; while they express the quality, do name also either express, or imply, or refer to some subject to or place which it belongs; as white, round, long, broad, wife, then we mortal, living, dead: but these are not always noun reign k adjectives in a grammatical sense; for a sool, a knave, a ak of aphilosopher and many other concretes are substantives, k Prince as well as folly, knavery, and philosophy, which are the near le abstract terms that belong to them.

E 3

SECT.

o'mmon ed appe on nam e to ma ecies:

Atna, em agri

become n to feve as then ame all ors.

t of Ind

when

count

and w defign t Uur

furnish words; we hav many word 1 every 1 fweetne

bear, t

SECT. VI.

Of univocal and equivocal Words.

V. TYTORDS and terms are either univocal equivocal. Univocal words are fuch a rose: fignify but one idea, or at least but one fort of the yet ha equivocal words are fuch as fignify two or more counsel ferent ideas, or different forts of objects. The wo of a 1 book, bible, fish, house, elephant, may be called unive God, 1 words; for I know not that they fignify any things also such there is but those ideas to which they are generally affixed; there is head is an equivocal word, for it signifies the head bitter of nail, or of a pin, as well as of an animal; nail is and the equivocal word, it is used for the nail of the hand proach foot, and for an iron nale to fasten any thing; put sword equivocal, it is a piece of timber, or a fwift messen, the far A church is a religious assembly, or the large fair but differen ing where they meet; and fometimes the fame will The means a fynod of bishops or of presbyters, and in a equivo places it is the Pope and a general council.

Here let it be noted, that when two or more wo it; as fignify the fame thing, as wave and billow, meads reproa meadow, they are usually called fynonymous wore fruit, but it feems very ftrange, that words, which are dire very d contrary to each other, should fometimes represent by, to most the same ideas; yet thus it is in some few into get a sces, a valuable, or an invaluable blessing; a shame or a shameless villian: a thick skull, or a thin skull the E fellow, a mere paper ikull: a man of a large conscient without little conscience, or no conscience: a famous rascal, of wh an infamous one: fo uncertain a thing is human form gurge, whose foundation and support is custom.

As words fignifying the same thing are called so nymous; fo equivocal words, or those which sign feveral things, are called homonymous, or ambiguo and when persons use such ambiguous words, with a

defign to deceive, it is called equivocation.

Our simple ideas, and especially the sensible qualities, furnish us with a great variety of equivocal or ambiguous words; for these being the first, and most natural ideas we have, we borrow fome of their names, to fignify many other ideas, both fimple and complex. word fweet expresses the pleasant perceptions of almost every sense; sugar is sweet, but it hath not the same nivocal sweetness as musick; nor hath musick the sweetness of re such a rose: and a sweet prospect differs form them all: nor t of this yet have any of these the same sweetness as discourse, mored counsel, or meditation hath: yet the royal Psalmist saith. The wo of a man, we took sweet counsel together; and of ed univo God, my meditation of him shall be sweet. Bitter is thing also such an equivocal word: there is bitter wormwood, fixed; there are bitter words, there are bitter enemies, and a head or bitter cold morning. So there is a sharpness in vinegar, nail is and there is a sharpness in pain, in sorrow, and in re-ne hand proach; there is a sharp eye, a sharp wit, and a sharp ng; poll fword: but there is not one of these seven sharpnesses messen the same as another of them, and a sharp east wind is fair bu different from them all.

fame wi There are also verbs, or words of action, which are ad in a equivocal as well as nouns or names. The words to bear, to take, to come, to get, are sufficient instances of nore wor it; as when we fay, to bear a burden, to bear forrow or meada reproach, to bear a name, to bear a grudge, to bear as word fruit, or to bear children; the word bear is used in are dired very different fenses: and so is the word get, when we present say, to get money, to get in, to get off, to get ready, to

without, that, then, there, for, forth, above, about, &c. or rascal, of which grammars and dictionaries will sufficiently in-

uman la form us. n.

alled fyn ich fign ambigua

SECT. VII.

Various Kinds of equivocal Words.

T would be endless to run through all the variet words in of words and terms, which have different for idea; for applied to them; I shall only mention therefore all persons of the most remarkable and most useful distinctions things mong them.

1st, The first division of equivocal words lets know that some are equivocal only in their sound pronunciation; others are equivocal only in writin ficiently and others, both in writing and in found.

Words equivocal in found only, are fuch as the cording the rein of a bridle, which hath the same sound w arise fi the reign of a king, or a shower of rain, but all the have different letters, and diffind spelling, So min shaggy or strength, is equivocal in found, but differs in write den; t from mite, a little animal, or a finall piece of mon I call r And the verb to write, has the same sound with wie the no a workman, right or equity, and rite or ceremony, but fituation is spelled very differently from them all...

Words equivocal in writing only, are fuch as the vocal. to tear to pieces has the fame spelling with a tear: lead, or guide, has the fame letters as lead the met fpring and a bowl for recreation, is written the same way a perfect bowl for drinking; but the pronunciation of all their felf, ar different.

But those words, which are most commonly a are so justly called equivocal, are such as are both written a someti pronounced the fame way, and yet have different fent differe or ideas belonging to them; fuch are all the instant or virt which were given in the preceding fection.

Among the words which are equivocal in found on limite and not in writing, there is a large field for persons w wards

delight i fport the wanton of expre called de

with a Though words y Ther

fentive poverty vocal v writing 2dly

made e

it is b But

3dh

to G

delight in jests, and puns, in riddles and quibbes, to port themselves. This fort of words is also used by wanton persons to convey lewd ideas, under the covert of expressions capable of a chaste meaning, which are alled double entendres; or when persons speak falshood with a defign to deceive, under the covert of truth. Though it must be confessed, that all sorts of equivocal

words yield fufficient matter for fuch purpofes.

There are many cases also, wherein an equivocal he varie words is used for the sake of decency to cover a foul rent fer idea: for the most chaste and modest, and well-bred efore at persons, having sometimes a necessity to speak of the inctions things of nature, convey their ideas in the most inoffenlive language by this means. And indeed, the mere poverty of all languages makes it necessary to use equids lets vocal words upon many occasions, as the common round writings of men, and even the holy book of God sufn writin ficiently manifest.

2dly, Equivocal words are usually distinguished, acas the cording to their original, into fuch, whose various senses ound w arise from mere chance or accident, and such as are t all the made equivocal by design; as the word bear signifies a So min shaggy beast, and it signifies also to bear or carry a bur-in wind den; this seems to be the mere effect of chance: but if of mone I call my dog, bear, because he is shaggy, or call one of ith wir the northern constellations by that name, from a fancied ony, but fituation of the flars in the shape of that animal, then it is by design that the word is made yer farther equi-

a tear: But because I think this common account of the he met fring or origin equivocal words is too flight and ime way a perfect, I shall reserve this subject to be treated of by itall the felf, and proceed to the third division.

3dly, Ambiguous, or equivocal words, are fuch as nonly a are sometimes taken in a large and general sense, and rritten a sometimes in a sense more strict and limited, and have rent sense different ideas affixed to them accordingly. Religion, e instant or virtue, taken in a large sense, includes both our duty to God and our neighbour; but in a most strict, ound on limited, and proper sense, virtue signifies our duty to-rsons w wards men, and religion our duty to God, Virtue

as the vocal.

delig

may yet be taken in the strictest sense, and then it is a five fer spears by spears by spears the fense means the savour of God, and all the spears by spears by spears that proceed from it, which is a frequency sense of it in the bible) but in a limited sense it sign ben in all the habit of holiness wrought in us by divine savour a complex idea of the christian virtues. It may also taken in the strictest sense; and thus it signifies that single christian virtue, as in 2 Cor. viii. 6, 7. where is used for liberality. So a city, in a strict and profession significant in the strictest speak of a word is really made. This larger and stricter sense of a word is really made.

This larger and stricter sense of a word is used in ally made This larger and stricter sense of a word is used in mally man most all the sciences, as well as in theology, and common life. The word geography, taken in a strict sense, signifies the knowledge of the circles of the early globe, and the situation of the various parts of earth; when it is taken in a little larger sense, it cludes the knowledge of the seas also; and in the larger sense, it sense of all, it extends to the various customs, had and governments of nations. When an astronomuses the word star in its proper and strict sense, it is plied only to the fixed stars, but in a large sense, it is the planets also.

cludes the planets allo.

cludes the planets also.

This equivocal sense of words belongs also to me of figure proper names: so Asia taken in the largest sense, is quarter of the world; in a more limited sense is sense, or quarter of the world; in a more limited sense is sense, or quarter of the world; in a more limited sense is sense, or quarter of the world; in a more limited sense is sense.

This equivocal sense of the sense is sense, in a large sense also words belongs also to me of significant sense.

The equivocal sense is sense, in a large sense also to me of significant sense.

The equivocal sense is sense also to me of significant sense is sense.

The equivocal sense is sense also to me of significant sense is sense.

The equivocal sense is sense also to me of significant sense is sense.

The equivocal sense is sense also to me of significant sense also to me of significant sense is sense also to me of significant sense also to me of Flanders ten.

There are also some very common and little wo lar idea in all languages, that are used in a more extensive not so more limited sense; such as all, every, whatsoever, when the apostle says, all men have sinned, and original men must die, all is taken in its most universal and

Who

ten

PART ART I. nen it is aftive fense, including all mankind, Rom. v. 12.

It is to be the appoints prayer to be made for all men, it pears by the following verses, that he remains the modall to signify chiefly all ranks and degrees of men, Tim. ii. 1. But when St. Paul says, I please all the in all things, I Cor. x. 33. the word all is exceeded to say the leased all those men whom he conversed with, in all signifies:

Athly, Fquivocal words are in the fourth place distinguished by their literal or figurative sense. Words

and proper inguished by their literal or figurative sense. Words and proper used in a proper or literal sense when they are dewalls; and to signify those ideas for which they were origiused in tally made, or to which they are primarily and general-yannexed; but they are used in a figurative or tropical ense, when they are made to fignify some things, which in a hard half bear either a reference or a resemblance to the string ideas of them. So when two princes contend to the large in fight of the eagle are proper expressions; but when fight and wings are applied to riches, it is only by way figure and metaphor. So when man is faid to resent, or laugh, or grieve, it is literally taken; but when God is faid to be grieved, to repent, or laugh, &c. these re all figurative expressions, borrowed from a resemblance to mankind. And when the words Job or Sardis, Ether are used to signify those very persons, it is the literal sense of them; but when they signify those two books of scripture, this is a figurative sense. The sames of Horace, Juvenal, and Milton, are used in the lame manner, either for books or men.

When a word, which originally fignifies any particu-

When a word, which originally fignifies any particu-aridea or object, is attributed to feveral other objects, not so much by way of resemblance, but rather on the account of some evident reference or relation to the original idea, this is sometimes peculiarly called an ana-

logical

logical word; fo a found or healthy pulse; a found scripture gestion; found sleep; are so called, with reference to them found and healthy constitution; but if you speak found doctrine, or found speech, this is by way of femblance to health, and the words are metaphoria vet many times analogy and metaphor are used prom cuously in the same sense, and not distinguished.

Here note, that the defign of metaphorical langua and figures of speech is not merely to represent ideas, but represent them with vivacity, spirit, affection and power; and though they often make a deeper's pression on the mind of the hearer, yet they do as of lead him into a mistake, if they are used at impro times and places. Therefore, where the defign of speaker or writer is merely to explain, to instruct, to lead into the knowledge of naked truth, he ought, the most part, to use plain and proper words, if language affords them, and not to deal much in figur tive speech. But this fort of terms is used very pro tably by poets and orators, whose business is to mo and perfuade, and work on the passions as well as the understanding. Figures are also happily employ in proverbial moral fayings by the wifest and the best men, to impress them deeper on the memory by fer ble images; and they are often used for other valua purpofes in the facred writings.

5thly, I might adjoin another fort of equivo words; as there are some which have a different me ing in common language, from what they have in fciences; the word passion signifies the receiving a action in a large philosophical sense; in a more limit philosophical fense, it fignifies any of the affections human nature, as love, fear, joy, forrow, &c. common people confine it only to anger. So the wo fimple philosophically fignifies fingle, but vulgarly it

used for foolish.

6thly, Other equivocal words are used sometimes an absolute sense, as when God is called perfect, while allows of no defect: and fometimes in a comparati fense, as good men are oftentimes called perfect **fcriptu**

rather t add no

PART I.

mistak not be count change thus l

I. . ferent not he or the accide thefe

lenie a

2. variou fons r m th to the ing o thefe

hone gated on ar

PART PART I. found feripture, in comparison of those who are much inferior erence, to them in knowledge or holiness: but I have dwelt fpeat rather too long upon this subject already, therefore I way of add no more,

SECT. VIII.

The Origin or Caufes of equivocal Words.

NOW, that we may become more skilful in guarding ourselves and others against the dangers of miltake which may arise from equivocal words, it may not be amiss to conclude this chapter with a short account of the various ways or means whereby a word changes its fignification, or acquires any new fense, and the bell thus becomes equivocal, especially if it keeps its old sense also.

I. Mere chance fometimes gives the fame word different fenses; as the word light signifies a body that is not heavy; and it also signifies the effect of sun-beams, or the medium whereby we fee objects: this is merely accidental, for there feems to be no connection between ore limit these two senses, nor any reason for them.

2. Error and mistake is another occasion of giving various fenses to the same word; as when different perlons read the names of prieft, bishop, church, easter, &c. in the New Testament, they affix different ideas to to them, for want of acquaintance with the true meaning of the facred writer; though it must be confessed, metimes these various senses, which might arise at first from fect, whit omparate honest mistake, may be culpably supported and propagated by interest, ambition, prejudice, and a party-spirit perfest on any fide. **fcriptu**

F

3. Time

s to mor well as employ y by fen er valual equivo rent me nave in eiving a

Tections!

o the wo

garly it

Butt

aphoric ed prom ed. l langua prefent o affection iceper i

o as of improp fign of

Struct, 2 ought,

rds, if t in figur

very pro

3. Time and custom alters the meaning of work Knave heretofore fignified a diligent servant (Gnavus) and a villain was a nearer tenant to the lord of the manor (Villicus;) but now both these words carry idea of wickedness and reproach to them. A balk once signified a solemn and sacred song, as well as one that is trivial, when Solomon's Song was called the ballad of ballads; but now it is applied to nothing but trissing verse, or comical subjects.

4. Words change their fense by figures and metaphors, which are derived from some real analogy or refemblance between several things; as when wings and flight are applied to riches, it signifies only, that the owner may as easily lose them, as he would lose a bit

who flew away with wings,

And I think, under this head, we may rank the words, which fignify different ideas, by a fort of an unaccountable far-fetcht analogy, or diftant refemblant that fancy has introduced between one thing and a nother; as when we fay, the meat is green, when it half-roafted: we speak of airing linen by the fire, who we mean drying or warming it: we call for round contor the chimney, when we mean large square ones: as we talk of the wing of a rabbit when we mean the forleg: the true reason of these appellations we leavet the criticks.

5. Words also changetheir sense by the special occion of using them, the peculiar manner of pronunction, the sound of the voice, the motion of the sace, gestures of the body; so when an angry master says his servant, it is bravely done, or you are a fine gent man, he means just the contrary; namely, it is very done; you are a forry fellow: it is one way of giving severe reproach, for the words are spoken by ways farcasim or irony.

6. Words are applied to various fenses, by new ideappearing or arising faster than new words are frame So when gun-powder was found out, the word powder which before fignified only dust, was made then to see high that mixture or composition of nitre, charcoal, see And the name canon, which before fignified a law of

PART
rule, is
to nati
mon r
fpit, o
ftrume
were
other
7ideas

when who we found religion Turk

them,

to fig gospe 8. the b in a t

the b

furat

If

met:

in or than chan (from a fhr Frem dept original

a for reafer beat and and

as t

of word Gnavus: ord of the s carry a A ball vell as on called th

and meta ogy or re wings and , that the ofe a bin

o nothing

ank the of an un fembland g and a when it fire, who und coa ones: an the for e leavet

cial occ ronunce e face, er fayst ie gentle is very f giving y way

new ide e frame powde en to li coal, & law or

rul

rule, is now also given to a great gun, which gives laws to nations. So foot-boys, who had frequently to common name of Jack given them, were kept to turn the fpit, or to pull off their master's boots; but when infruments were invented for both these services, they were both called jacks, though one was of iron, the other of wood, and very different in their form.

7. Words alter their fignifications according to the ideas of the various persons, sects, or parties who use them, as we have hinted before; fo when a papift uses the word hereticks, he generally means the protestants; when a protestant uses the word, he means any persons who were wilfully (and perhaps contentiously) obitinate in foundamental errors. When a Jew speaks of the true religion, he means the institution of Moses; when a Turk mentions it, he intends the doctrine of Mahomet: but when a christian makes use of it, he designs to fignify christianity, or the truths and precepts of the gospel.

8. Words have different fignifications according to the book, writing, or discourse in which they stand. So in a treatife of anatomy, a foot fignifies that member in the body of man: But in a book of geometry or men-

furation, it fignifies twelve inches.

If I had room to exemplify most of these particulars in one fingle word, I know not where to chuse a fitter than the word found, which feems (as it were) by chance, to fignify three distinct ideas, (viz.) healthy (from fanus) as a found body; noife, (from fonus) as a shrill found: and to found the sea (perhaps from the French fonde, a probe, or an instrument to find the depth of water.) From these three, which I may call original fenses, various derivative fenses arise; as sound fleep, found lungs, found wind and limb, a found heart, a found mind, found doctrine, a found divine, found reason, a sound cask, sound timber, a sound reproof, to beat one foundly, to found one's meaning or inclination, and a found or narrow sea; turn all these into Latin, and the variety will appear plain.

I confess, some few of these which I have mentioned as the different springs of equivocal words, may be re-

duced

duced in some cases to the same original: but it mi also be granted, that there may be other ways beside these whereby a word comes to extend its signification to include various ideas, and become equivocal An though is is the business of a grammarian to pur these remarks with more variety and particularity, we it is also the work of a logician to give notice of the lest darkness, confusion and perplexity brought into our conceptions by the means of work and thence our judgments and reasonings become em neous.

CHAP. V.

GENERAL DIRECTIONS RELATING TO OUR IDEA and re

Direction I. URNISH yourselves with a mi variety of ideas; acquaint yourselve with things ancient and modern; things natural, on and religious; things domestick and national; thing of your native land, and of foreign countries: thing present, past and future; and above all, be well acquain ed with God and yourselves; learn animal nature, at the workings of your own spirits.

Such a general acquaintance with things will be

very great advantage.

The first benefit of it is this; it will affish the used reason in all its following operations; it will teach you to judge of things aright, to argue justly, and method whose your thoughts with accuracy. When you shall fin feveral things a-kin to each other, and feveral different trifles from each other, agreeing in some part of their idea seen and difagreeing in other parts, you will range your idea you to in better order, you will be more eafily led into a di indulg

PART I tinet k of prop You

of the 1 losophy not dire be con connec reason ject. ignorar about a

> acquait admira weakn thing therefo every t

for wal philoso Ano

them. A 1 with t politiv unbeli thing that It incred

Th ideas, books. of me lazy

monly

at it mul s beside nification cal An to purie

larity, ye e of the lexity b of words me erro

h a ric

will be a

tind

tind knowledge of things, and will obtain a rich store of proper thoughts and arguments upon all accasions.

You will tell me perhaps, that you defign the study of the law or divinity; and what good can natural phiblophy or mathematicks do you, or any other science, not directly subordinate to your chief design? but let it be confidered, that all sciences have a fort of mutual connection; and knowledge of all kinds fit the mind to reason and judge better concerning any particular subject. I have known a judge upon the bench betray his ignorance, and appear a little confused in his fentiments about a case of suspected murder brought before him, for want of some acquaintance with animal nature and philosophy.

Another benefit of it is this: fuch a large and general acquaintance with things will fecure you from perpetual admirations and fuprizes, and guard you against that weakness of ignorant persons, who have never seen any thing beyond the confines of their own dwelling, and therefore they wonder at almost every thing they see; every thing beyond the fmoke of their own chimney, R IDEA and reach of their own windows, is new and strange to

them.

A third benefit of fuch an universal acquaintance yourselve with things, is this; it will keep you from being too ural, in politive and dogmatical, from an access of credulity and ; thing unbelief, i. e. a readiness to believe, or to deny every s: thing at first hearing; when you shall have often seen, acquaint that strange and uncommon things, which often seemed ture, an incredible, are found to be true; and things very commonly received have been found faise.

The way of attaining such an extensive treasure of ideas, is, with diligence to apply yourfelf to read the best the uses books, converse with the most knowing and the wisest teach you of men, and endeavour to improve by every person in methods whose company you are; suffer no hour to pass away in a shall find lazy idleness, and impertinent chattering or useless different trifles: visit other cities and countries when you have heir ida feen your own, under the care of one who can teach our idea you to profit by travelling, and to make wife observations; ato a distinct indulge a little curiofity in seeing the wonders of art

F 3

excefs.

he defe

and nature; fearch into things yourfelves, as well; your unlearn them from others: be acquainted with men men wi well as books; learn all things as much as you can fond of first hand; and let as many of your ideas as possible you have the representations of things, and not merely the representations of other mens ideas: thus your foul, like for this man noble building, shall be richly furnished with origin improve paintings, and not with mere copies.

Direct. II. Use the most proper methods to ret 2. T that treasure of ideas which you have acquired; fort or learn mind is ready to let many of them flip, unless so make a pains and labour be taken to fix them upon the m you have

mory.

with yo And more especially let those ideas be laid up a where y preserved with the greatest care, which are most direct whether fuited, either to your eternal welfare as a christian, or petition your particular station and profession in this life; this pro though the former rule recommends an universal a words quaintance with things, yet it is but a more general a upon a superficial knowledge that is required or expected of a 3. Coman, in things which are utterly foreign to his or improve business; but it is necessary you should have a more as may particular and accurate acquaintance with those thin they ar that refer to your peculiar province and duty in this Locke' or your happiness in another.

There are some persons who never arrive at a humou deep, folid, or valuable knowledge in any science, all, sett any business of life; because they are perpetually flutte page for ing over the surface of things in a curious and wands pages. ing fearch of infinite variety: ever hearing, reading. At asking after something new, but impatient of any labor may reto lay up and preserve the ideas they have gained: the judge of fouls may be compared to a looking glass, that when that n foever you turn it, it receives the images of all object and tri

but retains none.

grown In order to preserve your treasure of ideas and by your or knowledge you have gained, pursue these advices, especially uper o cially in your younger years.

1. Recollect every day the things you have feen, a heard, or read, which may have made any addition!

YOU

th men men with diligence and perpetual reviews: be not you can fond of haftening to a new book, or a new chapter, till possible you have well fixed and established in your minds what the representation was useful in the last: make use of your memory in like so this manner, and you will sensibly experience a gradual though improvement of it, while you take care not to load it to excefs.

s to ret 2. Talk over the things which you have feen, heard

d; fort or learned with some proper acquaintance; this will aless for make a fresh impression upon your memory; and if n them you have no fellow-student at hand, none of equal rank with yourselves, tell it over to any of your acquaintance, aid up where you can do it with propriety and decency; and off dired whether they learn any thing by it or no, your own reftian, or petition of it will be an improvement to yourself: and is life; it this practice also will furnish you with a variety of iversal a words and copious language, to express your thoughts general a upon all occasions.

Ited of a 3. Commit to writing some of the most considerable

o his or improvements which you daily make, at least such hints we a mo as may recall them again to your mind, when perhaps nose thin they are vanished and and lost. And here I think Mr. n this Locke's method of adverfaria or common places, which he describes in the end of the first volume of his postwe at a humous works, is the best; using no learned method at science, all, setting down things as they occur, leaving a distinct lly flutte page for each subject, and making an index to the

d wande pages.

reading. At the end of every week, or month, or year, you may review your remarks for these reasons: first, to ned: the judge of your own improvement, when you shall find at when that many of your younger collections are either weak.
Il object and trifling: or if they are just and proper, yet they are grown now fo familiar to you, that you will thereby fee as and by your own advancement in knowledge. And in the

ices, ex next place, what remarks you find there worthy of your oper observations, you may note them with a marginal

e feen, a ddigion to

reby yo

study, 2

ould t e muf fides

flar, * instead of transcribing them, as being worth ore neces your fecond year's review, when the others are

To shorten something of this labour, if the bo nost ever which you read are your own, mark with a pen s! how pencil, the most considerable things in them which the pencil, the most considerable things in them which the pencil to inspect the fecond time over with half the trouble, by your me authorized. It is but a very weak objection against the practice, to say, I shall spoil my book; for I persu his study myself, that you did not buy it as a bookseller, to it again for gain, but as a scholar to improve your me every by it; and if the mind be improved, your advantage dept the which you read are your own, mark with a pen by it; and if the mind be improved, your advantage ident the abundant, though your book yields less money to m Direct. executors.

Direct. III. As you proceed both in learning and hen the life, make a wife observation what are the ideas, where are the discourses and the parts of knowledge that here are experience have matured your judgment, then your gradually drop the more useless part of your your ild image furniture, and be more solicitous to retain that which id a share see see

editat * Note, this advice of writing, marking, and revie the h ing your marks, refer chiefly to those occasional notions was har meet with either in reading or in conversation: but what we you are directly and professedly pursuing any subject borion knowledge in a good fystem in your younger years, tould fystem itself is your common place book, and must Yet entirely reviewed. The fame may be faid concerning confi any treatife which closely, fuccincily, and accurate bra handles any particular theme.

ng worth

ers are reby you will come to make the fame complaint that reby you will come to make the same complaint that if the bound the severy learned man has done after long experience study, and in the study of human life and religion: show many hours, and days, and months, have I is how many hours, and days, and months, have I is in pursuing some parts of learning, and in reading me authors, which have turned to no other account, by your it to inform me, that they were not worth my labour pencil is against the happy the man who has a wise tutor to against the him through all the sciences in the first years his study: and who has a prudent friend always at severy science is worth his pursuit! and happy the advantage mey to be be dent that is so wise as to follow such advice!

Direct. IV. Learn to acquire a government over our ideas and your thoughts, that they may come

ming and hen they are called, and depart when they are bidden. here are fome thoughts that rife and intrude upon us that he here are fome thoughts that rife and intrude upon us hile we shun them; there are others that sly from us; rs. Into hen we would hold and fix them.

The her are of your present meditation are ready to sly from that age on, you must be obstinate in the pursuit of them by an age and which here ages are work, when it is ready to start at every moment, are your hels you will abandon yourself to be a slave to every ur your hels you will abandon yourself to be a slave to every at which he shameful thing, that every trifle that comes across the senses or fancy should divert us, that a buzzing sly ould teaze our spirits, and scatter our best ideas: but ould teaze our spirits, and scatter our best ideas: but emust learn to be deaf and regardless of other things, fides that which we make the present subject of our editation: and in order to help a wandering and nd revie the humour, it is useful to have a book of paper in notions which has some proper hints of the subject but what we design to pursue. We must be resolute and fubject borious, and fometimes conflict with ourselves if we years, tould be wise and learned.

d must Yet I would not be too severe in this rule: it must concernit confessed there are seasons when the mind, or rather accurate the brain is overtired or jaded with study or thinking;

or upon some other accounts animal nature m languid or cloudy, and unfit to affift the spirit in tation; at fuch feasons (provided that they return too often) it is better fometimes to yield to the pa indisposition; for if nature entirely resist, nothing a scial in that subject or second done to the purpose, at least in that subject or & Then you may think it proper to give yourself fome hours of leifure and recreation, or useful idle or if not, then turn your thoughts to some others or ing subject, and pore no longer upon the first, till defining fubject, and pore no longer upon the first, till defining the first or more favourable moments arise. A finish may shall do more in one hour, when all things come is so our invite him to thy special study, than in four hour, ick. Note that the four hours is a so one of the first or more feason. Then you may think it proper to give yourself

I would also give the same advice, if some variety open worthless, or foolish idea will crowd itself into sted; be thoughts; and if you find that all your labour akness, wrestling cannot defend yourself from it, then diverd from importunity of that which offends you by turning egularity thoughts to some entertaining subject, that may a The real little and draw you off from the troublesome and see, poling guest; and many a time also in such a cale, the impertinent and intruding ideas would divert I. Con present duty, devotion and prayer have been ven cessful to overcome such obstinate troublers of the 2. Con

and profit of the foul.

If the natural genius and temper be too volatiles and wandering, such persons ought in a more of 4 Co manner to apply themselves to mathematical lear 5. Co and to begin their studies with arithmetick and go try; wherein new truths, continually arifing to mind out of the plainest and easiest principles, wills the thoughts with incredible pleasure in the put this will give the student such a delightful taste of foning, as will fix his attention to the fingle if which he purfues, and by degrees will cure the had levity of his spirit: but let him not indulge and p these so far, as to neglect the prime studies of b ngned profession.

3. Co.

ature m spirit in in

hey return to the pro

yourfelf "

CHAP. VI.

nothing a ECIAL RULES TO DIRECT OUR CONCEPTIONS OF

Great part of what has been already written is defigned to lay a foundation for those rules, first, till a great guide and regulate our conceptions of things; e. At hich may guide and regulate our conceptions of things; ngs come is is our main business and design in the first part of ur hour, ick. Now if we can but direct our thoughts to a just thanny manner in forming our ideas of things the d happy manner in forming our ideas of things, the fome var her operations of the mind will not fo eafily be perelf into ned; because most of our errors in judgment, and the ir labour akness, fallacy and mistake of our argumentation, pronen diver d from the darkness, confusion, defect, or some other turning egularity in our conceptions.

at may 2. The rules to affift and direct our conceptions are some and see,

d divert 1. Conceive of things clearly and distinctly in their

own natures.

of the 2. Conceive of things completely in all their parts.

3. Conceive of things comprehensively in all their

wolatiled properties and relations.

more of 4 Conceive of things extensively in all their kinds.

Conceive of things orderly, or in a proper me tical lear 5. Conceive of things orderly, or in a proper method.

SECT. I.

Of gaining clear and distinct Ideas.

PHE first rule is this, seek after a clear and distinct conception of things as they are in their own

a case,

and geo ising to es, will a the pur tafte of

ingle for the hab e and p es of his

nature, and do not content yourselves with obsem mistakes confused ideas, where clearer are to be attained.

There are forne things indeed whereof distinct are scarce attainable, they seem to surpass the cape I. T

yet arrived at clear and distinct ideas, such as the now t cular shape, situation, contexture, motion of the definit particles of minerals, metals, plants, &c. whereby very natures and effences are diffinguished from other. Nor have we either fenses or instrument ficiently nice and accurate to find them out. I are other things in the world of spirits whereing deas are very dark and confused, such as their with animal nature, the way of their action on ma beings, and their converse with each other. though it is a laudable ambition to fearch what m known of these matters, yet it is a vast hindrance to enrichment of our understandings, if we spend toon of our time and pains among infinites and unfearch and those things for the investigation whereof we TF not furnished with proper faculties in the present I for It is therefore of great service to the true improve which of the mind, to distinguish well between knowable seldon unknowables.

As far as things are knowable by us, it is of a would lent use to accustom ourselves to clear and distinct selves Now among many other occasions of the darkness might

most re

PAR PART I

milt fince

comin

ined. distinct

ed from ftrument) out. T vherein a s their u n on mat

other. what ma drance to

end toom unsearcha

h obscur mistakes of our minds, there are these two things which most remarkably bring confusion into our ideas.

the and I. That from our infancy we have had the ideas of fuch at things fo far connected with the ideas of words, that er this we often mistake words for things, we mingle and con.

we often mistake words for things, we mingle and conmultitude found one with the other.

2. From our youngest years we have been ever ready to consider things not so much in their own natures, as in their various respects to ourselves, and chiefly to our yet the sense; and we have also joined and mingled the ideas of some things, with many other ideas, to which they are not a kin in their own natures.

In order therefore to a clear and distinct knowledge of things, we must unclothe them of all these relations and mixtures, that we may contemplate them naked, and to the that we have in view from all other subjects what soever that we have in view from all other subjects what soever.

hers have that we have in view from all other subjects what soever: of the definition of words, and the definition of things.

SECT. II.

Of the Definition of Words or Names.

ereof we IF we conceive of things as angles and unbodied present I spirits do without involving them in those clouds improve which words and language throw upon them, we should nowable seldom be in danger of such mistakes as are perpetually committed by us in the prefent state; and indeed it it is of a would be of unknown advantage to us to accustom our-distinct selves to form ideas of things without words, that we darkness might know them in their own proper natures. But mil face we must use words, both to learn and to communicate

PART PART

lating '

nicate most of our notions, we should do it with the rules of caution. I have already declared in part, he feelty often and by what means our words become the out orific, should do it with the rule of the state of dy fuch inconveniencies, we must get exact definition of the words we make use of, i. e. we must determ in lear precisely the sense of our words, which is called the sense of the name. finition of the name.

Now a definition of the name being only a decke learning tion in what fense the word is used, or what idea ord ject we mean by it, this may be expressed by any or more of the properties, effects or circumstances that object which do fufficiently diffinguish it for other objects: as if I were to tell what I mean by word air, I may fay it is that thin matter which breathe in and breathe out continually; or it is fluid body in which the birds fly a little above earth; or it is that invisible matter which fills all pla near the earth, or which immediately encompasses globe of earth and water. So if I would tell what I mean by light, I would fay it is that medium when we see the colours and shapes of things; or it ist Direct which distinguishes the day from the night. If I w asked what I mean by religion, I would answer, it words collection of all our duties to God, if taker in all them and limited fense; but if taken in large sense, it where collection of all our duties both to God and m fo ma These are called the definitions of the name.

Note, in defining the name, there is no necessity or at we should be acquainted with the intimate essente sufer. nature of the thing; for any manner of descriptions they will but sufficiently acquaint another person what under mean by such a word, is a sufficient definition for this name. And on this account, a synonymous word, mystismere negation of the contrary, a translation of the word. into another tengue, or a grammatical explication of have is sometimes sufficient for this purpose; as if one wo and d know what I mean by a sphere, I tell him it is a glowhetlif he ask what is a triangle, it is that which has the shape of angles; or an oval is that which has the shape of

vet fo fome.

y a declar learning. idea or d by any o instances th it from nean by which or it is t above t

impasses t tell what m where

Is all place

Thape of

It with the eg. Dark is that which has no light; assume is a difficulty of breathing; a diaphoretic medicine, or a suddent the out of orific, is something that will provoke sweating; and an adverse the out of orific is a man that cannot now his later than an area. To rem infolvent is a man that cannot pay his debts.

definition Since it is the defign of logic, not only to affift us the determined but in teaching also, it is necessary that we have the furnished with some particular than the furnished with some particular than the state of t lled the fould be furnished with some particular directions relating to the definitions of names, both in teaching and

SECT. III.

Directions concerning the Definitions of Names.

or it is Direct. I. AVE a care of making use of mere If I was a words, instead of ideas, i. e. such fwer, it words as have no meaning, no definition belonging to er in a them: do not always imagine that there are ideas enfe, it is wherefoever there are names: for though mankind hath and me fo many millions of ideas more than they have names, yet so foolish and lavish are we, that too often we use some words in mere waste, and have no ideas for them; ecessity or at least, our ideas are so exceedingly shattered an conte essent fused, broken and blended, various and unsettled, that cription they can signify nothing toward the improvement of the on what understanding. You will find a great deal of reason for ition for this remark, if you read the popula schoolmen, or the sword, mystic divines.

of the W Never rest satisfied therefore with mere words which ication of have not ideas belonging to them, or at least no settled one wo and determinate ideas. Deal not in such empty ware, it is a gle whether you are a learner or a teacher; for hereby some ch has persons have made themselves rich in words, and lear-

G 2

ned

up for

words

noran

in this

Th

cave 1

beam

him,

and I

certai

derive

clor'

it

where

collec

mech

the v

powe

ned in their own efteem: whereas in reality their for fan derstandings have been poor, and they knew nothing straws,

Let me give, for instance, some of those writers that the talkers who deal much in the words nature, fate, la chance, perfection, power, life, fortune, instinct, be those w and that even in the most calm and instructive parts their discourse; though neither they themselves in north their hearers, have any fettled meaning under the words; and thus they build up their reasonings, a one er infer what they please, with an ambition of the namet Wher learning, or of fublime elevations in religion; where thy, for in truth they do but amuse themselves and their mirers with fwelling words of vanity, understanding in ther what they fay, nor whereof they affirm. But fort of talk was reproved of old by the two chief and tles St. Peter and St. Paul, I Tim. i. 7. and 2h 11. 18.

When pretenders to philosophy or good sense m fond of this fort of learning, they dazle and confor their weak hearers, but fall under the neglect of t The Epicureans are guilty of this fault, wh they ascribe the formation of this world to chance: Aristotelians, when they say, nature abhors a vacuum the Stoicks when they talk of fate, which is superior the Gods: and the gamesters when they curse their luck, or hope for the favours of fortune. Whereas they would tell us, that by the word nature they ma the properties of any being, or the order of things the blished at the creation; that by the word fate, they bell, tend the decrees of God, or the necessary connect the e and influence of fecond causes and effects; if by word luck or chance they fignify the obfolute negati of any determinate cause, or only their ignorance of fuch cause, we thould know how to converse w them, and to affent to, or diffent from their opinion But while they flutter in the dark, and make a m with words which have no fixed ideas, they talk to wind, and can never profit.

I would make this matter a little plainer still by loads flances borrowed from the peripatetic philosoph but which was taught once in all the schools. The profe

PARTI PART I. y their e writers , fate, luc inder the But

chief and

and 2 h

fense gro d confour lect of t fault, wh hance: a vacuum fuperior if by t ite negati ance of

The profe

verse w ir opinion ake a no talk to

for fancies he has affigned the true reason, why all heavy bodies tend downward, why amber will draw feathers or nothing fraws, and the loadstone draw iron, when he tells you, that this is done by certain gravitating and attractive qualities, which proceed from the substantial forms of affinct, hofe various bodies. He imagines that he has explainive parts ed why the loadstone's * north pole shall repel the nselves north end of a magnetick needle, and attract the south, when he affirms, that this is done by its fympathy, with onings, one end of it, and its antipathy against the other end. he names Whereas in truth, all these names of sympathy, antipan; where thy, substantial forms and qualities, when they are put d their up for the causes of these effects in bodies, are but hard anding words, which only express a learned and poinpous ignorance of the true cause of natural appearances; and in this fense they are mere words without ideas.

This will evidently appear, if one alk me, why a conave mirror or convex glass will burn wood in the funbeams, or why a wedge will cleave it? and I should tell him, it is by an uftorious quality in the mirror or glass, and by a cleaving power in the wedge, arifing from a certain unknown substantial form in them, whence they derive 'ese qualities; or if he should ask me, why a rikes, and points to the hour? and I should fay, by an indicating form and fonorific quality; fe their whereas I ought to tell him how the fun-beams are Whereas collected and united by a burning glass; whence the they me mechanical force of a wedge is derived; and what are things the wheels and springs, the pointer and hammer, and te, they bell, whereby a clock gives notice of the time, both to connect the eye and the ear. But these ustorious and cleaving powers, fonorous and indicating forms and qualities,

G 3

^{*} Note, Some writers call that the fouth pole of a fill by loadstone which attracts the fouth-end of the needle; philosoph but I chuse to follow those who call it the north pole.

man lif fame t and th fpecies of ano

do either teach the enquirer nothing at all but what and ye

knew before, or they are mere words without ideas, without And there is many a man in the vulgar and in himself learned world, who imgines himself deeply skilled in of the controversies of divinity, whereas he has only furnit operation himself with a parcel of scholastick or mystick was These under some of which the authors themselves, had there i just ideas; and the learner, when he hears, or pronot of the ces them, hath scarce any ideas at all. Such son confusion words sometimes have become matters of immor ideas contention, as though the gospel could not stand wit convert out them; and yet the zealot perhaps knows little me determ of them then he does of Shibboleth, or Higgaion, Set practice Tudges xii. 6. Pfal ix. 16.

Yet here I would lay down this caution, that the Dire are feveral objects of which we have not a clear and ces of tinct idea, much less an adequate or comprehensive a sthei

* It may be objected here, " And what doest derstan modern philosopher with all his detail of mathem fancy " cal numbers, and diagrams, do more than this whofe ward the folution of these difficulties? does her I m describe gravity by a certain unknown force, where almost bodies tend downward to the centre; hath he sou plants "the certain and mechanical reasons of attraction have a unagnetism, &c." I answer, that the moderns is but the found a thousand things by applying mathematicks natural philosophy, which the ancients were ignored of; and when they use any names of this kind, is gravitation, attraction, &c. they use them only to see frequent confession of their ignorance of the true spring of them: they do not pretend to make these wor stand for the real causes of things, as though they there by affigned the true philosophical solution of these differences; for in this sense they will still be words with colewo out ideas, whether in the mouth of an old philosoph or a new one.

or a new one.

out what and yet we cannot call the names of these things, words at ideas, without ideas; fuch are the infinity and eternity of God and in himself, the union of our own soul and body, the union silled int of the divine and human natures in Jefus Christ, the y furnit operation of the holy spirit on the mind of man, &c. lick we These ought not to be called words without ideas, for wes, had there is sufficient evidence for the reality and certainty or pronot of the existence of their objects; though there is some ach for confusion in our clearest conceptions of them; and our f immor ideas of them, though imperfect, are yet sufficient to stand we converse about them, so far as we have need, and to slittle me determine so much as is necessary for our own faith and aion, Sel practice.

, that the Direct. II. Do not suppose that the natures or essenear and es of things always differ from one another, as much nensive a as their names do. There are various purposes in human life, for which we put very different names on the fame thing, or on things whose natures are near a-kin; and thereby oftentimes, by making a new nominal frecies, we are ready to deceive ourselves with the idea of another real species of beings: and those, whose unat doest derstandings are led away by the mere sound of words, mathem fancy the nature of those things to be very different an this whose names are so, and judge of them accordingly.

loes her. I may borrow a remarkable instance for my purpose ce, where almost out of every garden, which contains a variety of the he four plants in it. Most or all plants agree in this, that they attraction have a root, a stalk, leaves, buds, blossoms, and seeds: ematicks names, as though they were really different kinds of ematicks names, as though they were really different kinds of re ignor beings, merely because of the different use and service to which they are applied by men: as for instance, those plants whose roots are eaten shall appropriate the name uses, with the plants whose roots are eaten shall appropriate the name of roots to themselves; such are carrots, turnips, ratine spin we call them herbs; as sage, mint, thyme: if the they there eaves are eaten raw, they are termed sallad; as lettuce, of these pursain: if boiled, they become pot-herbs; as spinage, words with coleworts,; and some of those same plants, which are philosoph pot-herbs in one family, are sallad in another. If the buds

buds are made our food, they are called heads, or to fo cabbage-heads, heads of asparagus and artichoaks e civil ver the blossom be of most importance, we call it a flow diaments of they fuch are daifies, tulips, and carnations, which are not they mere bloffoms of those plants. If the husk or seeds at the paraten, they are called the fruits of the ground, as par nor wo beans, strawberries, &c. If any part of the plant by t known and common use to us, in medicine, we call put new physical herb, as carduus, scurvy-grass; but if we contake of no part useful, we call it a weed, and throw it out of these figarden; and yet perhaps our next neighbour kno nerosity some valuable property and use of it; he plants it in a gal garden, and gives it the title of an herb, or a flow lore. You see here how small is the real distinction of the Direct. feveral plants, considered in their general nature as lesser vegetables: yet what very different ideas wer garly form concerning them, and make different specially the of them, chiefly because of the different names in and mistage. them.

Now when things are fet in this clear light, it gious aff pears how ridiculous it would be for two persons are det in this clear light, it arned.

contend, whether dandelion be an herb, or a we matter whether it be a pot-herb or sallad; when by the angers of tom or fancy of different families, this one plant obtained all these names according to the several uses of it, and the word the value that is put upon it. the value that is put upon it.

Note here, that I find no manner of fault with and we have variety of names which are given to several plants, bey have cording to the various uses we make of them. But would not have our judgments imposed upon here to think that these mere nominal species, viz. herbs let title of lad, and weeds, become three really different species and uses. But I proceed to other instances.

It has been the custom of mankind, when they have been angry with any thing, to add a new ill name to the control of the control of the custom of mankind, when they have been angry with any thing, to add a new ill name to the custom of mankind, when they have been angry with any thing, to add a new ill name to the custom of mankind, when they have been angry with any thing, to add a new ill name to the custom of mankind, when they have the control of the custom of mankind, when they have the control of the custom of mankind, when they have the control of the custom of mankind, when they have the control of the custom of mankind, when they have the custom of mankind of the custom of

It has been the custom of mankind, when they have been angry with any thing, to add a new ill name to a brutes that they may convey thereby a hateful idea of it the brack as the nature of the thing still abides the same. So have the papists call the protestants heretics: a prophane passenge fon calls a man of piety a precision: and in the time lever thing the time of the time of the time of the protestants heretics:

rows, and

PART ART I. s, or to e civil war in the last century, the royalists called the choaks e civil war in the last century, the royalists called the tasks and fectories. tasks to her in requital called the royalists, malignants: ch are not they in requital called the royalists, malignants: or feeds at the partizans on each fide were really neither betd, as per nor worse for these names.

lant he It has also been a frequent practice on the other hand,

we call put new favourable names upon ill ideas, on purpose take off the odium of them. But notwithstanding tout of these flattering names and titles a man of profuse our known of the odium of them. It is a pallant is an adulterer, and a lady of pleasure is a for the ode.

on of the Direct. III. Take heed of believing the nature and ture as fence of two or more things to be certainly the fame, as wen fence of two or more things to be certainly the fame, easily this has been an unhappy and fatal occasion of a thousames on missage and missages in the natural, in the civil, and in the reght, it gious affairs of life both amonst the vulgar and the persons are different are diff

rows, and they called it the vegetative foul: the principle fanimal motion of a brute has been likewife called a foul, and we have been taught to name it the fenfitive foul: plants, hey have also given the name of foul to that superior on here inciple in man, whereby he thinks, judges, reasons, herbs are and though they distinguished this by the honourale title of the rational soul, yet in common discourse and writing we leave out the words vegetative, sensitive, and rational; and make the word soul serve for all these they brinciples: thence we are led early into this iamgina-name to a brutes, like that in men. Whereas if we did but the brack and seperate these things from words, and com-are the cause of growth in a plant, with the cause of hane perfoning in man (without the word soul) we shall set time ever think that these two principles were at all like one

another; nor should we perhaps so easily and pere apes and me torily conclude, that brutes need an intelligent min and cause

perform their animal actions.

Another instance may be the word life, which to attributed to plants, to brutes, and to men, and into find out of them ascribed to the soul, has very easily betrayed from our instancy into this mistake, that the spirit hose words mind or thinking principle, in man, is the spring of getative and animal life to his body: whereas it is far as poldent, that if the spirit or thinking principle of many deas into on life to his animal nature, the way to save men from many be the spirit to abide in the body.

ipirit to abide in the body.

I might derive a third instance from the wordh which is used to signify the sensation we have what are near the fire, as well as cause of that sensation wise in the fire itself; and thence we conclude from infancy, that there is a fort of heat in the fire resemble our own sensation, or the heat which we seel: whe in the fire there is nothing but little particles of most of such particular shapes, sizes, situations and most are fitted to impress such motions on our self nerves as excite the sense of heat. Now if this can our sensation in the fire had been always called the hurch meadisting name, perhaps we had not been so rooted in mistake, that the fire is hot with the same fort of the chur that we feel. This will appear with more evid when we consider that we are secure from the mistake when there have been two different name and the course of it; a great with the same sense in the sun our sense in the sire that burns us, or widely different that cuts and wounds us: for we call it but serve in the sire in the fire, cutting in the knife, and pain only when we consider that we are secure from the sun our sense in the sire, and pain only when we consider that wounds us: for we call it but is in ourselves.

Numerous instances of this kind might be determined our mends I might derive a third instance from the words

is in ourselves.

Numerous instances of this kind might be der our duty to from the words sweet, soure, loud, shrill, and almost the sensible qualities, whose real natures we may their original them to be the same in us, and in the bodies that them; partly because the words which signify our langlings, a sensations are applied also to signify those unknown wice to Times.

hose words

It is for

pers and motions of the little corpuscles, which ex-

Direct. IV. In conversation or reading be diligent of find out the true sense, or distinct idea, which the trayer of find out the true sense to his words; and especially to hose words which are the chief subject of his discourse. It is far as possible take heed, lest you put more or sewer it is sense into one word, than the person did when he wrote response; and endeavour that your ideas of every word may be the same as his were; then you will judge better of what he speaks or writes.

It is for want of this that men quarrel in the dark;

row what he speaks or writes.

It is for want of this that men quarrel in the dark; and that there are so many contentions in the several energy in which words are used by the writer or speaker; and hereby some times they seem to agree, when they really differ in their sentiments; and sometimes they em to differ, when they really agree. Let me give an instance of both.

When one man by the word church shall understand that believe in Christ; and another by the word thurch means only the church of Rome; they may not affent to this proposition, there is no salvation out of the church, and yet their inward sentiments may be widely different.

Again, if one writer shall assure they seem to differ widely in words, and yet perhaps they may both really gree in sentiment: if by the word virtue, the affirmer widely the word virtue means only courage, or at most during the word virtue means only courage, or at most during the word with a superior with the word virtue means only courage, or at most during the word wirtue with the word virtue means only courage, or at most during the word wirtue without including in the word wirtue with the word virtue means only courage, or at most during the word wirtue with the word virtue means only courage, or at most during the word wirtue with the word wirtue wire with the word wirtue with the word wirtue with the word wirtue with the word wirt

mier by the word virtue means only courage, or at most our duty towards our neighbour, without including in the idea of it the duty which we owe to God.

Many such fort of contentions as these are, traced to their original, will be found to be mere logomachies, or their original, will be found to be mere logomachies, or their sand quarrels about names and words, and vain our langlings, as the apostle calls them in his sirst letter of adnitional many vice to Timothy.

In

In

In order therefore to attain clear and distinct ide It is by an what we read or hear, we must fearch the sense of we must consider what is their original and dem which they h in our own or foreign languages; what is their com fense amongst mankind, or in other authors, effer fuch as wrote in the same century, in the same bout the same time, and upon the same subjects must consider in what sense the same author uses any pr lar word or phrase, and that when he is discourse the fame matter, and especially about the same par paragraphs of his writing: we must consider wh the word be used in a strict and limited, or in a and general fense; whether in a literal, in a figure divinity been or in a prophetic fense; whether it has any secon idea annexed to it besides the primary or chief We must enquire farther what is the scope and dele the writer; and what is the connexion of that fen with those that go before it, and those which follow By these and other methods we are to search our definition of names, i. e. the true fense and mean writer had which any author or speaker uses any word, which be the chief subject of discourse, or may carry any fiderable importance in it.

Direct. V. When we communicate our notion others, merely with a defign to inform and im their knowledge, let us, in the beginning of our course, take care to adjust the definitions of m wherefover there is need of it; that is, to deter plainly what we mean by the chief words which the subject of our discourse; and be sure always to the fame ideas, whenfoever we use the same words less we give due notice of the change. This will a very large and happy influence, in fecuring not others but ourselves too from consusion and mit that h for even writers and speakers themselves, for wa due watchfulness, are ready to affix different ide their own words, in different parts of their discourant, ther and hereby bring perplexity into their own reason, and and confound their hearers.

verly; becau reatifes the word, they hall take it find a heap of the write used the far feduded a n nor the chi many feets : courners, rehop, presby ignifications those words

those words

Direct. communica their inform as much as orthree def uch words my danger to inform t han to pe ourselves proper WOI lense. It ceptions of But whe word, and are to ful

your defini

PART I.

anyo

It is by an observation of this rule that mathematici-It is by an observation of this rule that, mathematiciof we have so happily secured themselves and the sciences
which they have professed, from wrangling and controters; because whensoever in the progress of their
especials they have occasion to use a new and unknown
lear word, they always define it, and tell in what sense they word, they always define it, and tell in what sense they ech word, they always define it, and tell in what sense they shall take it; and in many of their writings you will shall take it; and in which it will be a shall take it will be a shall take it. whe ded the fame accuracy and care, they had effectually ded the fame accuracy and fruitless debates out of their feveral provinces: nor had that facred theme of deligion of their feveral provinces: nor had that facred theme of divinity been perplexed with so many intricate disputes, nor the church of Christ been torn to pieces, by so many seels and factions, if the words grace, faith, right-counses, repentance, justification, worship, church, bishop, presbyter, &c. had been well defined, and their significations adjusted, as near as possible, by the use of those words in the New Testament; or at least, if every writer had told us at first in what sense he would use those words. those words.

Direct. VI. In your own studies, as well as in the notion communication of your thoughts to others, merely for heir information, avoid ambiguous and equivocal terms and their information, avoid ambiguous and equivocal terms are equivocal terms and equivocal terms and equivocal terms are equivocal terms and equivocal terms are equivocal terms and equivocal terms and equivocal terms are equivocal terms are equivocal terms and equivocal terms are equivocal terms are equivocal terms are heir information, avoid ambiguous and equivocal terms which to inform the judgment, and to explain a matter, rather ban to perfuade or affect, be not fond of expressing will purselves in figurative language, when they are any more words that fignify the same idea in their literal mile. It is the ambiguity of names, as we have often the triples almost infinite confusion into our con-

t ide spions of things.

But where there is a necessity of using an ambiguous word, there let double care be used in defining that word, and declaring in what sense you take it. And be a spice of the spice of things.

your definitions.

Direct. VII. In communicating your notions, every word as near as possible in the same sense in wind Indulst mankind commonly uses it; or which writers that Tantum a gone before you have usually affixed to it, upon con gone before you have usually affixed to it, upon contion that it is free from ambiguity. Though names in their original merely arbitrary, yet we should also exception. keep to the established meaning of them, unless aft rules connecessity require the alteration; for when any word one measure been used to signify an idea, that old idea will recur and rooted the mind, when the word is heard or read, rather word or plany new idea which we may fasten to it. And the word one reason why the received definition of names show then it is so that changed as little as possible.

be changed as little as possible.

But I add farther, that though a word entirely a affigning ar introduced into a language, may be affixed to what awourite we you please, yet an old word ought never to be fixed waken all an unaccustomed idea, without just and evident necessary words, and or without present or previous notice, least we introduced in the fire, tions and falshoods; as for instance, when an idle new words who has not seen his book all the morning, shall ice, to excit his master that he has learned his lesson, he cannot be same the same received himself by saying; that by the word learning proper, may meant his breakfast, and by the word lesson he may be seen that if an and his fancied wit would be construed a downright than if an and his fancied wit would hardly procure his pardon loved form.

In using any ambiguous word, which has been to so that the same received in the same received himself by saying; that by the word lesson he say in the same received himself by saying; that by the word lesson he say in the same received himself by saying; that by the word lesson he say in the same received himself by saying; that by the word lesson he say in the same received himself by saying in the say in the same received himself by saying; that by the word lesson he say in the say i

In using any ambiguous word, which has been In other in different senses, we may choose what we think he observed most proper sense, as I have done, p. 75. in naming deas.

poles of the loadstone, north or fouth.

And when a word has been used in two or the considerations account, it is of good service to drop one or two of the curse of senses, and leave it only one remaining, and affix may be not other senses or ideas to other words. So the most also where philosophers, when they treat of the human soul, the deas in the call it the mind or mens humana, and leave the wantiguity anima or soul to signify the principle of life and most partice we in mere animal beings.

The poet Juvenal has long ago given us a hint to congratula accuracy and distinction, when he says of brutes and dantly en

PART PART I.

ons,

nat h 1 con

Indulfit mundi communis conditor illis Janum animas; nobis animum quoque. Sat. xvi. v. 124.

There is one case, wherein some of these as a frules concerning the definition of words, may be in some measure dispensed with; and that is, when strong recur and rooted prejudice hath established some favourite word or phrase, and long used it to express some mission taken notion, or to unite some inconsistent ideas; for the it is sometimes much easier to lead the world into much by indulging their sondness for a phrase, and by aligning and applying new ideas and notions to their avourite word; and this is much safer also than to assist a waken all their passions by rejecting both their old new at once: therefore we continue to say, there is heat much in the fire, there is coldness in ice, rather than invent debt new words to express the powers which are in fire or half ice, to excite the sensations of heat or cold in us. For an action is the same reason some words and phrases which are less mine proper, may be continued in theology, while people are the same reason some words and phrases which are less mine proper, may be continued in theology, while people are the same reason some words and phrases which are less mine proper, may be continued in theology, while people are the same reason some words and phrases which are less mine the same reason some words and phrases which are less mine the same reason some words and phrases which are less mine the same reason some words and phrases which are less mine the same reason some words and phrases which are less mine the same reason some words and phrases which are less mine the same reason some words and phrases which are less mine the same reason some words and phrases which are less mine the same reason some words and phrases which are less mine the same reason some words and phrases which are less mine the same reason some words. imes:

land land attempt were made to change an then beardon loved forms of speech.

een to lin other cases these logical-directions should generalhink by be observed, and different names affixed to different
ming deas.

Indu

Here I cannot but take occasion to remark, that it is or the considerable advantage to any language to have a vapon liety of new words introduced into it, that when in of the ourse of time new objects and new ideas arise, there affix may be new words and names assigned to them: and most also where one single name has sustained two or three out, there is in time past, these new words may remove the maniguity by being affixed to some of those ideas. This most pactice would by degrees take away part of the uncertainty of language. And for this reason I cannot but to congratulate our English tongue, that it has been abun-Here I cannot but take occasion to remark, that it is at tot congratulate our English tongue, that it has been abun-

our neighbour nations, as well as from ancient land theings ques, and these words have been as it was ancient land theings questions. ges, and these words have been as it were enfrance amongst us; for French, Latin, Greek and Gen fen nothing names will signify English ideas, as well as words are anciently and intirely English.

It may not be amifs to mention in this place, that the determination of the particular fense in which word is used, is called the definition of the name, for enumeration of the various senses of an equivocal we always below name; and for this purpose good dictionaries are of cellent use.

This distinction of the name or word is greatly ceffary in argumentation or dispute; when a fallen argument is used, he that answers it distinguishes feveral fenses of some word or phrase in it, and shew what sense it is true, and in what sense it is as eviden false.

SECT. IV.

Of the Definition of Ihings.

S there is much confusion introduced into ideas, by the means of those words to will they are affixed, so the mingling our ideas with other without caution is a farther occasion whereby A court lady, born and bred become confused. amongst pomp and equipage, and the vain notion birth and quality, constantly joins and mixes all the with the idea of herself, and she imagines these to be fential to her nature, and as it were necessary to being; thence she is tempted to look upon menials

very nature is built of fl A child wh

masters or red coats, o therefore he tial to the has not a lo s not dreffe takes ended

> hecome co gether mor them, as in and confu want of u make the only as a a tyger or are spotted

It might

fome more I grant the world into the 1 kind of en dit: but means to to fearch and limit may give

> As the Ion which will, in f

mistakes.

PART I.

tents and the lowest rank of mankind, as another species land of beings quite distinct from herself. A plough-boy, and has never travelled beyond his own village, and has fen nothing but thatched houses and his parish-church, and it is naturally led to imagine that thatch belongs to the rery nature of a house, and that must be a church which is built of stone, and especially if it has a spire upon it.

A child whose uncle has been excessive fond, and his shows that shows the shows the shows that shows the shows th masters or instructors. He has seen also soldiers with e of red coats, or ministers with long black gowns, and therefore he perfuades himself that these garbs are effenallaci has not a long black gown, nor can he be a foldier who in to the characters, and that he is not a minister who hews takes ended with childhood. viden

It might be also subjoined, that our complex ideas become confused, not only by uniting or blending together more fimple or fingle ideas, than really belong to them, as in the instances just mentioned; but obscurity and confusion sometimes come upon our ideas also, for want of uniting a fufficient number of fingle ideas to make the complex one: so if I conceive of a leopard only as a spotted beast, this does not distingush it from atyger or a lynx, nor from many dogs or horses, which are spotted too; and therefore a leopard must have some more ideas added to complete and diffinguish it.

I grant that it is a large and free acquaintance with the world, a watchful observation and diligent search into the nature of things that must fully correct this kind of errors: the rules of logic are not fufficient to bit: but yet the rules of logic may instruct us by what means to diffinguish one thing from a nother, and how 10 fearch and mark out as far as may be, the contents and limits of the nature of distinct beings, and thus may give us great affiftance towards the remedy of thefe-

As the definition of names frees us from that confu-In which words introduce, so the definition of things: in fome measure, guard us against that confusion

H 3

nto a whi ith a

by th

ored t

tions

all th

o be to

nial f vani which mingled ideas have introduced; for as a det or general nation of the name explains what any words means, herein it against the nature hadow, a produced is the nature hadow. In order to form a definition of any thing we a find it differ

put forth these three acts of the mind.

First, compare the thing to be defined with or furface, and things that are most like to itself, and see wherein dow, in the essence or nature agrees with them; and this is a feeting one the general nature or genus in a definition: so if a trepresents would define what wine is, first compare it with on the object things, like itself, as cyder, perry, &c. and you will sew of these it agrees effentially with them in this, that it is a diffinguish of juice.

of juice.

Secondly, consider the most remarkable and prima all these are attribute, property, or idea wherein this thing diff face. The from those other things that are most like it; and that ation; and is its essential or specific difference: so wine differs so the picture cyder and perry, and all other juices, in that it is present an in pair from a grape. This may be called its special nature Here it is which distinguishes it from other juices.

from a grape. This may be called its special nature there it is which distinguishes it from other juices.

Thirdly, join the general and special nature togeth always be or (which is all one) the genus and the difference, a sin difference these make up a definition. So the juice of a grape, the neiguice pressed from grapes, is the definition of wine. So if I would define what winter is, I consider from it agrees with other things which are most in which is the it, (viz.) summer, spring, autumn, and I find they and, which all seasons of the year; therefore a season of the year in the genus. Then I observe wherein it differs so the substance, the genus. Then I observe wherein it differs so the substance, the genus. Then I observe wherein it differs so the substance, the genus. Then I observe wherein it differs so the substance, and that is in the shortness of the days; for it we remot this which does primarily distinguish it from other setween we show its difference. Then by joining these together I may distinguish a definition. Winter is that season of the year where the days are shortest. I consess indeed this is but shough the days are shortest. I consess indeed this is but shough the days are shortest. I consess indeed this is but shough the definition of it; for to define it, as an accurate and rank astronomer, I must limit the days, hours and minutes shough the should be should be should explain or definition of a what the picture of a man is, we consider first the genus it difference.

presentation

PART PART I. a de or general nature of it, which is a representation; and ans, herein it agrees with many other things, as a statue, a nature shadow, a print, a verbal description of a man, &c. Then we confider wherein it differs from these, and we and it differs from a verbal description in that it is a representation to the eye and not to the ear: it differs from a statue in that it is a representation upon a status that it is an abiding representation and not a dow, in that it is an abiding representation and not a discal steeting one: it differs from a print or draught, because of the trepresents the colours by paint as well as the shape of the object by delineation. Now so many or rather so will see wo these ideas put together, as are just sufficient to so a so diffinguish a picture from all other representations, make up its essential difference or its special nature; and print all these are included in its being painted on a plain surgestion; and thus you have the complete definition of ears for the picture of a man, (viz.) it is the representation of a so present an in paint upon a surface (or a plane.)

Then it must be observed, that when we speak of the genus and difference as composing a definition, it must ogether shaps be understood that the nearest genus and specinice, a se difference are required.

The next general nature or the nearest genus must presentation to the eye and not to the ear: it differs

rape. The next general nature or the nearest genus must be used in a definition, because it includes all the rest; der in and if I would define wine, I must say wine is a juice, not have a which is the nearest genus; and not say, wine is a likely a juid, which is a remote general nature; or wine is a syear ubstance, which is yet more remote, for juice includes so the substance and liquid. Besides, neither of these for it we remote general natures would make any distinction her is between wine and a thousand other substances or other nature of the special states of the substance of the substance

or perry; the specific difference of wine therefore pressure from the grape; as cyder is pressed from

and perry from pears.

In definitions also we must use the primary attrithat distinguishes the species or special nature, and attempt to define wine by its particular taftes, or effet or other properties, which are but secondary or con quential, when its pressure from the grape is the obvious and primary distinction of it from all of juices. I confess in some cases it is not so easily know which is the primary idea that diffinguishes one the from another; and therefore fome would as foon de winter by the coldness of the season, as by the short of the days; though the shortness of the days is don less the most just, primary and philosophical different betwixt that and the other feafons of the year, i winter days are always shortest, but not always coldest; I add also that the shortness of the days is cause of the coldness, but the cold is no cause of the fhortness.

SECT. V.

Rules of the Definition of the Things.

THE special rules of a good definition, are thek Rule I. A definition must be universal, or some call it adequate; that is, it must agree to all particular species or individuals that are included un the same idea; so the juice of a grape agrees to all per wines, whether red, white, Frence, Spanish, rence, &c.

PART PART I. Rule II. I

defined, and ign of a defrom all oth ther fubsta but wine.

Thefe two definition re scholastic v may be used defined, or ach other, The juice of

the grape. may put the you confide when it is I

Rule III

for the defi

the thing d Hence it tion ought fore, but a and indeed both of nan in either of in it, unless

Hence in

which can the thing, negation o now not ntelligible the vul extensio our fing hite, blue

We car being, or a nels, that i PART PART I. Rule II. It must be proper and peculiar to the thing man dined, and agree to that alone; for it is the very de-

defined, and agree to that alone; for it is the very dein of a definition effectually to diftinguish one thing
attribution all others: so the juice of a grape agrees to no
and the fubstance, to no other liquid, to no other being
the fubstance, to no other liquid, to no other being
the wine.

These two rules being observed, will always render a
the major of the finition reciprocal with the thing defined; which is
so the finition reciprocal with the thing defined; which is
so the finition and sent the finition of the fin ar, in you consider wine rather as a word than a thing, or ways when it is mentioned in fuch logical rules.

of the Rule III. A definition ought to be clear and plain; for the defign of it is to lead us into the knowledge of the thing defined.

s is o

Hence it will follow, that the words used in a definiion ought not to be doubtful, and equivocal, and obfure, but as plain and easy as the language will afford: and indeed it is a general rule concerning the definition both of names and things, that no word should be used neither of them, which has any darkness or difficulty nit, unless it has been before explained of defined.

Hence it will follow also that there are many things which cannot well be defined either as to the name of be thing, unless it be by fynonymous words, or by a regation of the contrary idea, &c. for learned men thele: thow not how to make them more evident or more al, or the vulgar methods of teaching. Such are the ideas d un fextension, duration, thought, consciousness, and most four simple ideas, and particularly sensible qualities, as h, h We can say of duration thought, consciousness, and most white, blue, red, cold, heat, sweet, bitter, source, &c.

We can fay of duration that it is a continuance in ing, or a not ceasing to be; we can say of consciousles, that it is as it were a feeling within ourselves; we

may fay, heat is that which is not cold; or four inthe object, real which is like vinegar; or we may point to the clearly two great and fay that is blue. These are the vulgar method and the comprehence the definitions of names, or meaning of we spied and expended a But there are fome philosophers, whose attempts to fine these things learnedly, have wrapt up their idea V. If we a greater darkness, and exposed themselves to ridicule hing defined contempt: as when they define heat, they say, to make any parallel as congregans bomogenea I segregans betteron explication of it e. a quality gathering together things of the symous workind, and separating things of a different kind, same. they define white, a colour arifing from the prevale of brightness: but every child knows hot and w better without these definitions.

There are many other definitions given by there patetic philosophers, which are very faulty by realing their obscurity; as motion is defined by them the of a being in power, so far forth as it is in por Time is the measure or number of motion according past, present and future. The soul is the act of an ganical natural body, having life in power; and for

others of the fame stamp.

Rule IV. It is also commonly prescribed among EFOR rules of definition, that it should be short, so that it have no tautology in it, nor any words superfluous confess definitions ought to be expressed in as few w as is confiftent with a clear and just explication of nature of the thing defined, and a distinction of ith all other things befide: but it is of much more imp tance, and far better, that a definition should en clearly the subject we treat of, though the word many, than to leave obscurities in the sentence, by fining it within too narrow limits. So in the defin which we have given of logic, that it is the art of reason well in the search after truth, and the comm cation of it to others, it has indeed many words but it could not well be shorter. Art is the wherein it agrees with rhetoric, poefy, arithmetic, w ling, failing, building, &c. for all these are arts to continuous but the difference or special nature of it is drawn to express

PART PART I.

Observan

fevera fthings.

ist Obser hould be o n order to fometin hree ideas mys and fe ock is an he hour of I were to roperty, v rue and p leas confi PAR PART I. tritt nobject, reason; from the act using it well, and from clearly two great ends or designs, viz. the search of truth, thou and the communication of it, nor can it be justly deof wo leibed and explained in fewer ideas.

ts to b idea V. If we add a fifth rule, it must be, that neither the icules hing defined, nor a mere fynonymous name, should ay, to make any part of the definition, for this would be no eroga explication of the nature of the thing; and a synohe is nymous word at best could only be a definition of the ind.

SECT. VI.

revale nd wh

the pe reason the i a por ording

of and id fere

on of

omm

he go

wn

Observations concerning the Definition of Things.

EFORE I part with this subject, I must propose uous of things. leveral observations which relate to the definition

If Observ. There is no need that in definitions we ith lit Ublery. There is no need that in definitions we will be confined to one fingle attribute or property, n order to express the difference of the thing defined, words a sometimes the effential difference consists in two or by a line ideas or attributes. So a grocer is a man who definity and fells sugar and plumbs and spices for gain. A of a contract of the large of the hour of the day both by pointing and striking: and rds I were to define a repeating clock, I must add another he poerty, viz. that it also repeats the hour. So that the me and primary effential difference of some complex ic, was confifting in feveral diffinct properties, cannot be expressed without conjunctive particles of speech. 2d Obferv.

fathered a 2d Observ. There is no need that definitions & always be positive, for some things differ from of merely by a defect of what others have; as if ad be defined a feat for a fingle person with a back being ing to it, then a stool is a feat for a single person w out a back; and a form is a feat for feveral perfect without a back: thefe are negative differences. is a want of conformity to the law of God; blind is a want of fight; a vagabond is a person without home. Some ideas are negative, and their definition ought to be fo too.

3d Observ. Some things may have two or more finitions, and each of them equally just and good; mile is the length of eight furlongs, or it is the part of a league. Eternal is that which ever was ever shall be; or it is, that which had no beginning shall have no end. * Man is usuall defined a ratio animal: but it may be much better to define his fpirit united to an animal of fuch a shape, or an ani of fuch a peculiar shape united to a spirit, or ale

composed of such an animal and a mind.

4th Observ. Where the essences of things are evid and clearly distinct from each other, there we may more exact and accurate in the definitions of them; where their essences approach near to each other, definition is more difficult. A bird may be defin

large hollow s: but i ween a bir which are b to define th This is ver hr product art, which of another, rank them

rence.

The feve mited in th terable bou fift in indiv Some have i nearer to, dred natur middle of of green, y but near th one anothe

5th Ob ing and no no genus f or individu no essentia differences are only to

colours, no

hive.

^{*} The common definition of man, viz. a rational ces: so K nimal, is very faulty. I. Because the animal is not men and tional; the rationality of man arises from the mind king of which the animal is united. 2. Because if a mind west should be united to a horse and make it a rational is use, &co ing, surely this would not be a man: it is evident the fore that the peculiar shape must enter into the do inference, tion of a man to render it just and perfect; for many be most a full description thereof all our definitions are man nine in section. fective.

PART I. s fho

1 06

ad

efinition

more

od; a

the th

Cathered animal with wings, a ship may be defined a lige hollow building made to pass over the sea with s: but if you ask me to define a batt which is beween a bird and a beast, or to define a barge and hoy, which are between a boat and a ship, it is much harder on we to define them, or to adjust the bounds of their essence. This is very evident in all monstrous births and irregubr productions of nature, as well as in many works of ithought, which partake fo much of one species and so much of another, that we cannot tell under which species to mak them, or how to determine their specific difference.

The feveral species of beings are feldom precifely limited in the nature of things by any certain and unaltrable bounds: the effences of many things do not con-Wasa ffin indivisibili, or in one evident indivisible point, as omehave imagined; but by various degrees they approach ne his led neture. See I led nothers that are of a kindred nature. So (as I have hinted before) in the very m and middle of each of the arches of a rainbow the colours of green, yellow, and red are fufficiently diffinguished; e evik but near the borders of the feveral arches they run into one another, fo that you hardly know how to limit the e may colours, nor whether to call it red or yellow, green or hem; blue. ther, t

5th Observ. As the highest or chief genus's, viz. bedefine ing and not-being can never be defined, because there is feather to the results of the re mgenus fuperior to them; fo neither can fingular ideas or individuals be well defined, because either they have messential differences from other individuals, or their afferences, are not known; and therefore individuals ations are only to be described by their particular circumstan-rations as: so King George is distinguished from all other is not men and other kings, by describing him as the first e min King of Great Britain of the house of Brunswick; f a mad Westminster Hall is described by its situation and

tional trule, &c.
ent the That individual bodies can hardly have any effential he de efference, at least within the reach of our knowledge, for many be made thus to appear; Methuselah, when he are was nine hundred and fixty years old, and perhaps worn out

out

out with age and weakness, was the same person laves are when he was in his full vigour of manhood, or when he was an infant newly born; but how far was body the same? who can tell whether there was a fibre of his slesh or his bones that continued the same throughout his whole life? or who can determine while were those fibres? the ship in which Sir Frances Date is what M failed round the world might be new built and refine definitions fo often, that few of the fame timbers remained; at of the var who can fay whether it must be called the same ships us arise from no? and what is its effential difference? how shall non of the define Sir Frances Drake's ship, or make a definition and since Methuselah?

To this head belongs that most difficult quelles of definition what is the principle of individuation? or what is the that makes any one thing the fame as it was fometing up of a multiple before? this is too large and laborious an inquiry properties, dwell upon it in this place: yet I cannot forbeat freightion; mention this hint, viz. Since our own bodies might be to be left day for the receiver reversed on well and the left day for the receiver reversed on well and the left day for the receiver reversed on well and the left day for the receiver reversed on the receiver reverse rife at the last day for us to receive rewards or punt general naments in them, there may be perhaps some originate of the puntage of the pun meval feed of life, which may remain unchanged throw called, for all the stages of life, death, and the grave; these word, and become the springs and principles of a resurrection, a but the defussion to denominate it in the same body. But clude a there be any fuch constant and vital atoms which a have said tinguish every human body, they are known to be of the con only.

only.

6th Observ. Where we cannot find out the essential of that we would define, we must content ourselves we a collection of such chief parts or properties of its and a design and best explain it so far as it is known, and best and a design which hath many long yellow leaves, round a little to of seeds in the midst, with such a peculiar stalk, &c. I derstanding if we would define silver, we say it is a white and the metal, next in weight to gold: if we would define selder-tree, we might say it is one among the lesser to me the second with the selfer to the selfer to

hape, and fo we must ferpent, ar collection observation what thefe

tinguishin

rion laves are jagged or indented, and of fuch a particular fape, and it bears large clusters of small black bearries: T Who h we must define water, earth, stone, a lion, an eagle, a vas l ras a fement, and the greatest part of natural beings, by a me far collection of those properties, which according to our whit observation distinguish them from all other things. This Date is what Mr Locke calls nominal effences, and nominal refine definitions. And indeed fince the effential differences d; a of the various natural beings or bodies round about thip us arise from a peculiar shape, fize, motion, and situashall ton of the small particles of which they are composed, tions and since we have no sufficient method to inform us what these are, we must be contented with such a sort uesting of definition of the bodies they compose.

Here note, that this fort of definition, which is made up of a mere collection of the most remarkable parts or quiry properties, is called an imperfect definition or a de-rbear feription; whereas the definition is called perfect, when es me it is composed of the effential difference, added to the

punit general nature or genus.

Jean

origin 7th Observ. The perfect definition, of any being aloric ways includes the definition of the name whereby it is throw alled, for it informs us of the fense or meaning of that nesem word, and shews us what idea that word is affixed to: ion, but the definition of the names does by no means in-But clude a perfect definition of the thing; for as we nich de have faid before, a mere fynonymous word a negation to Ge of the contrary, or the mention of any one or two difinguishing properties of the thing may be a sufficient e effer definition of the name. Yet in those cases where the

definition of the name. Yet in those cases where the of her effential difference or essence of a thing is unknown, we will there a definition of the name by the chief properties, and a description of the thing are much the same.

And here I think it necessary to take notice of one a story general sentiment, that seems to run through that extelled the cellent performance, Mr Locke's essay of human understanding, and that is, "That the essences of things and the are utterly unknown to us, and therefore all our predefines tences to distinguish the essences of things can reach no farther than mere nominal essences; or a collection, which it is not fuch properties as we know; to some of the law it is not formed to the properties as we know; to some of the law it is not formed to the properties as we know; to some of the law it is not formed to the properties as we know; to some of the law it is not formed to the properties as we know; to some of the law it is not formed to the properties as we know; to some of the law it is not formed to the properties as we know; to some of the law it is not some

which

"which we affix particular names, and others we be and the rel " dle up, several together, under one name: and importance all our attempts to rank beings into different in with the wo " of species can reach no farther than to make me " nominal species: and therefore our definitions " things are but mere nominal descriptions or defin

" tions of the name."

Now, that we may do justice to this great author we ought to confider that he confines this fort of a known to course only to the essence of simple ideas, and toth artists, good essence of substances, as appears evident in the some without and fixth chapters of his third book; for he allows to be conte names of mixed modes always to fignify the real Now the fences of their species, chap. V. and he acknowleds ances and artificial things to have real distinct species: and that science and the distinction of their effences, there is generally a make perfe confusion and uncertainty than in natural, chap, in specimen o Sect. 40, 41. though it must be confessed that he son Motion makes any distinction between the definition, of a over a long name, and the definition of the thing, as chap. IV. a time of on sometimes the current of his discouse decries in oritis the knowledge of essences in such general terms, as m of the sun justly give occasion to mistake.

It must be granted, that the effence of most of a vapour. It must be granted, that the effence of most of a vapour. If simple ideas, and the greatest part of particular natural piece of lar substances are much unknown to us; and therefore hall is an effential difference of sensible qualities, and of the piece of granted of our understandings: we know not what make in the countries the primary real inward distinctions between red, grant the even shown in the what are the inward and prime distinctions between the particular kinds or species in the vegetable, anim mineral, metallic, or liquid world of things. See Pl

But still there is a very large field for the knowled their more of the essences of things, and for the use of perfect this sense and changes of nature, the works of art, the more ters of science, and all the affairs of the civil, the more arth is did of since

ule, with th this world, may be pre to give a pe

If the p

to us by t

ART PART I. rebat and the religious life: and indeed it is of much more importance to all mankind to have a better acquaintance importance to all mankind to have a better acquaintance with the works of art for their own livelihood and daily us, with the affairs of morality for their behaviour in the world, and with the matters of religion, that they ions this world, and with the matters of religion, that they dem may be prepared for the world to come, than to be able ngive a perfect definition of the works of nature.

If the particular effences of natural bodies are unof a known to us, we may yet be good philosophers, good ton artifs, good neighbours, good fubjects, and good chrife form tians without that knowledge, and we have just reason

ows to be content.

autho

ween

anima See Phi See Phi

Now that the effences of fome of the modal appear-wled ances and changes in nature, as well as things of art, that fince and morality are sufficiently known to us to ally make perfect definitions of them, will appear by the

make perfect definitions of them, will appear by the ap. II feetimen of a few definitions of these things.

Motion is a change of place. Swiftness is the passing of the over a long space in a thort time. A natural day is the live of one alternate revolution of light and darkness, lies in the surface of the sun is a defect in the sun's transmission of light to us by the moon interposing. * Snow is congealed to the sun. * Hail is congealed rain. * An island is a piece of land rising above the surrounding water. An * r name piece of land rising above the surrounding water. An *
forth hill is an elevated part of the earth, and a * grove is a then piece of ground thick fet with trees. An house is a wond building made to dwell in. A cottage is a mean house in the country. A supper is that meal which we make the piece sides. A gallon is a measure composed of fire, we three sides. A gallon is a measure containing eight we know piece. A porter is a man who carries burdens for hire.

A king

^{*}Note, Island, hill, grove, are not designed here in lowled their more remote and substantial natures (if I may so refer to their sit) or as the matter of them is earth; for in lapper this sense we know not their essence, but only as considered in their modal appearances, whereby one part of the more arth is distinguished from another. The same may be a side of snow, hail, &c.

A king is the chief ruler in a kingdom. Veracing the definition the conformity of our words to our thoughts. Consider and confinels is an excessive love of money, or other political confinels. Killing is the taken away the life of an animal crive of the confinels billing of a man. Rhead All parts Murder is the unlawful killing of a man. Rheton All part the art of speaking in a manner fit to persuade. Nate is an old philosophy is the knowledge of the properties of box whole and and the various effects of them, or it is the knowled be proper of the various appearances in nature, and their cause and logic is the art of using our reason well, &c.

Thus you see the essential differences of various of a thing ings may be known, and are borrowed from their que the differen ties and properties, their causes, effects, objects, adjust which be ends, &c. and indeed as infinitely various as the ces of things are, their definitions must needs have we

various forms.

After all it must be confessed, that many logica up the wi and philosophers in the former ages, have made a achof the great a buftle about the exactness of their definitions and the tr things, and entered into long fruitless controverses to units a very ridiculous debates in the several sciences about their discounting the logical formalities of every definition: who their discounting the logical formalities of every definition: who their discounting the logical formalities of every definition: who their discounting the logical formalities of every definition: who their discounting the logical formalities of every definition who their discounting the logical formalities of every definition who their discounting their discountin acquaintance with their various properties, causes, a section. sects, subject, object, ends and designs, than it does we 3. The the formal and scholastic niceties of genus and distribully ma ence.

SECT. VII.

Of a complete Conception of Things.

AVING dwelt fo long upon the first rule at the direct our conceptions, and given an account with ru

I. The This has 2. The

called inte

parts of m may be be all the eff are contain shall be the

direct our 4. The universal; to which a whole in parts. So

PART PART I. the definition both of names and things in order or gain dear and distinct ideas, we make haste now to the server pole tond rule to guide your conceptions, and that is, conceive of things completely in all their parts.

All parts have a reference to some whole: now there Nate is an old distinction which logical writers make of a of the whole and its parts into four several kinds, and it may now be proper just to mention them here.

I. There is a metaphyfical whole, when the effence of a thing is faid to confift of two parts, the genus and the difference, i. e. the general and the special nature, adjunt which being joined together make up a definition. he est This has been the subject of the foregoing sections.

2. There is a mathematical whole which is better

- called integral, when the feveral parts, which go to make logica up the whole, are really distinct from one another, and nade to ach of them may subsist apart. So the head, the limbs itions and the trunk are the integral parts of an animal body; rsies to units are the integral parts of any large number; so bouts their discourses which I have written concerning perwhat ception, judgment, reasoning and disposition are the four style integral parts of logic. This fort of parts goes to make and up the completeness of any subject, and this is the make the dief and most direct matter of our discourse in this sules, a section.

 There is a physical or effential whole, which is addissection that the sules which is addissection that the sules which is addissection.
 - parts of man, body and foul: but I think the fense of it may be better altered, or at least enlarged, and so include the effential modes, attributes or properties which are contained in the comprehension of any idea. hall be the subject of discourse under the third rule to direct our conceptions.

4 There is a logical whole, which is also called an miverfal; and the parts of it are all the particular ideas to which this universal nature extends. So a genus is awhole in respect of the several species which are its parts. So the species is a whole, and all the individuals the parts of it. This shall be treated of in the

count with rule to guide our conceptions.

caule

At

At present we consider an idea as an intergal whom, as we and our second rule directs us to contemplate it is trunk, as its parts; but this can only refer to complex ideas partition. fimple ideas have no parts.

SECT. VIII.

Of Definition, and the Rules of it.

SINCE our minds are narrow in their capacitis meable, the and cannot survey the several parts of any complete transparence with one single view, as God sees all things transparence therefore were all things transparence to the second series and the second series are the second series and the second series are the second series and the second series are the second series are the second series and the second series are the second series a once; therefore we must as it were take it to piece and confider of the parts separately, that we may have The spe and confider of the parts separately, that we may have more complete conception of the whole. So if I would learn the nature of a watch, the workman takes it I Rule. It pieces and shews me the spring, the wheels, the are whole, be the pinions, the ballance, the dial-plate, the pointer, the must case, &c. and describes each of these things to me are therefore in together with their sigures and their uses. If I would make and learn who what an animal is, the anatomist considers to and the head, the trunk, the limbs, the bowels, apart from a logic wo other, and gives me distinct lectures upon each of the mat, and reso a kingdom is divided into its several provinces; the art we book into its several chapters; and any science and by no divided according to the several subjects of which the treats. treats.

This is what we properly call the division of an ide idea which is an explication of the whole by its several part ough wa or an enumeration of its several parts, that go to complete, and pose any whole idea, and to render it complete. At the details to the complete idea, and to render it complete. I think when man is divided into body and foul, it pour 2 Rule, perly comes under this part of the doctrine of integer and division.

When any

d in order called a fub onths, each hich may al mnds. It is necessar

ing, to con find by its there are nts of a be e whole, th sproper stat

na perfec

Pan or L al who won, as well as when the mere body is divided into itin frunk, and limbs: this division is sometimes calideas martition.

When any of the parts of any idea are yet farther didin order to a clear explication of the whole, this alled a fubdivision; as when a year is divided into mths, each month into days, and each day into hours, hich may also be farther subdivided into minutes and minds.

It is necessary in order to the full explication of any ang, to consider each part, and the properties of it, find by itself, as well as in its relation to the whole: there are many properties that belong to the several as of a being, which cannot properly be ascribed to whole, though these properties may fit each part for sproper station, and as it stands in that relation to the hole complex being: as in a house, the doors are apacitic smable, the rooms square, the cielings white. the wincomplement of the stransparent, yet the house is neither moveable, nor hings that, nor white, nor transparent.

y have The special rules of a good division are these.

o piece

I Would

kes it is Rule. Each part singly taken must contain less than the art is whole, but all the parts taken collectively (or togenter, it is) must contain neither more nor less than the whole. The art interfore in discoursing of a tree you divide it into the I would not and leaves, it is an imperfect division, because the ders to that and the branches are needful to make up the whole. On an ologic would be ill divided into apprehension, judg-of the art, and reasoning; for method is a considerable part inces; the art which teaches us to use our reason right, and ience and by no means be ommitted.

Which I hop this account in every division wherein we de-

which I Upon this account, in every division wherein we dean perfect exactness, it is necessary to examine the anide to like idea with diligence, lest we omit any part of it al part tough want of care; though in some cases it is not to consult to the minutest parts.

At the last of the minutest parts.

At the last of the minutest parts.

At the last of the minutest parts of the subject, and not division.

divide it at once into the more minute and remote proper to great It would by no means be proper to divide a kingle fome per first into streets, and lanes, and fields, but it must mer pretent first divided into provinces or counties, then those contains hath ties may be divided into towns, villages, fields, &c. inding, and these cases and lanes. towns into streets and lanes.

3 Rule. The feveral parts of a division ought to that subdivision opposite, i. e. one part ought not to contain another struck. It would be a ridiculous division of an animal into be sidesign y limbs, body, and brain, for the brains are contained of subject me the head.

the head.

Yet here it must be noted, that sometimes the stit. So if jects of any treatise, or the objects of any particular of abook, is seen to may be properly and necessarily so divided, week, the second may include the first, and the third may but a grammed clude the first and second, without offending against and words or rule, because in the second or following parts of the lame manner as in the first; as for instance, gone the help of try divides its objects into lines, surfaces and so specific yet it is not considered in a surface, separate and so or as a mere line, as it is in the first part of geometrical to or as a mere line, as it is in the first part of geometrical to or onception, judgment, reasoning, and method is the surface though ideas or conceptions are contained in the states the relowing parts of logic, yet they are not there treats also make as separate ideas, which are the proper subject of the surfaces.

A Rule. Let not subdivisions be too numerous meeting disconnections.

4 Rule. Let not subdivisions be too numerous in toperly distribute out necessity; for it is better many times to disting a those parts at once if the subject will bear it, the mature, it mature, it is preferable therefore in a treatise of got oper in the phy to say, that in a city we will consider its walk essuperior gates, its buildings, its streets, and lanes, than to be a passed parts; the encompassing parts are the walk gates; the encompassed part includes the ways and the buildings; the ways are the streets and lanes; build son. This consist of the foundations and the superstructure, it takes a hasteness.

PART PART I.

Too great a number of fubdivisions has been affected kings from persons in sermons, treatises, instructions, &c. It must roder pretence of greater accuracy: but this sort of sub-ose the hash often given great confusion to the undersort hading, and sometimes more difficulty to the memory. In these cases it is only a good judgment can determine ght to what subdivisions are needful.

I another the least the subdivisions are needful.

I another the least the subdivision of the subdivisio

into be adding you have in view. One and the fame idea natural of fubject may be divided in very different manners, acording to the different purposes we have in discoursing is their sit. So if a printer were to consider the several parts parts of abook, he must divide it into sheets, the sheets into vided, are, the pages into lines, and the lines into letters, defined at a grammarian divides a book into periods sentences gaint and words or parts of speech, as noun, pronoun, verb, the set of the set of sheets a sook as divided into chapmass the help of ontology, he divides the propositions into not sheets, object, property, relation, action, passion, or all case, effect, &c. But it would be very ridiculous for a and a opican to divide a book into sheets, pages, and lines; geome of for a printer to divide it into nouns and pronouns, or vided into propositions, ideas, properties or causes.

In the sheets the nature of things. And here I am constraintened to make a subdivision of this rule into two very neget of case y particulars.

In Let the parts of your division be such as are cross upperly distinguished in nature. Do not divide a surface the nature, nor unite those things into one part which disting the has evidently disjoined: this would be very impossible that the second parts of the idea which are intimately united it, the nature, nor unite those things into one part which disting the second parts of the idea which are intimately united it, the nature, nor unite those things into one part which disting the help of an animal body, to divide it into the wall the second parts, which would be hard to much to the superior and inferior halves: for it would be hard to make a superior and inferior halves: for it would be hard to make a superior and inferior halves: for it would be hard to make a superior and inferior halves: for it would be hard to make a superior and inferior halves: for it would be hard to make a hasel-nut in halves through the huse, the shell, and

and the kernel, at once, and fay a nut is divided the condetted two parts; whereas nature leads plainly to threefold distinction of husk, shell and kernel.

(2.) Do not affect duplicities nor triplicities, nor certain number of parts in your division of things; we know of no such certain number of parts who we know of no such certain number of parts who we know of no such certain number of parts who we know of no such certain number of parts who will be the mature of his creatures, nor is there any uniform design as the mined number of parts in the various subjects of his arious occurrence in the certain of subjects of his creatures, nor is there any uniform design as the mined number of parts in the various subjects of his consider of nature, and abused their readers by an affect of consider the nature of the subject, considered together with design which you have in view, always determine our appears on the subjects. defign which you have in view, always determine ous appear number of parts into which you divide it.

After all, it must be confessed that an intimate know take ledge of things, and a judicious observation will all on them, the business of division, as well as of definition, by and thus so than too nice and curious an attention to the more each side formalities of logical writers, without a real acquare In order

ance with things.

SECT. IX.

Of a comprehensive Conception of Ihings, and of Straction.

THE third rule to direct our conception repeated and us to conceive of things comprehensively. I must conceive we must furvey an object in all its parts to obtain are complete idea of it, so we must consider it in all the opposition of it.

must first tence as v complex i it be a ful elential rr and what belong to ticular cir and and o external r we must do or fuff whether f

PART PART I.

nd of

The comprehension of an idea, as it was explained by to moder the doctrine of universals, includes only the essenmodes or attributes of that idea; but in this place the word is taken in a larger fense, and implies also the lings; various occasional properties, accidental modes and retributes with the modes.

there. The necessity of this rule is founded upon the same of his ration as the former, viz. That our minds are narrow of his ration as the former, viz. That our minds are narrow of his ration as the former, viz. That our minds are narrow of his ration as the former, viz. That our minds are narrow and fearty in their capacities, and as they are not able to confider all the parts of a complex idea at once, for affectat neither can they at once contemplate all the different with confider things fuccessively and gradually in their variations appearances and circumstances: as our natural eye cannot at once behold the fix fides of a dye or cube, ate known take cognizance of all the points that are marked will affil on them, and therefore we turn up the fides fucceffively, ion, be and thus furvey and number the points that are marked the moneach fide, that we may know the whole.

acquair In order to a comprehensive view of any idea we acquair In order to a comprehensive view of any idea we

mult first consider, whether the object of it has an existence as well as an effence; whether it be a simple or complex idea; whether it be a substance or a mode: if itbe a substance, then we must enquire what are the effential modes of it, which are necessary to its nature, and what are those properties or accidents of it, which belong to it occasionally, or as it is placed in some partoular circumstances: we must view it in its internal and and obsolute modes, and observe it in those various external relations in which it stands to other beings: me must consider in its powers and capacities either to bor fuffer: we must trace it up to its various causes, whether supreme or subordinate. We must descend to the variety of its effects, and take notice of its feveral on requinds and designs which are to be attained by it. rely. I must conceive of it as it is either an object or a subject; to obtain are the things that are a kin to it, and what are t in all the opposites or contraries of it; for many things are in order to be known both by their contrary and their kindred

K

PART I. PART I. as a part who utte own igno and bante Yet thus ontology they have brief sche I.W.

fary, or I stances, a but in on that fo a cumstanc which are that speal to prove

> in all its division, a thing i act of th confider alone, an it in our from oth a fuller c

> > This :

As we

Here le

precifive Precifi apart wh fider a m abitractio conceive cident v reading

If the thing we discourse of be a mere mode, we must inquire whether it belongs to spirits or bodies; whether it be a physical or moral mode: if moral, then we me confider its relation to God, to ourselves, to our new bours; its reference to this life, or the life to come. If be a virtue, we must seek what are the principles of it what are the rules of it, what are the tendencies of it and what are the false virtues that counterfeit it, and what are the real vices that oppose it, what are the end which attend the neglect of it, what are the rewards of the practice of it both here and hereafter.

If the subject be historical or a matter of fact, m may then inquire whether the action was done at all whether it was done in fuch a manner, or by fuch pr. fons as is reported; at what time it was done; in what place; by what motive, and for what defign; what's the evidence of the fast; who are the witnesses; who is their character and credibility; what figns there are of fuch a fact; what concurrent testimonies which m either support the truth of it, or render it doubtful.

In order to make due inquiries into all these and many other particulars which go towards the complet and comprehensive idea of any being, the science of a tology is exceeding necessary. This is what was wonth be called the first part of metaphysics in the peripatet fchools. It treats of being in its most general natur, and of all its affections and relations. I confess the d popish schoolmen have mingled a number of useless tleties with this science; they have exhausted their on fpirits, and the spirits of their readers in many laborious and intricate trifles, and some of their writings has been fruitful of names without ideas, which hath don much injury to the facred study of divinity. Uponto account many of the moderns have most unjustly about just, or o doned the whole science at once, and thrown abus dance of contempt and raillery upon the very named another, metaphysics; but this contempt and censure is very reasonable, for this science separated from some Arib tal mode telian fooleries and scholastic subtleties, is so necessary to a distinct conception, solid judgment, and just realer ing on many fubjects, that formetimes it is introduce man, this 15 a part of logic, and not without reason. And those who utterly despise and ridicule it, either betray their own ignorance, or will be supposed to make their wit and banter a refuge and excuse for their own laziness. Yet thus much I would add, that the late writers of ontology are generally the best on this account, because they have left out much of the ancient jargon. See the brief scheme of ontology in the philosophical essays by I.W.

Here let it be noted that it is neither ufeful, neceffary, or possible to run through all the modes, circumfances, and relations of every subject we take in hand; but in ontology we enumerate a great variety of them, that so a judicious mind may choose what are those cirnumstances, relations and properties of any subject, whatis which are most necessary to the present design of him whe that speaks or writes, either to explain, to illustrate, or

here are to prove the point.

RT L

muf

hether

e mul

neigh

. If

s of it

s of it

it, and e evils

ards of

act, we

at all ch per-

in what

ich may

ful.

nese and

heir own

As we arrive at the complete knowledge of an idea in all its parts, by that act of the mind which is called division, so we come to a comprehensive conception of complet thing in its feveral properties and relations, by that e of on aft of the mind which is called abstraction, i. e. we wonth consider each single relation or property of the subject eripatete alone, and thus we do as it were withdraw and separate natural it in our minds both from the subject itself, as well as s the of from other properties and relations, in order to make eless haby a fuller observation of it.

This act of abstraction is said to be two-fold, either

aboriou precifive or negative.

ngs har Precifive abstraction is when we consider those things ath dom apart which cannot really exist apart; as when we con-Jponth fider a mode, without confidering its substance and subtly about ject, or one effential mode without another. Negative wn about abstraction is when we consider one thing separate from named another, which may also exist without it; as when we very me conceive of a subject without conceiving of its accidene And to modes or relations; or when we conceive of one acnecessary dent without thinking of another. If I think of of reading or writing, without the express idea of some ntroduce man, this is precifive abstraction; or if I think of the

K 2

attraction of iron, without the express idea of some par. ticular magnetic body. But when I think of a needle without an idea of its sharpness, this is negative ablue tion; and it is the same when I think of its sharper without confidering its length.

SECT. X.

Of the extensive Conception of Things, and of Distribution

S the conpleteness of an idea, refers to the seven parts that compose it, and the comprehenia of an idea includes various properties, fo the extende of an idea denotes the various forts or kinds of being to which the same idea belongs: and if we would fully acquainted with a subject we must observe,

This fourth rule to direct our conceptions, viz. Con ceive of things in all their extension, i. e. we must feat out the various species or special natures which at should be contained under it as a genus or general nature. we would know the nature of an animal perfectly, w must take cognizance of beasts, birds, fishes and inless ame ran as well as men, all which are contained under to dilinguish general nature and name of animal.

As an integral whole is diftinguished into its seven parts by division, so the word distribution is most por be opposit perly used when we distinguish an universal whole in fame rank its several kinds of species: and perhaps it had be mother; better if this word had been always confined to this it the poor, nification, though it must be confessed, that we in be both ! quently speak of the division of an idea into its sever But it

kinds, as well as into feveral parts.

The ru with those which ma

in order to

I. Rule

PART I.

the whole gether, 177 whole; or of the div is divided phylactic, tic, or the fort of m well diftr a middle II, Rul the larger of being, minute a divided in if there b improper bear, eagl terior kin ed into 1 dove, &cc. It is in

would be III. R

rightly d The they are PART I. The rules of a good distribution are much the same ith those which we have before applied to division, which may be just repeated again in the briefest manner, in order to give examples to them.

I. Rule. Each part fingly taken must contain less than the whole, but all the parts taken collectively or together, must contain neither more nor less than the whole; or as logicians fometimes express it, the parts of the division ought to exhaust the whole thing which adivided. So medicine is justly distributed into prophylactic, or the art of perferving health; and therapeutic, or the art of restoring health; for there is no other ort of medicine besides these two. But men are not well distributed into tall or short, for there are some of middle stature.

II. Rule. In all distributions we should first consider the larger and more immediate kinds of species, or ranks e fever of being, and not divide a thing at once into the more ehenin minute and remote. A genus should not at once be extense divided into individuals, or even into the lowest species, there be a species superior. Thus it would be very improper to divide animal into trout, lobster, eel, dog, bear, eagle, dove, worm and butterfly, for these are inz. Co faior kinds; whereas animal ought first to be distributaft feat d into man, beaft, bird, fish, insect; and then beaft hich a hould be diffributed into dog, bear, &c. bird into eagle,

ture. I dove, &c. fish into trout, eel, lobster, &c.
eelly, it is irregular also to join any inferior species in the
d insets ame rank or order with the superior; as if we would nder to diffinguish animals into birds, bears and oisters, &c. would be a ridiculous diffribution.

ts seven III. Rule. The several parts of a distribution ought to most pro be opposite; that is, one species or class of beings in the hole in fame rank of division ought not to contain or include nad be mother; so men ought not to be divided into the rich, this the poor, the learned, and the tall; for poor men may we for be both learned and tall, and fo may the rich.

ts sever But it will be objected, are not animated bodies rightly distributed into vegetative and animal, or (as-The they are usually called) sensitive? now the sensitive con-

K 3

tainss

ART

me par.

needle

abiliac

harpnei

ribution

f being

wouldh

tains the vegetative nature in it, for animals grow, had we r well as plants. I answer, that in this and all suche thinguish tributions, the word vegetative fignifies merely vege as a flatu tive: and in this fense vegetative will be sufficiently and any posite to animal, for it cannot be said of an animal ording to it contains mere vegetation in the idea of it.

IV. Rule. Let not subdivisions be too numero de we re without necessity; therefore I think quantity is bent to their r distinguished at once into a line, a surface, and a for or grave, than to fay as Ramus does, that quantity is either their fubj line, or a thing lined; and a thing lined is either a fee the folid p

face or a folid.

V. Rule. Distribute every subject according to the difficulty special design you have in view, so far as is necessary culation o useful to your present inquiry. Thus a politiciand burning we tributes mankind according to their civil characters in a concave the rulers and the ruled: and a physician divides the tion of t into the fick or the healthy; but a divine distribute fide giver them into Turks, Heathens, Jews, or Christians.

Here note, that it is a very useless thing to distribut ledge of the any idea into fuch kinds or members as have not It migh ferent properties to be spoken of; as it is mere triffe times give to divide right angles into fuch whose legs are equal, at integral w whose legs are unequal, for as to the mere right and when

they have no different properties.

VI. Rule. In all your distributions observe the me give is the ture of things with great exactness; and do not affect and prope any particular form of distribution, as some persons have mal; a fish done, by dividing every genus into two species, or into ha man three species; whereas nature is infinitely various, in facies, or human affairs and human sciences have as great whole can variety, nor is there any one form of distribution the tray par will exactly fuit with all fubjects.

Note, it is to this doctrine of distribution of a gent by the hand into its several species, we must also refer the dishibitation of a cause according to its several effects, as some the medicines are heating, some are cooling; or an effect was, or produced in the search of the sea built upon divine testimony or human. It is to the thicism i

or mind It is al fides. H ficulty int

tributed in

leveral fpe the breast

PARTI PART I.

ns.

head

grow had we refer particular artificial bodies, when they are fucha thinguished according to the matter they are made of, ven 152 statue is either of brass, of marble, or wood, &c. ently and any other beings, when they are diffinguished acimal ording to their end and design, as the furniture of body or mind is either for ornament or use. To this head * * 1 numero allo we refer subjects when they are divided according is bet to their modes or accidents; as men are either merry da magave, or fad; and modes, when they are divided by either their subjects, as distempers belong to the fluids, or to neralis the folid parts of the animal.

It is also to this place we reduce the proposals of a g to the difficulty under its various cases, whether it be in speceffarya culation or practice: as to shew the reason of sun-beams iciand burning wood, whether it be done by a convex glass or Sters in a concave; or to shew the construction and mensurades the tion of triangles, whether you have two angles and a listribute side given, or two sides and an angle, or only three files. Here it is necessary to distribute or divide a diffaulty into all its cases, in order to gain a perfect know-

diffribut ledge of the subject you contemplate,

e not It might be observed here, that logicians have somere trilly times given a mark or fign to distinguish when it is an qual, m integral whole, that is divided into its parts or members, ght and or when it is a genus, an universal whole, that is diftributed into its species and individuals. The rule they the me give is this: when soever the whole idea can be directly not affet and properly affirmed of each part, as a bird is an anisons have mal; a fish is an animal; Bucephalus is a horse; Peter s, or in a man; then it is a distribution of a genus into its ious, an arcies, or a species into its individuals: but when the great; whole cannot be thus directly affirmed concerning tion the trery part, then it is a division of an integral into its fiveral species or members; as we cannot say the head, the breast, the hand, or the foot is an animal, but we fagent to the head is a part of the animal, and the foot is diffile mother part.

, as form. This rule may hold true generally in corporeal bean effect in or perhaps in all substances: but when we say is either the fear of God is wisdom, and so is human civility: is to the thicim is true learning, and so is philosophy: to exe-

cute a murderer is justice, and to save and defend to follow innocent is justice too: in these cases it is not so each night be gi determined, whether an integral whole be divided in its parts, or an universal into its species: for the feat I. Conc God may be called either one part, or one kind of me any subject dom: criticism is one part, or one kind of learning and the execution of a murderer may be called a specia shiped, bef of justice as well as part of it. Nor indeed is it a mat the particu ter of great importance to determine this controvers,

SECT. XI.

Of an orderly Conception of Things.

HE last rule to direct our conceptions is, that a pholute in should rank and place them in a proper method the other pand just order. This is of necessary use to prove that many confusion; for as a trader who never places his good apply as in his shop or warehouse in a regular order, nor keep same a many ceiving in a just method, is in utmost, danger of place from the ing all his affairs into confusion and ruin; so a study method who is in the search of truth, or an author or teach mount of who communicates knowledge to others, will be abled to the much obstruct his design, and confound his own many confusion of the mind of his hearers, unless he range his ideas. or the mind of his hearers, unless he range his ideas just order.

If we would therefore become fuccessful learners teachers, we must not conceive of things in a confut heap, but dispose our ideas in some certain metal which may be most easy and useful both for the und flanding and memory; and be fure as much as may

2. Surve

3. Cont tures, and

other thing compoun hew the r what fimp!

4. Conf being as it relatively,

frands to o

Note, T Aruction w But in t

PARTI PERT T. defend to no follow the nature of things, for which many rules to for the night be given, viz.

the fear 1. Conceive as much as you can of the effentials of

nd of with my subject, before you consider its accidentals.

learning 2. Survey first the general parts and properties of any da special abject, before you extend your thoughts to discourse of it a man the particular kind or species of it.

roverly 3. Contemplate things first in their own simple nanures, and afterwards view them in composition with ther things; unless it be your present purpose to take compound being to pieces, in order to find out or to hew the nature of it by fearching and discovering of that simples it is composed.

4. Confider the absolute modes or affections of any king as it is in itself, before you proceed to consider it matively, or to furvey the various relations in which it

stands to other beings, &c.

ided in

is ideas

earners a contun

the undi as may!

Note, These rules chiefly belong to the method of infruction which the learned call funthetic.

But in the regulation of our ideas there is feldom an is, that abblute necessity that we should place them in this or er methat the other particular method: it is possible in some cases to press that many methods may be equally good, that is, may his good equally affish the understanding and the memory: to nor keep same a method exquisitely accurate, according to the of plus from the beginning to the end of a treatife, is a most of a study are and difficult thing, if not impossible. But a larger or teads arount of method would be very improper in this will be part own in a source what belongs to the fourth part own in a source.

SECT.

PART I.

mus or gen ecial comm mees with h hence of i hought or p inquished fr 2dly, We

he several pa aind's perce ment russie

lood, and a

mous proj

he foregoin

mgs to all ot constan

ons of det ther than umal nati

te to all

SECT. XII.

The five Rules of Conception exemplified.

T may be useful here to give a specimen of the winward special rules to direct our conceptions, which been the subject of this long chapter, and represente up its them practically in one view.

Suppose the theme of our discouse were the path operties the

of the mind.

Ist, To gain a clear and distinct idea of passions: i must define both the name and the thing. leful ends

To begin with the definition of the name; we will ends not here to understand the word passion in its will object and most limited sense, as it signifies merely age at of trial fury; nor do we take it in its most extensive philosometrical sense, for the sustaining the action of an agent; and more limited philosophical sense, passions in the more limited philosophical sense, passions in the various affections of the mind, such as admirate it, which have an hatred, this is the definition of the name. love or hatred; this is the definition of the name.

We proceed to the definition of the thing, passes defined a fenfation of some special commotion in and nature, occasioned by the mind's perception of the control of the

^{*} Since this was written, I have published a treatife of the passions, wherein I have so far the tree the from this definition as to call them sensible common the to all of our whole nature, both foul and body, occasioned dion or the mind's perception of the objects, &c. I made wortance,

name.

g, paffion n in ani

ans or general nature of passion is a sensation of some commotion in animal nature; and herein it with hunger, thirst, pain, &c. The essential difference of it is, that this commotion arises from a wight or perception of the mind, and hereby it is difficulty. We must conceive of it completely, or survey be leveral parts that compose it. These are (1.) The mod's perception of some object.

and's perception of some object. (2.) The conseant rustle or special commotions of the nerves, and
bod, and animal spirits. And (3.) The sensation of
the sinward commotion.

of the sinward commotion.

of the sinward commotion.

There are (1.) The
conseand (2.) The sensation of
the nerves, and
bod, and animal spirits. And (3.) The fensation of
the sinward commotion.

There are (1.) The
conseand (2.) The conseand (3.) The sensation of
the sinward commotion of
the sinward commotion.

There are (1.) The
conseand (3.) The sensation of
the sinward commotion of he path foregoing heads. Some of the most considerable operation that remain are these, viz. That passion beags to all mankind in greater or leffer degrees: it is a constantly present with us, but upon some certain paffion, casions: it is appointed by our creator for various the ends and purposes, viz. to give us vigour in the me; we what is good and agreeable to us, or in the miss wide of what is hurtful: it is very proper for our ely ance of trial in this world: It is not utterly to be rooted philoto at of our nature, but to be moderated and governed agent; wording to rules of virture and religion, &c. ions in the world: We must take cognizance of the various kinds admirm it, which is called an extensive conception of it. If

the

Here reation in the description of the passions in that book, Here reation in the description of the passions in that book, ms of defire and aversion which are acts of volition ther than sensations. Yet since some commotions of hed all hays a fensation of these commotions, I shall not accepted the definition I have written here: for this will rect all the passions whether they include any act of common acceptance. Nov. 17, 1728.

the object which the mind perceives be very unon but only comon, it excites the passion of admiration: if the object of the agreeable of additional distinction appear agreeable it raises love: if the agreeable of additional distinction and attainable it is desirable: if likely to be apperties to tained, it excites hope: if unattainable, despair: if roperties, the present and possesses if the passion of joy: if is mother, and it excites forrow; if the object be disagreeable it can be in general hatred or aversion; if it be absent and yet arties, por are in danger of it, it raises our fear: if it be present found out, in some and sadness. is forrow and fadness. &c.

5thly, All these things and many more which got others. compose a treatise on this subject must be placed. If any their proper order: a slight specimen of which is the treated in this short account of passion, and which when admirable author Descartes has treated of at he passion. admirable author Descartes has treated of at lag conception though, for want of sufficient experiments and observable those tions in natural philosophy, there are some sew milks in Aly, as b

in his account of animal nature.

SECT. XIII.

An Illustration of these five Rules by Similitudes completely

HUS we have brought the first part of logical body, and conclusion: and it may not be improper her membrane reprefent its excellencies (fo far as we have gone puto the general hints of its chief defign and use, as well as a various comparison of it to those infruments in III. W

and improvements.

The design of logic is not to furnish us with the medical good ceiving faculty, but only to direct and affish us in last to u use of it; it doth not give us the objects of our in latest on the logic of our in the last of our intestion.

and where

appear in dicovers hews the r dark iky to unaffifted 1

> II. Wh the use of

des are to then the hew us a

mimals, w

when he only casts such a light on those objects which nathe objects in the object which nathe objects in the object with the object with the object of the and wherein it agrees with, and wherein it differs from

hich got others.

placed: If any comparisons would illustrate this, it may be

PART PART I.

which the When logic affifts us to attain a clear and diffinct at last conception of the nature of things by definition, it is ad obtain the those glasses whereby we behold such objects distributed with the particular of their smallness or their great distance appear in confusion to the naked eye: so the telescope drovers to us distant wonders in the heavens, and hews the milky way, and the bright cloudy foots in a very lack ky to be a collection of little stars, which the eye maffifted beholds in mingled confusion. So when bodes are too finall for our fight to survey them distinctly, then the microscope is at hand for our affistance, to hew us all the limbs and features of the most minute mals, with great clearness and distinction.

II. When we are taught by logic to view a thing militudes completely in all its parts by the help of division, it has beule of an anatomical knife, which diffects an animal of logical body, and separates the veins, arteries, nerves, muscles, oper her membranes, &c. and shews us the several parts which we gone to the composition of a complete animal.

ments who III. When logic instructs us to survey an object convenies amprehensively in all the modes, properties, relations, and appearances of it, it is of the same use as a with the medical globe, which turning round on its axis repressive to us all the variety of land and seas, kingdoms of our in mations on the surface of the earth in a very short mession of time, shews the situation and various relations lations

well as

lations of them to each other, and gives a comprehen. five view of them in miniature.

IV. When this art teaches us to distribute any extensive idea into its different kinds or species, it may be compared to the prismatic glass, that receives the sunbeams or rays of light, which seem to be uniform who falling upon it, but it separates and distributes them into their different kinds and colours, and ranks them

in their proper fuccession.

Or if we descend to subdivisions and subordinate ranks of being, then distribution may also be said to form the resemblance of a natural tree, wherein the genus or general idea stands for the root or stock, and the several kinds of species, and individuals, are distributed abroad, and represented in their dependence and connection, like the several boughs, branches, and less shoots. Eor instance, let animal be the root of a logical tree, the resemblance is seen by mere inspection, though the root be not placed at the bottom of the page.

Animal

The fi dvision a several pa When

proper in and ment well continuanto's, fuch exa history, in ing, &c.

book, and at once, placed. The r

ideas, is
to join to
judgment
and will

n order

any ex. may be the fun. m when

ART

prehen.

PART I.

ks them Anima

ordinate e faid to rein the ock, and are diffience and

and leffer a logical a, though ge.

&c.

und. &c.

	Bird	Eagle Lark Duck — Goofe, &c.	English. Muscovy. Hook-bill, &e.
al S	Fish	Fish {Trout Whale Oister, &c.	
	Infect	Flying — Creeping	{ Wafp. Bee, &c. { Worm. Ant. Caterpillar, &c.

The fame fimilitude will ferve also to illustrate the dwision and subdivision of an integral whole, into its sweral parts.

When logic directs us to place all our ideas in a proper method, most convenient both for instruction and memory, it doth the same service as the cases of well contrived shelves in a large library wherein solio's quarto's, octavo's, and lesser volums, are disposed in such exact order under the particular heads of divinity, history, mathematicks, ancient and miscellaneous learning, &c. that the student knows where to find every book, and has them all as it were within his command at once, because of the exact order wherein they are placed.

The man who has fuch affiftances as these at hand. In order to manage his conceptions and regulate his ideas, is well prepared to improve his knowledge, and to join those ideas together in a regular manner by judgment, which is the second operation of the mind, and will be the subject of the second part of logic.

THE

SECOND PART

OF

OF JUDGMENT AND PROPOSITION.

HEN the mind has got acquaintance things by framing ideas of them, it process to the next operation, and that is, to compare the ideas together, and to join them by affirmation, or join them by negation, according as we find them agree or disagree. This act of the mind is called just ment; as when we have by perception obtained ideas of Plato a philosopher, man, innocent, we man these judgments; Plato was a philosopher; no man innocent.

Some writers have afferted, that judgment confils of THE a mere perception of the agreement or disagreement But I rather think there is an act of the (at least in most cases) necessary to form a judgme A Pr for though we do perceive or think we perceive to agree or disagree, yet we may sometimes refrain in the state of the judgu

PART II iudging perceptio hould b times, th and a fir fore ther difagree ; ments or are a pro it, and d fometime ing, and

As an hension, The fore of judgir aphiloso Here 1

judging.

I. Th of which 2. Th

3. Th prejudice 4. Ge

5. Spe wiects.

judging or affenting to the perception, for fear lest the perception should not be sufficiently clear, and we hould be mistaken: and I am well assured at other imes, that there are multitudes of judgments formed. and a firm affent given to ideas joined or disjoined, before there is any clear perception whether they agree or differee; and this is the reason of so many false judgments or mistakes among men. Both these practices are a proof that judgment has fomething of the will in it and does not merely confift in perception, fince we fometimes judge (though unhappily) without perceiving, and fometimes we perceive without immediate judging.

As an idea is the refult of our conception or apprehension, so a proposition is the effect of judgment. The foregoing fentences which are examples of the act of judgment are properly called propositions. Plato is

aphilosopher, &c.

Here let us confider,

1. The general nature of a proposition, and the parts of which it is composed.

2. The various divisions or kinds of propositions.

3. The springs of false judgment, or the doctrine of prejudices.

4. General directions to affift us in judging aright.

5. Special rules to direct us in judging particular

CHAP. I.

confils of the NATURE OF A PROPOSITION, AND ITS SE-VERAL PARTS.

Proposition is a sentence wherein two or more ceive in A ideas or terms are joined or disjoined by one of the frain for immation or negation, as Plato was a philosopher:

L 3

every

t process pare the on, or de d them to alled judg tained to

ance m

, we for no mana

reement of the W

judg

every angle is formed by two lines meeting: no ma minated a living on earth can be completely happy. When ther ther are ever fo many ideas or terms in the fentence, yet they are joined or disjoined merely by one fingle at Note To frmation or negation, they are properly called but on not expre proposition, though they may be resolved into seven a unders propositions which are implied therein, as will apper socrates of hereafter.

In describing a proposition I use the words terms a ding. I well as ideas, because when mere ideas are joined in the and Gree mind without words, it is rather called a judgment, by popolition when clothed with words, it is called a proposition, even though it be in the mind only, as well as when it is e. Note 2 pressed by speaking or writing.

There are three things which go to the nature and the act of constitution of a proposition, (viz.) the subject, the pre and signi dicate and the copula.

The subject of a proposition is that concerning which enslernt; any thing is affirmed or denied: fo Plato, angle, ma frange no living on earth, are the subjects of the foregoing prop Carthage fitions.

The predicate is that which is affirmed or deniedal Note; the subject; so philosopher is the predicate of the in me not a proposition; formed by two lines meeting, is the predicate of cate of the fecond; capable of being completely happy duly on is the proper predicate of the third.

The subject and predicate of a proposition taken to there are gether are called the matter of it; for these are them in Africa terials of which it is made.

The copula is the form of a proposition; it repres to under fents the act of the mind affirming or denying, and its predicate expressed by these words, am, art, is, are, &c, or, at topula. not, art not, is not, are not, &c.

It is not a thing of importance enough to create: Note dispute, whether the words no, none, not, never, it with all which disjoin the idea or terms in a negative propositions; f or of the predicate. Sometimes perhaps they may im tiling, a most naturally to be included in one, and sometimes another of these, though a proposition is usually denominated of the su

nifies Soc

are used : of that p

design of Africa is

no me minated affirmative or negative by its copula, as herenen there lifter.

ingle at Note 1. Where each of these parts of a proposition is but out not expressed distinctly in so many words, yet they are to seven a understood, and implicitely contained therein; as ill apper socrates disputed, is a complete proposition, for it signhes Socrates was disputing. So I die, fignifies I am terms a dying. I can write, i. e. I am able to write. In Latin. ed in the and Greek one fingle word is many times a complete ment, but proposition.

nitise. Note 2. These words, am, art, is, &c. when they are used alone without any other predicate fignify both ature and the act of the mind judging, which includes the copula, , the pro and fignify also actual existence, which is the predicate of that proposition. So Rome is, signifies Rome is ing while eithert; there are some strange monsters, that is, some ngle, ma frange nionsters are existent: Carthage is no more, i. e. ng propo Carthage has no being.

denied of Note 3. The subject and predicate of a proposition of the set not always to be known and distinguished by the the producing of the words in the fentence, but by reflecting by happy duly on the fense of the words, and on the mind and design of the speaker or writer: as if I say, in Africa taken to there are many lions, I mean many lions are existent e the many lions is the subject, and existent in Africa is the predicate. It is proper for a philosopher; it represents the understand geometry; here the word proper is the , and its redicate, and all the rest is the subject, except is the

o create: Note 4. The subject and predicate of a proposition never, at ment always to be two different ideas, or two different re propositions; for where both the terms and ideas are the he copus time, it is called an identical proposition, which is mere may less tilling, and cannot tend to promote knowledge; fuch

metimes a sparule is a rule, or a good man is a good man.

But there are fome propositions, wherein the terms minant of the subject and predicate seem to be the same; yet

the

ice, yet if

ion, even

cc. or, an opula.

the ideas are not the fame; nor can these be call purely identical; or trifling propositions; such as how is home; that is, home is a convenient or delight place; Socrates is Socrates still; that is, the man & crates is still a philosopher: the hero was not a henthat is, the hero did not shew his courage: what I ha written, I have written; that is, what I wrote In approve, and will not alter it: what is done, is done that is, it cannot be undone. It may be eafily oblered in these propositions the term is equivocal, for inte predicate it has a different idea from what it has in the of unive subject.

There are also some propositions wherein the term of the subject and predicate differ, but the ideas are the fame; and these are not merely identical or trifling m positions; as impudent is shameless; a billow is: wave; or fluctus (in Latin) is a wave; a globe in round body. In these propositions either the work are explained by a definition of the name, or the ida according by a definition of the things, and therefore they are no means useless when formed for any purpose.

CHAP. II.

OF THE VARIOUS KINDS OF PROPOSITIONS.

Ropositions may be distributed into various kind according to their subject, their copula, their pro dicate, their nature or composition, their sense, and the evidence, which distributions will be explained in the most following fections.

DRopo

[ject called a d An un jet be a des or ki its individ these wo men mu had a beg A nar taken ac the term those spe preffes, b noted by which, & truly wif things. Under we may

genious

A fing

ar or in

ed all hi

· delights e man & ot a hero hat I have

be called ch as how

rote In e, is done: y observed for inth

the terms eas are th

e.

IONS.

ous kinds things.

SECT. I.

has inthe of universal, particular, indefinite, and singular Propositions.

rifling DRopolitions may be divided according to their fubillow is left into univerfal and particular; this is usually

globe in alled a division arising from the quantity.

the word An universal proposition is when the subject is taken r the idea according to the whole of its extention: fo if the fubhey areh jet be a genus, or general nature, it includes all its fpedes or kinds: if the subject be a species, it includes all is individuals. This univerfality is usually signified by thele words, all, every, no, none, or the like; as, all men must die: no man is almighty: every creature had a beginning.

> A particular proposition is when the subject is not tken according to its whole extension; that is, when the term is limited and restrained to some one or more of those species or individuals, whose general nature it exprefies, but reaches not to all; and this is usually denoted by the words, some, many, a few, there are, which, &c. as, fome birds can fing well; few men are toly wife: there are parrots which will talk a hundred

their mounder the general name of universal propositions, and the may justly include those that are singular, and for ned in the most part those that are indefinite also.

A fingular proposition is when the subject is a fingubror individual term or idea; as Descartes was an in-SECT. Prious philosopher: Sir Ifaac Newton has far exceedd all his predecessors: the palace at Hampton-Court

is a pleasant dwelling: this day is very cold. I moral subject here must be taken according to the contest. fubject here must be taken according to the whole greatest its extension, because being and individual it can extension only to one, and it must therefore be regulated by the reason:

laws of univerfal propositions.

An indefinite proposition is when no note, either of the scrip universality or particularity is prefixed to a subjet the Cret which is in its own nature general; as a planet is a Now it is which is in its own nature general; as a planet is changing its place; Angles are noble creatures, No cannot this fort of proposition of care and this fort of proposition, especially when it describes and nature of things, is usually counted universal allo, a it supposes the subject to be taken in its whole exten fion: for if there were any planet which did not charge its place, or any angel that were not a noble cream these propositions would not be strictly true.

Yet in order to secure us against mistakes in judgin of universal, particular and indefinite propositions, its

necessary to make these following remarks.

I. Concerning universal propositions.

Note 1. Universal terms may either denote a metphysical, a physical, or a moral universality.

A metaphyfical or mathematical universality is with all the particulars contained under any general in have the fame predicate belonging to them without a talk exception whatfoever; or when the predicate is for the mately, tial to the universal subject, that it destroys the w nature of the subject to be without it; as, all cital have a center and circumstances: all spirits in their on it be nature are immortal.

A physical or natural universality is when, according to the order and to the order and common course of nature, a prediction agrees to all the subjects of that kind, though im may be fome accidental and preternatural exceptions anged in as, all men use words to express their thoughts, it immights dumb persons are excepted, for they cannot speak. beasts have four feet, yet there may be some months in on e with five; or maimed, who have but three.

ay be alw etaphysica

Let it be o distincti hat is phys

Note 2. ectively fo ometimes nd alone.

Inflances

thefe ap ight are f wer load t nto one o de will

> A distri nguish

Instance

PART MIL. cold. A moral universality is when the predicate agrees to whole whole greatest part of the particulars which are contained can give the universal subject; as, all negroes are stupid can extend the till white the country attend by affection rather than atted by the reason: all the old Romans loved their country:

te, either the fcripture uses this language, when St. Paul tells the Cretes are always liars.

Now it is evident, that a special or singular concluant is the cannot be inferred from a moral universality, nor res. No rays and infallibly from a physical one, though it allows by be always inferred from an universality which is

al also, at y be always inferred from an universality which is hole extended, without any danger or possibility of a mishole extended.

Let it be observed also, that usually we make little or le creature.

le creature distinction in common language, between a subject in judgm at is physically or metaphysically universal.

fitions, it is Note 2. An universal term is sometimes taken colthrely for all its particular ideas united together, and metimes distributively, meaning each of them single nd alone.

the a metal inflances of a collective universal are such as these; these apples will fill a bushel; all the hours of the ty is what are fufficient for fleep: all the rules of grammar eneral in the load the memory. In these propositions it is eviis so the variable with the predicate belongs not to the individuals is so the variable, but to the whole collective idea; for we can affirm the same predicate, if we change the word all all circs to one or every, we cannot say the apple, or every the will fill a bushel, &c. Now such a collective idea, their on it becomes the subject of a proposition, ought to the teleemed as one fingle thing, and this renders the repolition fingular or indefinite, as we shall shew imposition fingular or indefinite final shew in the shew indefinite

is a finner, &c. But in this fort of universal there

is a distinction to be made, which follows in the new did marv

Note 3. When an univerfal term is taken diffind Here a tively, fometimes it includes all the individuals continued in the individual contin ed in its inferior species: as when I say every siche es, as whas a tendency to death; I mean every individual for words all nefs, as well as every kind. But fometimes it include of those more than merely each species or kind; as whe word. One of disease was healed by Christ; that is, every kind of the man that below ease. The first of these, logicians call the distribute of an universal in singular generum; the last is a distribute of an universal in singular generum; the last is a distribute of the subject render a proposition universal. ed to the subject render a proposition universal.

Note 4. The universality of a subject is often in than nat strained by a part of the predicate; as when we say outom is men learn wisdom by experience: the universal subject of la all men is limited to signify only, all those men is a in fam. learn wisdom. The fcripture also uses this fort of la guage, when it speaks of all men being justified by the II. Re righteousness of one, Rom. v. 18. that is, all men wh are justified obtain it this way.

Observe here, that not only a metaphysical or natural universality also is oftentimes to be restain time un ed by a part of the predicate; as when we fay, all the moral, w Dutch are good seamen: all the Italians are still politicians; that is, those among the Dutch that we me fearman are good seaman; and those among the Italia Metar who are politicians are subtile politicians, i. e. they bence. generally fo.

Note 5. The universality of a term is many in wortal. Note 5. The universality of a term is many unrestrained by the particular time, place, circumstant &c. or the design of the speaker; as if we are into the city of London, and say, all the weavers went to protect their petition; we mean only all the weavers who did that the city. So when it is said in the gospel, all in the city.

men who

which ha custom a

Note

forms of

s, negr oples v

a the to dd marvel, Mark v. 20. it reaches only to all those men who heard of the miracles of our Saviour.

Here also it should be observed, that a moral univer-ls contain they is restrained by time, place, and other circumstan-

dity is reitrained by time, place, and other circumstantry sicked as, as well as a natural; so that by these means the ridual so words all sometimes does not extend to a tenth part it included in those who at first might seem to be included in that word.

One occasion of these difficulties and ambiguities, and of the belong to universal propositions, is the common distributed that belong to universal propositions, is the common distributed the might seem to many their ideas, and to talk roundly them just and universally concerning any thing they speak of; which has introduced universal terms of speech into which has introduced universal terms of speech into all nations and all languages, more s often in than nature or reason would distate; yet when this we fay: outom is introduced, it is not at all improper to use this orfal subject for of language in solemn and sacred writings, as well as men we aim familiar discourse.

ified by the II. Remarks concerning indefinite propositions.

Note 1. Propositions carrying in them universal ims of expression may sometimes drop the note of lor natural universality, and become indefinite, and yet retain the be restain the universal sense, whether metaphysical, natural or say, all to moral, whether collective or distributive.

are substance where the stance we may give instances of each of these.

We may give instances of each of these.

Metaphysical; as, a circle has a center and circumtence. Natural, as, beasts have four feet. Moral; a negroes are stupid creatures. Collective; as, the ples will fill a bushel. Distributive; as, men are

Note 2. There are many cases wherein a collective on to propose it is expressed in a proposion by an indefinite term, and that where it describes the nature or quality of the spel, all more that, as well as when it declares some past matters of the its, as, fire-trees set in good order will give a charming

fort of lasll men wh

fal.

many time nortal. ircumitant

134

prospect; this must signify a collection of fire-trees, for one makes no prospect. In matters of fact this is more evident and frequent; as the Romans over care the Gauls: the robbers furrounded the coach: Le wild geefe flew over the Thames in the form of a wedge. All these are collective subjects.

Note 3. In indefinite propositions the subject is often restrained by the predicate, or by the special time, place, or circumstances, as well as in propositions which are expresly universal; as, the Chineses are ingenious sik. weavers, i. e. those Chineses, which are silk-weavers, are ingenious at their work. The stars appear to us when the twilight is gone. This can fignify no more than the stars which are above our horizon.

Note 4. All these restrictions tend to reduce some indefinite propositions almost into particular, as will appear under the next remarks.

III. Remarks concerning particular propositions.

Note 1. A particular proposition may sometimes be expressed indefinitely without any note of particularly prefixed to the subject: as, in times of confusion laws at not executed: men of virtue are difgraced, and muderers escape, i. e. some laws, some men of virtue, some murderers: unless we should call this language a mon univerfality, though I think it can hardly extend form

Note 2. The words fome, a few, &c. though the generally denote a proper particularity, yet fometimes they express a collective idea; as some of the enemia beset the general around. A few Greeks would beat thousand Indians.

I conclude this fection with a few general remarks of this subject, (viz.)

Gen. Rem. I. Since univerfal, indefinite, and particilar terms in the plural number, may either be taken a

PART à colle eafy v distrib ed int one fi collect

> Ger plural when feveral the ful versal e ticular

> > Ger

the pl fent ge If t ther b a prope proper tition; denote merely in orde instance a large which garden make : ındefin each of to a co

If th fal or p ters of lar idea

foldiers

efteem

a collective or distributive sense, there is one short and easy way to find when they are collective and when distributive, (viz.) If the plural number may be changed into the singular, i. e. if the predicate will agree to one single subject, it is a distributive idea; if not, it is collective.

Gen. Rem. II. Univerfal and particular terms in the plural number, such as, all, some, sew, many, &c. when they are taken in their distributive sense, represent several single ideas; and when they are thus affixed to the subject of a proposition, render that proposition universal or particular, according to the universality or particularity of the terms affixed.

Gen. Rem. III. Universal and particular terms in the plural number, taken in their colletive sense, repre-

fent generally one collective idea.

If this one collective idea be thus represented (whether by universal or particular terms) as the subject of approposition which describes the nature of a thing, it properly makes either a fingular or an indefinite propolition; for the words, all, fome, a few, &c. do not then denote the quantity of the proposition, but are esteemed merely as terms which connect the individuals together morder to compose one collective idea. Observe these instances, all the sycamores in the garden would make a large grove; i. e. this one collection of sycamores, which is a fingular idea. Some of the sycamores in the garden would make a fine grove. Sycamores would make a noble grove: in these kast the subject is rather indefinite than fingular. But it is very evident, that in each of these propositions the predicate can only belong to a collective idea, and therefore the subject must be esteemed a collective.

If this collective idea (whether represented by univerial or particular terms) be used in describing past matters of sact, then it is generally to be esteemed a singular idea, and renders the proposition singular; as, all the foldiers of Alexander made but a little army: a few

M 2

Mace-

ometimes e enemies ald beata

ARTIL

re-trees,

t this is

er care

ch: Le

m of a

is often

e, place,

hich are

ous filk.

weavers,

ear to us

no more

ace some

will ap-

ions.

times be

ticularity

laws are

nd mur-

ue, some

a moral

nd fo far.

ugh they

marks on

d particue taken in

Macedonians vanquished the large army of Darius; fome grenadiers in the camp plundered all the neigh bouring towns.

Now we have shewn before, that If a proposition describing the nature of things, has an indefinite subject it is generally to be esteemed universal in its propos. tional fense; and if it has a fingular subject, in its pro. positional sense it is always ranked with universals,

After all we must be forced to confess, that the land guage of minkind, and the idioms of speech are soes. ceeding various, that it is hard to reduce them to a few rules; and if we would gain a just and precise idead every universal, particular and indefinite expression, we must not only consider the particular idiom of the lan. guage, but the time, the place, the occasion, the circum stances of the matter spoken of, and thus penetraten far as possible into the defign of the speaker or writer.

SECT. II.

Of affirmative and negative Propositions.

THEN a proposition is considered with regard french its copula, it may be divided into affirmating and negative; for it is a copula joins or disjoins the two ideas. Others call this a division of proposition under the according to their quality.

An affirmative proposition is when the idea of the predicate is supposed to agree to the idea of the subject in one s and is joined to it by the word is, or are, which are the dare, no copula; as, all men are finners. But when the pred refaid, cate is not supposed to agree with the subject, and s french, disjoined from it by the particles is not, are not, a

the pro or, no 1 we affer unite th tions W their ag

It m

PART I

terms a copula: do really it takes make it and fub of word gramma fense an his gran tive con Sever ject.

> If N ideas, (a hard to fo there be diffic when w kilful or m oifter aphyfici very pla perhaps

2d N

ART II. Darius; e neigh.

oposition e subject proposi. 1 its pro. fals. t the lan.

are fo ex.

to a few fe idea of effion, we f the lan. e circum

netrate as Writer,

isjoins the

dea of the the prede not, a

the proposition is negative: as, man is not innocent; or, no man is innocent. In an affirmative proposition we affert one thing to belong to another, and as it were, unite them in thought and word: in negative propositions we separate one thing from another, and deny their agreement.

It may become fomething odd, that two ideas or terms are faid to be disjoined as well as joined by a copula: but if we can but suppose the negative particles do really belong to the copula of negative propositions, it takes away the harshness of the expression; and to make it yet fofter, we may confider that the predicate and subject may be properly said to be joined in a form of words as a proposition, by connective particles in rammar or logic, though they are disjoined in their fine and fignification. Every youth who has learned his grammar, knows there are fuch words as disjunctive conjunctions.

Several things are worthy of our notice on this fub-

If Note. As there are some terms or words, and ideas, (as I have shewn before) concerning which it is hard to determine whether they are negative or politive, 6 there are fome propositions concerning which it may be difficult to fay, whether they affirm or deny; as, when we fay, Plato was no fool: Cicero was no unalful orator: Cæfar made no expedition to Muscovy: moister has no part like an eel; It is not necessary for iphysician to speak French, and for a physician to speak regards french is needless. The sense of these propositions is affirmative very plain and easy, though logicians might squabble whaps a whole day, whether they should rank them. proposition under the names of negative or affirmative.

ad Note. In Latin and English two negatives joined the subject is one sentence make an affirmative; as when we deich aret dre, no man is not mortal, it is the same as though refaid, man is mortal, but in Greek, and oftentimes in ject, and Brench, two negatives make but a stronger denial.

3d Note. If the mere negative term, not, be added to the copula of an universal affirmative proposition, reduces it to a particular negative; as, all men are no wife, fignifies the fame as, some men are not wife.

4th Note. In all affirmative propositions, the pred, cate is taken in its whole comprehension; that is, e. very effential part and attribute of it is affirmed concerning the subject; as when I say, a true christiania an honest man, every thing that belongs to honesty's affirmed concerning a true christian.

5th Note. In all negative propositions the predict is taken in its whole extension: that is, every species and individual that is contained in the general idea of the predicate, is utterly denied concerning the subject; in in this proposition, a spirit is not an animal, we exclude all forts and kinds, and particular animals whatform from the idea of a spirit,

From these two last remarks we may derive this in ference, that we ought to attend to the entire compa hension of our ideas, and to the universal extensional uterly is them, as far as we have proper capacity for it, before we grow too confident in our affirming or denying any thing, which may have the least darkness, doubt or definied i ficulty attending it: it is the want of that attention the orin pa betrays us into many mistakes.

SECT. III.

Of the Opposition and Conversion of Propositions

NY two ideas being joined or disjoined in war ous forms will afford us feveral propositions: 1 these may be distinguished according to their quantity A E

I

and their

noted by

according Afferit 1

Afferit 1 This and a tre

A Ev

E No I Son O Soi The large trif propositi brief hin

Propo predicate titions be If the hid to b

A Every 0 Some

+ Th tion acco icular, a

ave or 1

If

PART II. PART H. be added n are not

vife. the predithat is, e. med con hriftian is

predicate ry species dea of the abject; 6

ve exclude

vhat foever

ve this in e compre it, before

ostions.

ed in vanfitions: 2 r quantity

nd their quality + into four, which are marked or deofition, i loted by the letters A, E, I, O, thus:

> Universal affirmative. Universal negative. denotes a Particular affirmative. Particular negative. according to these old Latin rhymes-

honelty's Afferit A, negat E, verum generaliter ambæ; Aferit I, negat O, fed particulariter ambo.

> This may be exemplified by these two ideas, a vine and a tree.

A Every vine is a tree.

E No vine is a tree.

I Some vine is a tree.

O Some vine is not a tree.

The logicians of the schools have written many large trifles concerning the opposition and conversion of propositions. It will be sufficient here to give a few bief hints of these things, that the learner may not be ktension of utterly ignorant of them.

Propositions which are made of the same subject and enying an medicate are faid to be opposite, when that which is ubt or definied in one is affirmed in the other, either in whole ention to grin part, without any confideration whether the prostions be true or no.

If they differ both in quantity and quality, they are and to be contradictory; as,

These can never be both A Every vine is a tree. true, or both false at the O Some vine is not a tree. fame time.

† The reader should remember here, that a propositon according to its quantity is called universal or parkular, and according to its quality, it is either affirmaave or negative.

Logic

If two universals differ in quality, they are commiss nomin ries; as,

A Every vine is a tree. E No vine is a tree.

These can never be be persuative true together, but they may a in short be both false.

If two particular propositions differ in quality, the hosten; as subcontraries. are fubcontraries.

every tree

I Some vine is a tree.
O Some vine is not a tree.

These may be both to wildom, a together, but they a Cafar wa never be both false.

Both particular and universal propositions which: proposition with the proposition of th gree in quality but not in quantity, are called subalten recalled though these are not properly opposite, as,

A Every vine is a tree. I Some vine is a tree.

Or thus,

E No vine is a tree.

O Some vine is not a tree.

The canons of subalternate propositions are usual reckoned these three, (viz.) (1. If any universal propofition be true, the particular will be true also, but m on the contrary. And (2.) If a particular proposition be false, the universal must be false, but not on them. trary. (3.) Subaltern propositions, whether universal particular, may fometimes be both true, and fometime both false.

The conversion of propositions is when the subject has and predicate change their places with perservational may be of the truth. This may be done with constant certains with pr in all universal negatives and particular affirmatives; a When no spirit is an animal, may be converted, no animals deate is a spirit; and some tree is a vine, may be converted proposition from the vine is a tree. But there is more formal trifling but who in this fort of discourse than there is of solid improve the prec ment, because this fort of conversion arises merely from smodal the form of words, as connected in a propositon, rather time of than from the matter.

Yet it may be useful to observe, that there are some propositions, which by reason of the ideas or matter the mar which they are composed may be converted with constant truth: such are those propositions whose predicts.

are usually erfal propo-

proposition on the con-

universal or formetimes

are commission of the fubject, or the difirence of it, or a property of the fourth kind, or a
fer be but inerlative degree of any property or quality what soever,
but they may in short, where soever the predicate and the subject
have exactly the same extension or the same comprequality, the fame is a tree bearing grapes; and
may tree bearing grapes is a vine: religion is the truest
the both the vision, and the truest wisdom is religion: Julius
to they called was the first emperor of Rome; and the first
in shift in propositions which are properly convertible, and they
define the commission of the subject of Rome; and the single of Rome was Julius Cæsar. These are the
his which: propositions which are properly convertible, and they
define subject of the subject of Rome; and the subject of Rome was Julius Cæsar. These are the
his which: propositions which are properly convertible, and they
define subject of the subject of the subject of Rome; and the subject of Rome was Julius Cæsar. These are the
his which: propositions which are properly convertible, and they

SECT. IV.

Of pure and modal Propositions.

A Nother division of propositions among the schotthe subject A lastic writers is into pure and modal. This servation of my be called (for distinction sake) a division according

nt certains to the predicate.

when a proposition merely expresses that the preconverted converted conver

ton, rather time christian should be an honest man.

Logical writers generally make the modality of this re are some proposition to belong to the copula, because its shews remattered the manner of the connection between subject and preliwith control with control of the some of the sentence as a logical prosee predicts. But if the form of the sentence as a logical prosee predicts without the sentence as a logical pro-

pre-

predicate of the proposition, and it must run thus: the positions a true christian should be an honest man is a necessity when thing, and then the whole primary propositions thing, and then the whole primary proposition is at these

cluded in the tubject of the modal proposition.

There are four modes of connecting the predict, and with the subject, which are usually reckoned up on the become occasion, (viz.) Necessity and contingency which are two opposites, possibility and impossibility which are great necessary that a globe should there a stable be made of wood or glassic are the round: that a globe be made of wood or glass is an munt us necessary or contingent thing: it is impossible that and eq globe should be square: it is impossible that a good the Latin should be of water.

With regard to the modal propositions which to enr schools have introduced, I would make these twom

marks:

Remark 1. These propositions in English are form by the resolution of the words, must be, might not can be, and cannot be, unto those more explicate for of a logical copula and predicate, is necessary, is m tingent, is possible, is impossible: for it is necessary to a globe should be round, signifies no more than the globe must be round.

Remark 2. Let it be noted that this quadruple and WHI lity is only an enumeration of the natural modes. We manners wherein the predicate is connected with a manner and modes of connecting two ideas together (viz.) laws a fingle nefs and unlawfulness, conveniency and inconvenient one productions and the manner and inconvenient to the manner and it is unlawful for any person to kill an important the manner and it is unlawful for christians to eat she manner and inconvenient to the manner and it is unlawful for christians to eat she manner and it is unlawful for christians to eat she manner and it is unlawful for christians to eat she manner and inconvenient to the manner and it is unlawful for christians to eat she manner and inconvenient to the manner lent: to tell all that we think is inexpedient: for y be div man to be affable to his neighbour is very convent &c.

There are several other modes of speaking where a predicate is connected with a fubject: fuch as it is doubtful, it is probable, it is improbable, it is improbable, it is agreed, it is granted, it is faid by the ancients,

IRT II. n thus: the continues.

Is a necessary whether the modality be natural, moral, &c. yet PARTI ofition is all these propositions it is the mode is the proper on.

The product of the proposition, except the the product of the proposition, except the the product of the propositions of a complex pature of ed up on the become pure propositions of a complex nature, of y which are the we shall treat in the next section, so that there is which are the great need of making modals a distinct fort.

obe should there are many little subtleties which the schools lass is an amount us with concerning the conversion and oppositions of these modal propositions, suited that a good the Latin or Greek tongues rather than the English, If to pass away the idle time of a student, rather which to enrich his understanding.

SECT. V.

e than the Of single Propositions, whether simple or complex.

druple and IJHEN we confider the nature of propositions, and modes. It together with the formation of them, and the steed with tenals whereof they are made, we divide them into oral and a deand compound.

(viz.) law dangle proposition is that which has but one subject aconvenient done predicate; but if it has more subjects or more repositions deates, it is called a compound proposition, and it kill an implies two or more propositions in it.

o eat fleth single proposition (which is also called categorical) edient: for you divided again into simple and complex*.

y convenien

A

king where fuch is, it As simple ideas are apposed to complex, and single s improbate to compound, so propositions are distinguished in the

these two re

h are forme night noth plicate for flary, is con

necessary th

ancients, il

tion. Br

hood of

the pred

plex proj

Befide

or predic

whether

The merein

thre, d

A purely simple proposition is that whose subject an which ar predicate are made up of fingle terms: as, virtue is a whole pr firable: every penitent is pardoned: no man is in the addit nocent.

When the subject or predicate, or both, are maden sa part of complex terms, it is called a complex propolition as, every fincere penitent is pardoned; virtue is del judged by rable for its own fake; no man alive is perfectly inno tion, but cent.

If the term which is added to the subject of a com, ale, and plex proposition be either effential or any way necessary to it, then it is called explicative, for it only explan ings, m the fubject; as every mortal man is a fon of Adam But if the term added to make up the complex fulled does not necessarily or constantly belong to it, then its petion determinative, and limits the subject to a particular par have a of its extension; as, every pious man shall be happy population. In the first proposition the word mortal is merely as plicative: in the fecond proposition the word pious determinative.

Here note, that whatfoever may be affirmed or delied concerning any fubject, with an explicative addition may be also affirmed or denied of that subject without it; as we may boldly fay, every man is a fon of Ada as well as every mortal man: but it is not fo, when the addition is determinative, so we cannot fay, ever man shall be happy, though every pious man la be fo.

In a complex proposition the predicate or subject whose, to whom, &c. which make another proposition as every man who is pious, shall be faved: Julia in the light whose sirname was Cæsar, overcame Pompey: bods

the same manner: the English tongue in this refer trive. having fome advantage above the learned language which have no usual word to distinguished single for fample.

ord piousi

ned or deni ve addition ect without on of Adam ot fo, when ot fay, even

is man ha

or subject

n this respect tretive. d language

ed fingle from

fubject an which are transparent, have many pores. Here the irtue is the additional proposition is called the primary or chief, and man is in the additional proposition is called an incident proposition. But it is still to be esteemed in this case merely re made as part of the complex term; and the truth or falfproposition bood of the whole complex proposition is not to be tue is de judged by the truth or falshood of the incident proposifeetly into the predicate. For the incident proposition may be t of a con. He, and abfurd, or impossible, and yet the whole comay necessary per proposition may be true, as, a horse, which has

ay necessary per proposition may be true, as, a horse, which has ally explain mags, might fly over the Thames.

Beside this complection which belongs to the subject applex subject of predicate, logical writers use to say, there is a complex subject of the subje

SECT. VI.

Of compound Propositions.

who, which A Compound proposition is made up of two or proposition \(\begin{array}{ll} \) more subjects or predicates, or both; and it conved: July \(\text{ms} \) in it two or more propositions, which are either pey: both \(\text{anly} \) expressed, or concealed and implied.

The first fort of compound propositions are those

herein the composition is expressed and evident, and by are distinguished into these six kinds, (viz.) copuwe, disjunctive, conditional, causal, relative and dis-

I. Copulative propositions are those which have more fubjects or predicates connected by affirmative or nega. tive conjunctions; as, riches and honours are tempta. tions to pride; Cæfar conquered the Gauls and the Britons; neither gold nor jewels will purchase immor. These propositions are evidently compounded for each of them may be refolved into two propositions, (viz.) riches are temptations to pride, and honourisa temptation to pride; and fo the rest.

The truth of copulative propositions depends upon the truth of all the parts of them; for if Cæsar had conquered the Gauls, and not the Britons, or the Britons and not the Gauls, the second copulative proposition had ment.

not been true.

Here note, those propositions, which cannot be m. rejoined folved into two or more fimple propositions, are not that the properly copulative, though two or more ideas be con. because nected and coupled by fuch conjunctions, either in the The fubject or predicate; as, two and three make five with or majesty and meekness do not often meet: the sing the one moon, and stars are not all seen at once. Such propo may be fitions are to be esteemed merely complex, because the the cause predicate cannot be affirmed of each fingle subject, but some only of all of them together as a collective subject.

II. Disjunctive propositions are when the parts at disjoined or opposed to one another by disjunctive pr. V. R ticles; as, it is either day or night: the weathers ich par either shining or rainy: quantity is either length ting to breadth or depth.

The truth of disjunctives depends on the necessary disas and immediate opposition of the parts; therefore on the last of these examples is true; but the two sint at the not strictly true, because twilight is a medium between this, an of their day and night; and dry, cloudy weather is a median otheir

between thining and rainy.

III. Conditional or hypothetical propositions are the us and whose parts are united by the conditional particle if; a linety

dent, th The the trut

no fire,

Note

wherein

muth of may be there be

IV.

smuch

place, as e. bec

VI. I though, ave more e tempta. and the e immor. pounded. politions

ofition had ment.

onour is a

abject.

ne parts an

the fun be fixed, the earth must move: if there be or nega. no fire, there will be no finoke.

> Note, the first part of these propositions, or that wherein the condition is contained, is called the antecedent, the other is called the confequent,

The truth of these propositions depends not at all on the truth and falshood of their two parts, but on the nds upon muth of the connection of them; for each part of them r had con. may be false, and yet the whole proposition true; as, if e Britons there be no providence, there will be no future judg-

IV. Caufal propositions are where two propositions not be m. rejoined by causal particles; as, houses were not built ns, are not that they might be destroyed: Rehoboam was unhappy

as be con. because he followed evil counsel.

ther in the The truth of a causal proposition arises not from the nake five: muth of the parts, but from the causal influence that : the fun, the one part of it has upon the other; for both parts uch proposition false, if one part be not because the cause of the other.

Subject, but Some logicians refer reduplicative propositions to this place, as, men, confidered as men, are rational creatures ie because they are men.

inclive pr. V. Relative propositions have their parts joined by weather's hich particles, as express a relation or comparison of one ner length ting to another; as when you are filent I will speak; smuch as you are worth, so much you shall be esteem-

he necessary d; as is the father so is the son; where there is no erefore only the bearer, contention will cease.

These are very much a-kin to conditional propositions, and the truth of them depends upon the justiness a medium of their connection.

VI. Discretive propositions are such wherein varions are that wand feemingly opposite judgments are made, whose rticle if; a thiety or distinction is noted by the particles, but, tough, yet, &c. as, travellers may change their climate

N 2

but not their temper; Job was patient, though his girl

The truth and goodness of a discretive proposition depends on the truth of both parts, and their contradic tion to one another; for though both parts should be true, yet if there be no feeming opposition between them, it is an useless affertion, though we cannot call a false one; as Descartes was a philosopher, yet he was a Frenchman; the Romans were valiant, but the spoke Latin; both which propositions are ridiculous for want of a feeming opposition between the parts,

Since we have declared wherein the truth and fall. hood of these compound propositions consists, it is m. per also to give some intimations how any of these me it is only s positions when they are false may be opposed or con phy. tradicted.

All compound propositions, except copulatives and well defend discretives, are properly denied or contradicted when the workip no negation affects their conjunctive particles; as, if the 3. Com disjunctive proposition afferts, it is either day or night No Turk The opponent says, it is not either day or night, or is not necessary that it should be either day or night, here no the hypothetical proposition is denied by faying, it does mys implinot follow that the earth must move if the sun be find han a kn

A disjunctive proposition may be contradicted at that it by denying all the parts; as, it is neither day nor nit

And a causal proposition may be denied or opposed 4 Ince indirectly and improperly, when either part of the proposition is denied; and it must be false if either parts of yet false; but the design of the proposition being to the the causal connection of the two parts, each part is in the mation. posed to be true, and it is not properly contradicted is a causal proposition, unless one part of it be denied To the be the cause of the other.

As for copulatives and discretives, because their trub tons, viz. depends more on the truth of their parts, therefore these may be opposed or denied as many ways, as the precision of the precis parts of which they are composed may be denied; in thich ma this copulative proposition, riches and honour are tent tramples tations to pride, may be denied by faying, riches are ton, and not temptations though honour may be: or, honour

not a tem niches nor So this though his not patien

PART II.

patient, bu natient, no We pro proposition preised, bu will find to

I. Excl

thefe that

2. Exce

mains to

not a temptation, though riches may be; or, neither iches nor honour are temptations, &c.

So this difcretive proposition, Job was patient, bough his grief was great, is denied by faying, Job was not patient, though his grief was great: or, Job was atient, but his grief was not great: or, Job was not patient, nor was his grief great.

We proceed now to the fecond fort of compound propositions, viz. such whose composition is not exneiled, but latent or concealed, yet a small attention find two propositions included in them.

these that follow;

ARTI

his grid

position

intradic.

nould be

between

ot calli

t he was

out they

diculous

parts. and fall.

t is pro-

ght, orit

or night

adicted as

honour is

L. Exclusives; as, the pious man alone is happy. hese pro. it is only Sir Isaac Newton could find out true philosoor con. phy.

2. Exceptives; as, none of the antients but Plato ives and well defended the foul's immortality. The protestants

when the worship none but God.

as, if the 3. Comparatives; as, pain is the greatest affliction. or night. No Turk was fiercer than the Spaniard's at Mexico.

night, here note, that the comparative degree does not alg, it does mays imply the positive; as if I say, a sool is better be fixed han a knave, this does not affirm that folly is good, iched all but that it is a less evil than knavery.

r oppoid 4 Inceptives and defitives, which relate to the bethe proming or ending of any thing: as, the Latin tongue is er partit int yet forgotten. No man before Orpheus wrote g to the Greek verse. Peter Czar of Muscovy began to civilize art is fup his nation.

denied To these may be added continuatives; as, Rome remins to this day, which includes at least two proposi-

their truth tions, viz. Rome was, and Rome is.

therefore Here let other authors spend time and pains in giving ys, as the precise definitions of all these sorts of propositions, enied; is which may as well be understood by their names and are to tamples: here let them tell what their truth depends riches m mon, and how they are to be opposed or contradicted;

IN 3

but

but a moderate share of common sense, with a review bales or re of what is faid on the former compounds, will fuffer madife, an for all these purposes without the formality of rules. 100 propose

SECT. VII.

Of true and falle Propositions.

Rropositions are next to be considered according to the their sense or signification, and thus they are did not fall the tributed into true or false. A true proposition represents things as they are in themselves; but if things at the nothing represented otherwise than they are in themselves, the tripulation is false. proposition is false

Or we may describe them more particularly thus; takers: true proposition joins those ideas and terms together there are whose objects are joined and agree, or it disjoins that lawed that as, every bird has wings, a brute is not immortal.

A false proposition joins those ideas or terms what there is as, birds have no wings, brutes are immortal.

Note, It is impossible that the same proposition should be called be both true and salse at the same time, in the salse is sense and in the same respect; because a proposition timined could be the representation of the agreement or disagreement of things: now it is impossible that the same thing should be and not be, or that the same thing should be gree and not agree at the same time and in the same that the same that the same thing should be degree and not agree at the same time and in the same that the same that

another, though they may be both true, but in different fenies

s man bef lecame inc man is efferent re whis foul, defficulti Conture ar this man This inc that is the low shall v He? there bmany fal

nous in

men (as a

rules.

different fenies

a revier feles or respects or times: as, man was immortal in will full radife, and man was mortal in paradife. no propositions must be referred to different times; man before his fall was immortal, but at the fall he mame mortal. So we may fay now, man is mortal, man is immortal, if we take these propositions in ferent respects; as, man is an immortal creature as whis foul, but mortal as to his body. A great variety difficulties and feeming contradictions, both in holy inture and other writings, may folved and explained this manner.

This most important question on this subject is this, that is the criterion, or distinguishing mark of truth? how shall we know when a proposition is really true or there are fo many disguises of truth in the world, many false appearances of truth, that some sees have ording to leclared there is no possibility of distinguishing truth y ared from falshood; and therefore they have abandoned all on repr. intences to knowledge, and maintained strenuously

hings at the nothing is to be known.

Elves, the The first men of this humour made themselves fathus; where: they were also called Academics, borrowing togethe tername from academia, their school or place of study, ins this ley taught that all things are uncertain, though they lisjoined lowed that some are more probable than others. tal. There arose the sect of Pyrrhonics, named from ns which has their master, who would not allow one proposithat all things were equally uncertain. Now all these n (as an ingenious author expresses it) were rather on should be called a sect a liars than philosophers, and that the same value is just for two reasons. (1.) because they deontions the last state is just for two reasons. (1.) Because they deontions the last state in the state of water, though they professed ignorance and uncertaint II.

whether the one would burn or the other drown than reption of There have been some in all ages who have to will make much affected this humour, who dispute against even donfused thing, under pretence that truth has no certain math signee, we distinguish it. Let us therefore inquire, what is the more on general criterion of truth? and in order to this, it is in God proper to consider what is the reason why we also add by J. By to those propositions, which contain the most certain Poultry. and indubitable truths, fuch as thefe, the whole is greater than a part; two and three make five.

Note, William and the only reason why we believe these proposition dear and the only reason why we believe these propositions and the only reason why we find a characteristic way.

to be true, is because the ideas of the subject and pre-nich we sideates appear with so much clearness and strength positions evidence to agree to each other, that the mind cannot there, they help differning the agreement, and cannot doubt of the truth of them, but is constrained to judge them true So when we compare the ideas of a circle and a trial. gle, or the ideas of an oister and a butterfly, we le fuch an evident difagreement between them, that we are fure that the butterfly is not an oister; nor in There is nothing but the evidenced triangle a circle. the agreement or disagreement between two ideas, that makes us affirm or deny the one or the other.

Now it will follow from thence that a clear and di tinct perception or full eivdence of the agreement and fertain difagreement of our ideas to one another, or to thing is a certain criterion of truth: for fince our minds at of fuch a make, that where the evidence is exceeding NINCE plain and strong, we cannot with-hold our affent; to criter should then be necessarily exposed to believe falshood rectly to if complete evidence should be found in any proposed to the strong that are not true. But surely the God of perfect and degree wisdom, truth and goodness would never oblige he proposed creatures to be thus deceived; and therefore he would rectly increase a never have constituted us of such a frame as would rectly increased against error. render it naturally impossible to guard against error.

Another consequence is naturally derived from the former; and that is, that the only reason why we fill the into a mistake is because we are impatient to form the into a mistake is because we have a clear and evident proposed proposed in the proposed in

PARTI

Whole is

ubt of the hem true d a trian. y, we lee

ridence of deas, that

and dil

error. from the

ncertain, III. The Right Use of Reason.

153

The Right Use of

Note, What is here afferted concerning the necessity opolition edear and distinct ideas refer chiefly to propositions, t and problem we form ourselves by our own powers: as for trength oppositions which we derive from the testimony of and cannot there, they will be accounted for in chap. IV.

SECT. VIII.

ment and jurtain and dubious Propositions, of Knowledge and to things, Opinion. ninds are

exceeding CINCE we have found that evidence is the great fent; in and the fure mark of truth; this leads us fallhood eacily to confider propositions according to their evipropositions; and here we must take notice both of the different degrees of evidence, and the different kinds of it.

Propositions according to their different degrees of the would be according to their different degrees of the would be according to their different degrees of the would be according to their different degrees of the would be according to their different degrees of the would be according to their different degrees of the would be according to the certain and double as the confidence and double according to the certain and double as the certain as the certain as the certain as the certain and double as the certain as t ne would redence are distinguished into certain and doubious +.

Where

y we fill
format

It may be objected, that this certainty and undevident trainty being only in the mind, the division belongs propositions rather according to the degrees of our

reside or ent, and

Where the evidence of the agreement or diagrams, when ment of the ideas is so strong and plain, that we can as in thin forbid or delay our assent, the proposition is called a stain; as, every circle hath a centre; the world did a strong create itself. An assent to such propositions is honour abstrulness with the name of knowledge. men certair with the name of knowledge.

But when there is any obscurity upon the agreement of there is a or disagreement of the ideas, so that the mind dos not even in clearly perceive it, and is not compelled to affent tain in itse dissent, then the proposition, in a proper and philosophilibe ference cal sense, is called doubtful or uncertaint as the large uncertainty. cal fense, is called doubtful or uncertain; as, the plane are uncertain inhabited; the souls of brutes are mere matter; will be: tworld will not stand a thousand years longer; by God only built the city of Carthage, &c. Such uncertain propositions are called opinions. a diftinguil fitions are called opinions.

When we consider ourselves as philosophers of the serice of truth, it would be well if we always suspend a evidence a full judgment or determination about any thing a mion: who made further inquiries, where this plain and persection on the condence is wanting; but we are so prone of ourselvest the the arguing without sull evidence, and in some cases them the suggestion in the affairs of life, constrains us to judgment or easily and determine upon a tolerable degree of evident scall it a that we vulgarly call those propositions certain, who doubtful we have but very little room or reason to doubt the side, them, though the evidence be not complete or resident. And Certainty, according to the schools, is distinguish subtful, we into objective and subjective. Objective certainty tevidence

into objective and subjective. Objective certainty widence when the proposition is certainly true in itself; and which is do not supposed to the lettors, is distinguished with the proposition is certainly true in itself; and which is of do not suppose the lettors and the lettors and which is the lettors and which is the lettors and which is the lettors and the lettors are the lettors and the lettors and the lettors are the let

affent, than the degrees of evidence. But it may we had great he answered, that the evidence here intended is the or di which appears so to the mind and not the mere evidence here in the pature of this case. which appears so to the mind and not the mere evident are very in the nature of things; besides, (as we shall shew in the nature of things; besides, (as we shall shew in the to commediately, the degree of assent ought to be exactly no lines it commediately, the degree of evidence: and therefore the difference is not great, whether propositions to a wear called certain of uncertain, according to the measure and a strong evidence, or of assent. evidence, or of affent.

PARTE MT II.

Part of II. The Right Use of Reason.

The we can is in things, the other is in our minds.

We can but let it be observed here, that every proposition in called the let it be observed here, that every proposition in called the let it be observed here, that every proposition in called the let it be observed here, that every proposition in called the let it be observed here, that every proposition in called the let it be observed here, that every proposition in called the let it be observed here, that every proposition in called the let is certainly feems to be a medium beautifulness or uncertainty seems to be a medium beautifulness or uncertainty feems to be a medium beautifulness there is no such medium in things themselves, no, addition in future events: for now at this time it is a letter the letter, and in itself, that Midsummer-day seven years hence obtained the letter, or it is certain it will be cloudy, though the place are uncertain and utterly ignorant what fort of day natter, and libe: this certainty of distant futurities is known ger; but food only.

Incertain or dubious propositions, i. e. opinions, complete the evidence of any proposition is greater than the evidence of any proposition is greater than the evidence of the contrary, then it is a probable thing a mon: where the evidence and arguments are strongered the the arguments on either side seem to be equally set that may and the evidence for and against any proposition as to the the arguments on either side seem to be equally the transfer of seems to the mind, then in common language evidence that a doubtful matter. We also call it a dubious and the stream of seems and the evidence for and against any proposition or reside story, when there is no argument on the stream of seems and the evidence of the proposition. The proposition is a seem of seems and the evidence of the proposition of seems and the evidence of the proposition of seems and the evidence of the proposition of the seems and the evidence of the proposition of the seems and the serv unide or the other incline the balance of the judg-

may we had determine the probability or certainty to the may we had a great many propositions which we generally beeled is the or disbelieve in human affairs, or in the sciences, the or disbelieve in human affairs, or in the sciences, actly protected to complete certainty, either of truth or falshood.

Therefore the other incline the balance of the judgmay we had a great many propositions which we generally beeled is the or disbelieve in human affairs, or in the sciences,
which yet arise
to complete certainty, either of truth or falshood.
Therefore the complete certainty, either are such various and sold infinite degrees of probability and improbability.

The proposition of the prop

er, and the matter more probable. If we proports and as our affent in all things to the degrees of evidence, rariness, r do the utmost that human nature is capable of in an othe body tional way to fecure itself from error.

SECT. IX.

Of Sense, Consciousness, Intelligence, Reason, Faith, any soul ha Inspiration.

FTER we have confidered the evidence of pro positions in the various degrees of it, we come furvey the feveral kinds of evidence, or the different was that affects whereby truth is let into the mind, and which product what paccordingly feveral kinds of knowledge. We shall have tribute them into these for the feature of th tribute them into these fix, (viz. sense, consciound mess, ha intelligence, reason, faith, and inspiration, and the lighed to distinguish the propositions which are derived for them.

I. The evidence of fense is when we frame a propo tion according to the dictate of any of our lend fo we judge that grass is green; that a trumpet green pleafant found; the fire burns wood; water is foft, a iron is hard; for we have feen, heard or felt all the It is upon this evidence of fense that we know and lieve the daily occurrences in human life; and alm all the histories of mankind that are written by en ear writnesses are built upon this principle.

Under the evidence of fense we do not only inch that knowledge which is derived to us by our outwo fenses of hearing, seeing, feeling, tasting, and smelling that also which is derived from the inward fense own

deafant; r Propositi enamed

II. As V dence of van inwa internal the min nowledge bday; in mg medit Thus it nultitude (hole two

III. Int roposition ad admit is felf-ev mce. It oth whi ture an enfelves ey need mellence ser be pr eaufe th PART PART II. dence, mainess, rest, &c. and all those things which belong of in an other body; as, hunger is a painful appetite; light is hant; rest is sweet to the weary limbs.

Propositions which are built on this evidence, may bramed fensible propositions, or the dictates of fense.

II. As we learn what belongs to the body by the ewhence of fense, so we learn what belongs to the soul ran inward confciousness, which may be called a fort finternal feeling, or spiritual sensation of what passes the mind; as, I think before I speak; I defire large liowledge; I fuspest my own practice; I studied hard ody; my conscience bears witness of my fincerity; Faith, of foul hates vain thoughts; fear is an uneasy passion; mmeditation on one thing is tireforne.

nce of propositions, as well as of single ideas, by the two principles which Mr. Locke calls sensation idealection: one of them is a fort of consciousness of that affects the body, and the other is a consciousness what passes in the mind.

Propositions which are built on this internal consciunts and the infection of them.

III. Intelligence relates chiefly to those abstracted e a proper mositions which carry their own evidence with them, ca proposed admit no doubt about them. Our perception of our lenk is self-evidence in any proposition is called intellipet gives and the which are (as it were) wrought into the very the and alm and alm and alm the propositions. It is the prerogative and peculiar tellence of these propositions, that they can scarce the proved or deviced; they cannot easily be proved. only included to be proved or denied: they cannot easily be proved, the there is nothing supposed to be more clear or definition of the proved of sale there is nothing supposed to be more clear or denied, from which an argument may be drawn to ward sense them. They cannot well be denied, because the own evidence is so bright and convincing, that affoon

rel call a r

at to mo e word

affoon as the terms are understood the mind necessary is chief affents; such are these, whatsoever acteth hath a being funral phis nothing has no properties; a part is less than the whole in and rh nothing can be the cause of itself. dide both

These propositions are called axioms, or maxims, or dation, an first principles, these are the very foundations of all in. Observe proved knowledge and reasonings, and on this account in derive these have been thought to be innate propositions, que in is fir truths born with us.

Some suppose that a great part of the knowledge of angels and human souls in the separate state is obtained V. Who in this manner, (viz.) by fuch an immediate view of the things in their own nature, which is called intuition. Thith; a

IV. Reasoning is the next fort of evidence, and that con the as is when one truth is inferred or drawn from others by swritten natural and just methods of argument; as, if there is now then much light at midnight, I infer, it proceeds from the inh a man moon because the sun is under the earth*. If I see that m cottage in a forest, I conclude some man has been that god: we and built it. Or when I survey the heavens and earth, mans, we this gives evidence to my reason that there is a God memors, who made them.

The propositions which I believe upon this kind of According evidence, are called conclusions, or rational truths, and many of the knowledge that we gain this way is properly called allible, for

Yet let it be noted, that the word science is usually is, but in applied to a whole body of regular or methodical oblity is cal servations or propositions which learned men have faith is formed concerning any subject of speculation, deriving an, not one truth from another by a train of arguments. I med, but this knowledge chiefly directs our practice, it is usually knew the called an art. And this is the most remarkable of its gives tinction between an art and a science, (viz.) the one in only in the most remarkable of the content of of the ad the pro

^{*} Note, Since this book was written, we have he france, many appearances of the aurora borealis as reduces this and. The inference only to be much all the contract of the surface of the inference only to a probability.

necessary is chiefly to practice, the other to speculation.

a being, Naural philosophy, or physic, and ontology, are sciences; he whole is and rhetoric are called arts; but mathematics inaxims, a dation, and much of practice in them.

of all in. Observe here, that when the evidence of a proposi-

is account in derived from fense, consciousness, intelligence, or sitions, or alon is firm and indubitable, it produces such assent as

ral call a natural certainty.

wledge of obtained V. When we derive the evidence of any proposition e view of in the testimony of others, it is called the evidence uition faith; and this is a large part of our knowledge. and that on the authority or credit of those who have spoken others by rwritten of them. It is by this evidence that we fit there is such a country as China, and there was from the in a man as Cicero who dwelt in Rome. It is by

from the in a man as Cicero who dwelt in Rome. It is by If I feet is that most of the transactions in human life are material to the performance and laws of our present is a God memors, as well as things that are at a vast distance in us in foreign nations, or in ancient ages. It is kind to According as the persons that inform us of any thing muths, and amony or few, or more or less wise, and faithful, and early called adults, so our faith is more or less firm or wavering, at the proposition believed is either certain or doubt-is usually it; but in matters of faith, an exceeding great probability is called a moral certainty.

Then have faith is generally distinguished into divine and hugh derive and not with regard to the propositions that are beneats. It had, but with regard to the testimony upon which we is usually dive them. When God reveals any thing to us, kable of its gives us the evidence of divine faith; but what to moral certainty; but the other being founded on to moral certainty; but the other being founded on to moral certainty; but the other being founded on the word of God, arises to an absolute and infallible to have he word of God, arises to an absolute and infallible that the manner, so far as we understand the meaning of this duces the last. This is called supernatural certainty.

O 2

Pro-

ht God

preffion,

God r

ation at wiate ma

large, bu

even to b

hich is d

mreason

The var

se any pr

I. Rema

Int God

ous by re

I. Rem

and h them ble; th

with.

Propositions which we believe upon the evidence prophet human testimony, are called narratives, relations, reports, historical observations, &c. but such as are built on divine testimony, are termed, matters of revelation; and if they are of great importance in religion, they are Though

There are some propositions or parts of knowledge ton by so hich are said to be derived from observation and this div which are faid to be derived from observation and experience, that is, experience in ourselves, and the ob. ans, yet fervations we have made on other persons or things, and fervations we have made on other persons or the former springs, and or but these are made up of some of the former springs of the appe knowledge joined together, (viz.) sense, conscious the pro-reason, faith, &c. and therefore are not reckoned a difference are tinct kind of evidence.

VI. Inspiration is a fort of evidence distinct from a the former, and that is when fuch an overpowering in. pression of any propositions is made upon the mind by seen to to God himself, that gives a convincing and indubitable and indu evidence of the truth and divinity of it; fo were the prophets and the apostles inspired+.

Sometimes God may have been pleased to make us of the outward fenses, or the inward workings of the imagination, of dreams, apparitions, visions and voices or reasoning, or perhaps human narration, to conver divine truths to the mind of the prophet; but noned to by di these would be sufficient to deserve the name of infinite than ration, without a superior or divine light and powers. tending them.

This fort of evidence is also very distinct from what we usually call divine faith; for every common chil tian exercises divine faith when he believes any proposition which God has revealed in the bible upon the account, because God has said it, though it was by are g a train of reasonings that he was led to believe that the confo is the word of God: whereas in the case of inspiration

⁺ Note here, I speak chiefly of the highest kind trassura inspiration.

PART II. idence of idence of interpretations, relations, relations, relations, relations, relations, relations, relations, they are built we lations, they are built we lations, they are lations to the lations of the lations o

nowledge, this divine infpiration and evidence in their own the obr things, and to convince them of it, except by fome anforings of redent or consequent prophecies or miracles, or some

fprings of the appearances more than human.

ciousness. The propositions which are attained by this fort of med a difference are called inspired truths. This is divine redate manner, of which theological writers discourse large, but fince it belongs only to a few favourites of men to be inspired, and not the bulk of mankind, it mut necessary to speak more of it in a treatise of logic, were the measurement of human reason.

make use The various kinds of evidence, upon which we bengs of the stany proposition, affords us these three remarks.

nd voices, I Remark. The fame proposition may be known to convey it noned us by different kinds of evidence: that the whole is at noned to than a part is known by our fenses, and it is of infinite than a part is known by our fenses, and it is power than by the self-evidence of the thing to our mind.

In God created the heavens and the earth is known from what is by reason, and is known also by divine testimony non child with.

ny propoupon the Remark. Among those various kinds of evidence,
was by the are generally stronger than others in their own
the that the consciousness and intelligence, as well as divine
that and inspiration, usually carry much more force them than fense or human faith, which are often though there are inflances wherein human It kind chefine and reasoning lay a foundation also for com-

Reason

Reason in its own nature would always lead us into the truth in matters within its compass, if it were uld aright, or it would require us to suspend our judgment where there is want of evidence. But it is our floth precipitancy, fense, passion, and many other things that lead our reason astray in this degenerate and imperfect estate: hence it comes to pass that we are guilty of h many errors in reasoning, especially about divine thing because our reason either is busy to enquire, and resolve to determine about matters that are above our prefent reach; or because we mingle many prejudices and sent the SPRI influences of fense, fancy, passion, inclination, &c. with our exercises of reason, and judge and determine accord. ing to these irregular influences.

Divine faith would never admit of any controveries or doubtings, if we were but affured that God hat IN the e spoken, and that we rightly understood his meaning.

III. Remark. The greatest evidence and certainty of affent any proposition does not depend upon the variety of the rounds u ways or kinds of evidence, whereby it is known, but things. rather upon the strength and degree of evidence, and the clearness of that light in or by which it appears to the mind. For a proposition that is known only one way may be much more certain, and have force tent of evidence. way may be much more certain, and have stonger of loonsest that is supposed to be known many the follow ways. Therefore these propositions, nothing has not the follow ways. Therefore these propositions, nothing has no are some ind part of properties, nothing cannot make itself, which are known and part of only by intelligence, are much furer and truer than the proposition, the rainbow has real and inherent colour and in it, or than this, the sun rolls round the earth; though the feem to know both these last by our senses, and that the comment of the same was the senses of the same was the by the common testimony of our neighbours. So tallacion any proposition that is clearly evident to our conscious and stand stand of the neis of divine faith, is much more certain to us than thousand others that have only it is standard of the common that is clearly evident to our conscious standard others. thousand others that have only the evidence of seeds and obscure sensations, of more probable reasonings and doubtful arguments, or the witness of fallible men, a last justing of the control of the con even though all these should join together.

ed the mags of

all opin

PART II. PIRT II.

ad us into were used judgment our floth. nings that imperfeet uilty of fo ne things

d resolved ir present

&c. with

e accord.

troverses

CHAP. III.

and ferre THE SPRINGS OF FALSE JUDGMENT, OR THE DOC-TRINE OF PREJUDICES.

INTRODUCTION.

N the end of the foregoing chapter we have furveyaning. ed the feveral forts of evidence, on which we build rtainty of raffent to propositions. These are indeed the general

rtainty of ety of the eth only one eth eth of of feels propriety, treat of it here; and this will lay a furer and addition for all forts of ratiocination and argument. Rah judgments are called prejudices, and so are the men, or

ings of them. This word in common life fignifies opinion which we have conceived of some other CHAP, Fon, or some injury done to him. But when we use

the word in matters of science, it signifies a judgment where let that is formed concerning any person or thing before furticient examination; and generally we suppose it to go but u mean a false judgment or mistake: at least, it is an intill the opinion taken up without folid reason for it, or an assent we we are given to a proposition before we have just evidence of any doubt the truth of it, though the thing itself may happen to some a be true.

Sometimes these rash judgments are called preposed the thing fions, whereby is meant, that fome particular opinion has possessed the mind, and engaged the assent without . The

fufficient fearch or evidence of the truth of it.

There is a vast variety of these prejudices and prepositions which attend mankind in every age and condition of life; they lay the foundations of many an error, and many an unhappy practice, both in the affairs of religion, and in our civil concernments; as well as in matters of learning. It is necessary for a man who pursues truth to inquire into these springs of error, that as far as possible he may rid himself of old prejudices of intrices. as far as possible he may rid himself of old prejudices, ad intric and watch hourly against new ones.

and watch hourly against new ones.

The number of them is so great, and they are so interwoven with each other, as well as with the powers of human nature, that it is sometimes hard to distinguish them apart; yet, for method's sake, we shall reduce them to these sour general heads, (viz.) prejudices arising from things, or from words, from ourselves, or from other persons; and after the description of each prejudice, we shall propose one or more ways of curing it.

SECT. I.

Prejudices arising from Things.

THE first fort of prejudices are those which arise Now from the things themselves about which we judge.

bich diff thodies mons of ers of f love bo bod b

discove

mount.

ch arise

e judge.

But

judgment there let it be observed that there is nothing in the mg before the of things that will necessarily lead us into error, if pose it to to but use our reason aright, and with-hold our judgment to the our reason for special to the our page. an affent are we are so unhappily prone to take advantage of idence of any doubtful appearance and circumstance of things, happen to form a wrong judgment, and plunge ourselves into prepoffel, the things themselves that may occasion our errors.

without ! The obscurity of some truths, and the difficulty of

ching them out, is one occasion of rash and mistaken depreposition of the syment. Some truths are difficult, because they lie remote from an error, this principles of knowledge, and want a long chain affairs of largument to come at them: such are many of the well as in things of algebra and geometry, and some of the man who increms and problems of most parts of the mathematror, that is Many things also in natural philosophy are dark rejudices, of intricate upon this account, because we cannot

me at any certain knowledge of them without the me so inthe powers of many and difficult, as well as chargeable exminents.

There are other truths which have great darkness on them, because we have no proper means or rejudices of them, because we have no proper means or rejudices of each o discover the shape of those little particles of matter wh diffinguish the various sapours, odours, and colours foodies; nor to find what fort of atoms compose liids or folids, and distinguish wood, minerals, metals. flone, &cc. There is a darkness also lies upon the tions of the intellectual or angelical world; their manof fubfillance and agency, the power of spirits to we bodies, and the union of our fouls with this anibody of ours, are much unknown to us on this

Now in many of these cases, a great part of mankind int content to be entirely ignorant; but they rather chuse

chuse to form rash and hasty judgment, to gues at things without just evidence, to believe something concerning them before they can know them, and thereby they fall into error.

This fort of prejudice, as well as most others, is cured by patience and diligence in inquiry and reasoning, and a suspension of judgment, till we have attained some proper mediums of knowledge, and till we see sufficient

evidence of the truth.

II. The appearance of things in a difguife, is another spring of prejudice or rash judgment. The outside of things which first strikes us, is oftentimes different from their inward nature, and we are tempted to judge fud. milosopher denly according to outward appearances. If a pictures daubed with many bright and glaring colours, the rulgar eye admires it as an excellent piece; whereas the fame person judges very contemptuously of some atmirable defign sketched out only with a black pencilon a coarse paper, though by the hand of Raphael. & the scholar spies the name of a new book in public news-papers, he is charmed with the title, he purchase, he reads with huge expectations, and finds it all trah and impertinence: this is a prejudice derived from the appearance: we are too ready to judge that volume valuable which had so good a frontispiece. The large head of encomiums and swelling words of affurance that are bestowed on quack-medicines in public advertisments tempt many a reader to judge them infallible and to use the pills or the plaister with vast hope, and buments trequent disappointment.

We are attempted to form our judgment of persons as well as things by these outward appearances. Where there is wealth, equipage and splendor we are ready to the same and splendor we are splendor which are splendor we are splendor which are splendor wh call that man happy, but we fee not the vexing dif. Ruties, a quietudes of his foul: and when we fpy a perform this this the ragged garments, we form a despicable opinion of him thousand production of him the ragged garments. too fuddenly: we can hardly think him either happy or wife, our judgment is fo strangely biassed by outward and sensible things. It was through the power of this prejudice that the Jews wife? prejudice that the Jews rejected our blessed Saviour:

hey could r who appeare God. And mean prefer Corinthians mipired or 1 This pre the world, a

k. We c mrdness to fre we hav Melv. Re famond m

imes better

III. A 1 ting, is at mady to b he first or page of th ardels of the other k bad or When ' ntues, we his weakn them, and wok that thole boo When a ART IL PART II. guels at bey could not fuffer themselves to believe that the man ng con mappeared as the fon of a carpenter, was also the fon of God. And because St. Paul was of a little stature, a man presence, and his voice contemptible, some of the is cored Connthians were tempted to doubt whether he was ing, and impired or no.

thereby

ed fome

ufficient

the vul.

Saviour:

they

This prejudice is cured by a longer acquaintance with the world, and a just observation that things are someimes better and fometimes worse than they appear to We ought therefore to restrain our excessive foranother undness to form our opinion of persons or things beutided he we have opportunity to fearch into them more perent from My. Remember that a grey beard does not make a dge ful. phosopher; all is not gold that glifters; and a rough famond may be worth an immense sum. oictureis

creas the III. A mixture of different qualities in the fame ome adding is another temptation to judge amiss. We are pencil of many to be carried away by that quality which strikes ael. So think or the strongest impressions upon us, and we in public into the whole object according to that quality, reurchase, addess of all the rest: or sometimes we colour over all train the other qualities with that one tincture, whether it from the k bad or good.

volume When we have just reason to admire a man for his the large intues, we are sometimes inclined not only to neglect ance that is weaknesses, but even to put a good colour upon infallible to that has many excellent truths in it, and divine the tope, and to think them amiable. When we read a wok that has many excellent truths in it, and divine the tope, and to the writings of that author. When a poet, an orator, or a painter, has performed the amirably in feveral illustrious pieces, we formetimes a to admire his very errors, we mistake his blunders for the total the person in the total the total total total the total total the total fayings

fayings of almost all the ancient fathers of the church IV. Th

and admire them in their very reveries.

On the other hand, if an author has professed hereis the different cal sentiments in religion, we throw our scorn upon pady to exevery thing he writes, we despise even his critical or let an ere mathematical learning, and will hardly allow him compat distart mon sense. If a poem has some blemishes in it, there age; but is a set of false critics who decry it universally, and will but a state of allow no beauties there.

This fort of prejudice is relieved by learning to the troff, and guish things well, and not to judge in the troff, and tinguish things well, and not to judge in the lump my edge. There is scarce any thing in the world of nature or an in a strait in the world of morality or religion, that is perfectly schangeab There is scarce any thing in the world of nature or at in the world of morality or religion, that is perfect uniform. There is a mixture of wisdom and soll, vice and virtue, good and evil. both in men and thing world without can be an and little judgment; others are judicious, but not win. Some are good humoured without compliment; other have all the formalities of complaifance, but no good humour. We ought to know that one man may be the vicious and learned, while another has virtue without learning. That many a man thinks admirably not support the who has a poor utterance; while others have a charming manner of speech, but their thoughts are triling at information. Some are good neighbours, and course on the ous and charitable toward men, who have no piety to the ous and charitable toward men, who have no piety to the ward God; others are truly religious, but of mood it sterors natural tempers. Some excellent sayings are found to the very filly books, and some filly thoughts appear in both of value. We should neither praise nor dispraise to the triple of them apart: the accuracy of a good judgment consists much in making such distinctions.

Yet let it be noted too, that in common discours where are formed to the major part of their character. He is to be called the ward with the major part of their character. He is to be called the major part of their character. He is to be called the major part of their character. He is to be called the major part of their character, and for the major part of their character, and for the mood should be esteemed well written, which has mood should be esteemed well written, which has more of good sense in it than it has of impertment.

IV. Thought the triangle was a character in the more of good sense in it than it has of impertment.

ART I PART II. church IV. Though a thing be uniform in its own nature, the different lights in which in may be placed, and herei, be different views in which it appears to us, will be in upon pady to excite in us mistaken judgments concerning it. m compared distance from the eye, and it appears a plain triit, there agle; but we shall judge that very cone to be nothing
and we get a flat circle, if its base be obverted towards us. Set nommon round plate a little obliquely before our eyes ag to did stroff, and we shall think it an oval figure; but if the ne lump my edge of it be turned towards us, we shall take it re or at, ir a strait line. So when we view the several folds of

the lump ary edge of it be turned towards us, we shall take it the or at it a strait line. So when we view the several folds of perfectly changeable filk, we pronounce this part red, and that and folk, dow, because of its different position to the light, and think bough the silk laid smooth in one light appears all of great we are colour.

Not with, When we survey the miseries of mankind, and think it; other the forrows of millions, both on earth and in hell, in no good it divine government has a terrible aspect, and we may be tempted to think hardly even of God himself: a without will we view the profusion of his bounty and grace attacks we will all have so exalted an idea of his goodness in the promises of his gosple, and think him all priety of course on the promises of his gosple, and think him all priety of the strong and his threatnings, and are overwhelmed a south that the thought of his severity and vengeance, as it in both tags there were no mercy in him.

If the true method of delivering ourselves from this evil, an end of the sum of the sum

fame just and religious survey of the great and blessed God in all the discoveries of his vengeance and his mercy, we shall at last conclude him to be both just and good.

V. The causal affociation of many of our ideas becomes the spring of another prejudice or rash judgment, to which we are sometimes exposed. If in our younger years we have taken medicines that have been nauseous, when any medicine whatsoever is afterward proposed to us under sickness, we immediately judge it nauseous: our fancy has so closely joined these ideas together, that we know not how to separate them: then the stomach feels the disgust, and perhaps resules the only drug that can preserve life. So a child who has been let blood joins the ideas of pain and thesurgeon together, that he hates the sight of the surgeon, because he thinks of his pain: or if he has drunk a bitter potion, he conceives a bitter idea of the cup which held it, and will drink nothing out of that cup.

It is for the fame reason that the bulk of the common people are so superstitionally fond of the Psalms translated by Hopkins and Sternhold, and think them sacred and divine, because they have been now for more than an hundred years bound up in the same covers with our

bibles.

The best relief against this prejudice of association, is to consider, whether there be any natural and necessary connection between those ideas which sancy, custom, or chance hath thus joined together: and if nature he not joined them, let our judgment correct the folly of our imagination, and separate those ideas again.

Our where led in under two words or beech, ar

I. The if kind infignifica divines ta and paffiv Supenfior quivocal. bw, light erms in re fynon and new mean onl or as the two titles Thefe miltakes: for both and equiv

humours, nd weak driftians. But to

words or chap. 4.

SECT. II.

Prejudices arifing from Words.

Our ideas and words are so linked together, that while we judge of things according to words, we meled into several mistakes. These may be distributed moder two general heads, (viz.) such as arise from single modes or phrases, or such as arise from words joined in beech, and composing a discourse.

I. The most eminent and remarkable errors of the set kind are these three. (1.) When our words are inspission, and have no ideas; as when the mistical strines talk of the prayer of silence, the supernatural and passive night of the soul, the vacuity of powers, the supersion of all thoughts: or (2.) when our words are survocal, and signify two or more ideas, as the words law, light, sless, spirit, righteousness, and many other terms in scripture: or (3.) when two or three words are synonymous, and signify one idea, as regeneration and new creation in the new testament; both which mean only a change of the heart from sin to holiness; or as the elector of Cologn and bishop of Cologn are two titles of the same man.

These kinds of phrases are the occasions of various mitakes: but none so unhappy as those in theology: for both words without ideas, as well as synonymous and equivocal words have been used and abused by the humours, passions, interests, or by the real ignorance and weakness of men, to beget terrible contests among driftians.

But to relieve us under all those dangers, and to remove these sorts of prejudices which arise from single words or phrases, I must remit the reader to part I. thap. 4. where I have treated about words, and to P 2 those

t naule.
deas tom: then
fuses the
who has

d bleffed and his just and

deas be-

younger nauseous.

propoled

geon to-, because er potion, d it, and

common ranflated acred and than an with our

necessary
ustom, or
ature has
e folly of

SECT.

those directions which I have given concerning the de The be Enition of names, part I. chap. 6. fect. 3.

II. There is another fort of false judgments or mil the things takes which we are exposed to by words; and that i int relationship when they are joined in speech, and compose a discourt inguage,

and here we are in danger two ways.

The one is when a man writes good fense, or speak file or dr much to the purpose, but he has not a happy and or. Then a gaging manner of expression. Perhaps he uses come ments with and vulgar words, or old, obsolete, and unfashionals has but language, or terms and phrases that are foreign, lain manner of shelds in the same and hard to be a larger to the last the same and shelds in the same and hard to be a larger to the last the same and hard to be a larger to the last the same and hard to be a larger to the last the same and th flood: and this is still worse, if his sentences are log mater wo and intricate, or the sound of them harsh and grating n possession the ear. All these indeed are defects in stile, and led since equal to the control of them. fome nice and unthinking hearers or readers into and when it is opinion of all that such a person speaks or write the practic Many an excellent discourse of our forefathers has he his art h abundance of contempt cast upon it by our modern po tenders to fense, for want of their distinguishing between the language and the ideas.

On the other hand, when a man of eloquence spale or writes upon any subject, we are too ready to me into his fentiments, being fweetly and infenfibly draw by the smoothness of his harangue, and the pathets power of his language. Rhetoric will varnish en error fo that it shall appear in the dress of truth, a put fuch ornaments upon vice, as to make it look virtue: it is an art of wondrous and extensive influence it often conceals, obscures or overwhelms the true and places sometimes a gross falshood in a most aller TEit light. The decency of action, the music of the von the harmony of the periods, the beauty of the stile, a all the engaging airs of the speaker, have often channel the hearers into error, and perfuaded them to appropriate whatfoever is proposed in fo agreeable a manner. large affembly stands exposed at once to the power these prejudices, and imbibes them all. So Cicero Demosthenes made the Romans and the Athenians

lieve almost whatsoever they pleased.

PARTIL PART II.

larn the thoughts :

tion, to h

wch fprir

I. Ma rason, as fate. T mme ea

bround

PARTIL PART II.

dern pre g between

ace speaks y to ru oly drawn e pathete ish even

truth, an look lk in Auence the trut

ft allum the voice ftile, m

channe o approv nner.

power cicero and enians be

Th

g the the best defence against both these dangers, is to am the skill (as much as possible) of separating our houghts and ideas from words and phrases, to judge of s or mit the things in their own natures, and in their natural or d that i just relation to one another, abstracted from the use of discourt anguage, and to maintain a steady and obstinate resoluton, to hearken to nothing but truth, in whatfoever or speak file or dress it appears.

and a. Then we shall hear a fermon of pious and just fentifes continents with esteem and reverence, though the preacher which has but an unpolished stile, and many defects in the manner of his delivery. Then we shall neglect and be under the matter would make way for his own sentiments to take grating to possess of our souls, if he has not solid and instructive and equal to his language. Oratory is a happy talent, into an when it is rightly employed to excite the passions to or write the practice of virtue and piety; but to speak properly, is has he his art has nothing to do in the search after truth.

SECT. III.

Prejudices arising from ourselves.

TEither words nor things would fo often lead us N astray from truth, if we had not within ourselves ich springs of error as these that follow.

I Many errors are derived from our weakness of and incapacity to judge of things in our infant These are called the prejudices of infancy. ame early mistakes about the common objects which bround us, and the common affairs of life: we fancy

P 3

the

the nurse is our best friend, because children receive ion to m from their nurses their food and other conveniences of many oth life. We judge that books are very unpleafant things, the heave because perhaps we have been driven to them by the member t fcourge. We judge also that the sky touches the distant ous instance hills, because we cannot inform ourselves better in the representation childhood. We believe the stars are not risen till the fun is fet, because we never see them by day. But fome of these errors, may seem to be derived from the frustion o next fpring.

The way to cure the prejudices of infancy is to dif. bodies are tinguish, as far as we can, which are those opinions (4) We which we framed in perfect childhood, to remember inginal de that at that time our reason was incapable of forming a ms relation right judgment, and to bring these propositions again to lor own :

be examined at the bar of mature reason.

II. Our fenfes give us many a false information of into a philthings, and tempt us to judge amiss. This is called the of things. prejudice of fense, as when we suppose the sun and moon the eye, a to be flat bodies, and to be but a few inches broad, because they appear so to the eye. Sense inclines us to press heavy upon us; and we judge also by our sense that cold and heat, sweet and source, red and blue, in we we are such real properties in the objects themselves, and here it was to the exactly like those sensations which they excite in us.

Note, Those mistakes of this fort which all manking tales, for drop and lose in their advancing age, are called men in manking prejudices of infancy, but those which abide with the wright prejudices of the world, and generally with all men, adjusted the world in the learning and philosophy cure them, more properly littles of attain the name of prejudices of sense.

attain the name of prejudices of fense.

These prejudices are to be removed several ways. It, and li (1. By the affiftance of one sense we cure the mistake of another, as when a flick thrust into the water feem crooked, we are prevented from judging it to be really dements fo in itself, for when we take it out of the water, both wious a our fight and our feeling agree and determine it to be there the strait. (2.) The exercise of our reason, and an applicational subjective of the strait of the strain of t

often repr fem to b ance from deafant an us; but th nels of re

ager fize.

III. Im

receive nces of things, by the diffant tter in

RT II.

PART II.

till the . But om the

gain to

n us.

mistakes

tion

in to mathematical and philosophical studies, cures many other prejudices of fense, both with relation to the heavenly and earthly bodies. (3.) We should remember that our senses have often deceived us in various inflances, that they give but a confused and imperthe representation of things in many cases, that they often represent falfly those very objects to which they men to be fuited, fuch as the shape, motion, fize and function of gross bodies, if they are but placed at a dismeefrom us; and as for the minute particles of which todic lodies are composed, our senses cannot distinguish them. pinions (1) We should remember also, that one prime and nember aginal defign of our fenses, is to inform us what variming a mirelations the bodies that are round about us bear to own animal body, and to give us notice what is malant and useful, or what is painful and injurious to s; but they are not sufficient of themselves to lead us ation of into a philosophical acquaintance with the inward nature alled the fithings. It must be confessed it is by the assistance of d moon the eye, and the ear especially (which are called the oad, be false of discipline) that our minds are furnished with es us to arious parts of knowledge, by reading, hearing, and ot feelt therving things divine and human; yet reason ought or fenses aways to accompany the exercise of our senses when-lue, &c. for we would form a just judgment of things proposed ves, ar! bour enquiry.

Here it is proper to observe also, that as the weakks of reason in our infancy, and the dictates of our mankind fales, sometimes in advancing years, lead the wiser part ed mer a mankind astray from truth; so the meaner parts of with the our species, persons whose genius is very low, whose all men, indement is always weak, who are ever indulging the properly dates of sense and humour, are but children of a ger fize, they stand exposed to everlasting mistakes in

al ways. It and live and die in the midst of prejudices.

be really Myments. Our imagination is nothing else but the ter, both trious appearances of our fensible ideas in the brain, it to be there the foul frequently works in uniting, disjoining, applies whiplying, magnifying, diminishing and altering the feveral feveral shapes, colours, sounds, motions, words and things that have been communicated to us by the out that a blue ward organs of sense. It is no wonder therefore if san thour of cy leads us into many mistakes, for it is but sense a hour of the imagination, some persons believe to be true. Some the imagination, some persons believe to be true. Some the imagination, some persons believe to be true. Some the imagination, some persons believe to be true. Some the imagination, some persons believe to be true. Some the imagination, some persons believe to be true. Some the imagination, some persons believe to be true. Some the imagination, and assume their fancy seels so powerful an imperson the pression, and assume their fancy seels so powerful an imperson that the freeks of enthusiasm have been derived from this that a solution is and a settled irregularity of fancy is distraction and madness.

One way to gain a victory over this unruly faculty in they is to fet a watch upon it perpetually, and to bridle it a burning: all its extravagancies; never to believe any thing. all its extravagancies; never to believe any thing mere ly because fancy dictates it, any more than I would be retence for lieve a midnight-dream, nor to trust fancy any farther than it is attended with severe reason. It is a very use that it unless it be called in to explain or illustrate a difficult point by a fimilitude.

Another method of deliverance from these prejudice has a judg of fancy, is to compare the ideas that arise in our imginations with the real nature of things, as often as we have occasion to judge concerning them; and let can and fedate reason govern and determine our opinions, though fancy should shew never so great a reluctance filent, a Fancy is the inferior faculty, and it ought to obey.

IV. The various passions or affections of the mind in I are numerous and endless springs of prejudice. The disguise every object they converse with, and put that own colours upon it, and thus lead the judgment afray from truth. It is love that makes the mother think

warmly would be a algments menest ho me: and

aloufy of at they 1

ared up i ad directi he passion ords and it own child the fairest, and will fometimes persuade the out. That a blemish is a beauty. Hope and desire make about of delay seem as long as two or three hours; be inclines us to think there is nothing too difficult be attempted; despair tells us that a brave attempt incre rashries, and that every difficulty is unsurmoutable. Some seaded with the wind has some savage beast in it, and multiplies the beauty to the errors of an established religon: what always effect of fear when it keeps millions of souls always to the errors of an established religon: what and persuade the wise men and philosophers of a populous of the image of the inquisition? Sorrow and melancholy approach to think our circumstances much more dismall than they are, that we may have some excuse for mention of the entire than it is, that there might be some outly started and sould be the converted and sould be the converted and sould be the converted and sould see the converted see that the converted see the ART I HET II.

difficult alousy of ourselves, and watchfulness over our passions, they may never interpose when we are called to rejudies has judgment of any thing: and when our affections our imwarmly engaged, let us abstain from judging. It wild be also of great use to us to form our deliberate digments of persons and things in the calmest and pointing, and the mind enjoys its most persect compobey.

The wind the mind, that we might have recourse to the wind in hours of need. he mind in hours of need. See many more fentiments directions relating to this subject in my doctrine of

out the passions. 2d edition enlarged.

They

nt aftray er think V. The fondness we have for Self, and the relations which other persons and things have to ourselve furnish us with another long rank of prejudices. This indeed might be reduced to the passion of self-love; but it is so copious an head that I chose to name it as a distinct spring of salse judgments. We are generally ready to fancy every thing of our own has something peculiarly valuable in it, when indeed there is no other reason, but because it is our own. Were we bom a mong the gardens of Italy, the rocks of Switzerland our mong the gardens of Italy, the rocks of Switzerland our mong the gardens of Russia and Sweden, still we should imagine peculiar excellencies in our native land. We conceive a good idea of the town and village when the we first breathed, and think the better of a man for the persons of our own party, and easily believe evil as with ports of persons of a different self or faction. Our alperhaps own sex, our kindred, our houses, and our very name, seem to have something good and desirable in them. We oug We are ready to mingle all these with ourselves, and the we have a smaller to have others think meanly of them.

We are ready to mingle all these with ourselves, and metable to cannot bear to have others think meanly of them.

So good an opinion we have of our own sentiment and practices, that it is very difficult to believe what and practices, that it is very difficult to believe what at the prepared of the language of flattery. We set upon the own opinions in religion and philosophy as the tests of own opinions in religion and philosophy as the tests of orthodoxy and truth; and we are prone to judge every practice of other men either a duty or a crime, which much pretable of other men either a duty or a crime, which their circumstances are vastly different from our own. This humour prevails sometimes to such a degree, the two would make our own taste and inclinations the shappose standard by which to judge of every dish of meat that shappose standard by which to judge of every dish of meat that shappose standard by which to judge of every dish of meat that shappose standard by which to judge of every dish of meat that shappose standard by which to judge of every dish of meat that shappose standard by which to judge of every dish of meat that shappose standard by which to judge of every dish of meat that shappose standard by which to judge of every dish of meat that shappose standard by which to judge of every dish of meat that shappose standard by which to judge of every dish of meat that shappose standard by which to judge of every dish of meat that shappose standard by which to judge of every dish of meat that shappose standard by which to judge of every dish of meat that shappose standard by which to judge of every dish of meat that shappose standard by which to judge of every dish of meat that shappose standard by which to judge of every dish of meat that shappose standard by which to judge of every dish of meat that shappose standard by which to judge of every dish of the provide standard by the p

It is from this evil principle of fetting up felf for and int model what other men ought to be, that the antichrite well had tian spirit of imposition and persecution had its original though there is no more reason for it than there we talk not for the practice of that tyrant, who having a bed fit to Apocr

ART IL PAT II.

the relative of fize was reported to streach men of low stature on the rack, till they were drawn out to the length of the rack, till they were drawn out to the length of the sed; and some add also, that he cut off the legs, any whom he found too long for it.

It is also from a principle near a-kin to this that we need and strain the writings of any venerable authors, of the sed our own sense. Through the influence of speak our own sense. Through the influence of the set shows in those places of scripture where the holy sive land, we sometimes become so sharp-sighted as to find the strength of them, nor the holy spirit ingressive and them. At other times this prejudice brings ge where noted them. At other times this prejudice brings man for the dimness upon the fight that we cannot read any pinion of the that opposes our own scheme, though it be write evil re in as with fun-beams, and in the plainest language; n. Our dependance we are in danger in such a case of winking y names, sintle against the light.

In them, We ought to bring our minds free, unbiassed and alves, and adable to learn our religion from the word of God;

em. It we have generally formed all the lesser as well as ntiment agreater points of religion before-hand, and then we we what, at the prophets and apostles, only to pervert them to

ready to form our own opinions. Were it not for this inet up out take of felf, and a bigotry to our own tenets, we
the telfs of the hardly imagine that so many strange, absurd, indige every missing the missing that so many strange, absurd, indige every missing the missing that so many strange, absurd, indige every missing the missing that so many strange, absurd, indige every missing the missing that so many strange, absurd, indige every missing the missing that so many strange, absurd, indige every missing the missing that so missing the missing that the source of the solution strange is the solution strange in the solution strange in the solution strange is the solution strange in the solution strange in the solution strange is the solution strange in the solution strange in the solution strange is the solution strange in the solution strange in the solution strange is the solution strange in the solution strange in the solution strange is the solution strange in the solution strange in the solution strange in the solution strange is the solution strange in the solution strange in the solution strange is the solution strange in the solution strange in the solution strange is the solution strange in the solution strange in the solution strange is the solution strange in the solution strange in the solution strange is the solution strange in the solution strange in the solution strange is the solution strange in the solution strange in the solution strange is the solution strange in the solution strange in the solution strange is the solution strange in the solution strange in the solution strange is the solution strange in the solution strange in the solution strange is the solution strange in the solution strange in the solution strange is the solution strange in the solution strange in the solution strange is solution strange in the solution strange in the solution strange is solution strange in the solution strange in the solution strange is solution strange in the solution strange is solution

130

it; for they can fancy purgatory is there, and there and there are of my prayers for the dead. But they leave out the second commandment because it forbids the worship of images. Howe to Others suppose the Mosaic history of the creation and ries that a the fall of man to be oriental ornaments, or a mere almost have in the literal sense of those three above. legory, because the literal sense of those three chapters in the ju of Genesis do not agree with their theories. Even an mong, good honest plain-hearted and unlearned christian is ready to an has a find something in every chapter of the bible to countenance his own private sentiments; but he loves those any different things and the countenance his own private sentiments. chapters best which speak his own opinions plaines; my things this is a prejudice that sticks very close to our natures; ad contrar the scholar is infested with it daily, and the mechanics were be a not free.

Self has yet a farther and a pernicious influence upon our understandings, and is an unhappy guide in the VI. The fearch after truth. When our own inclination or our te mind, ease, our honour or our profit tempts us to the practice pat influe of any thing of suspected lawfulness, how do we strain master of our thoughts to find arguments for it, and persuade our tem. felves it is lawful? We colour over iniquity and finful compliance with the names of virtue and innocence, or (I.) So at least of constraint and necessity. All the different emper, who and opposite sentiments and practises of mankind are contradit too much influenced by this mean bribery, and give too The creci just occasion for satyrical writers to say that self-intend in truth, to governs all mankind.

When the judge had awarded the damages to a proton he fon into whose field a neighbour's oxen had broke, it is no the se reported that he reversed his own sentence, when he much conheard that the oxen which had done this mischief were as ready his own. Whether this be a history or a parable, it is thon whise still a just representation of the wretched influenced where the felf to corrupt the judgment. felf to corrupt the judgment.

One way to amend this prejudice is to thrust self to kindulge far out of the question, that it may have no manner of the chan influence when soever we are called to judge and confidence of the paked nature to the paked nature. der of the naked nature, truth and justice of things. In The manatters of equity between man and man, our saviour the stand has taught us an effectual means of guarding against as a slig this prejudice, and that is to put my neighbour in the a inward place

god and er

w book t mance.

ART II. MART II. feconial are of myfelf, and myfelf in the place of my neigh-feconial, rather than be bribed by this corrupt principle of images. Thence on and ries that golden rule of dealing with others as we nere all mild have others deal with us.

ready to man has a felf as well as we; and that the tastes, paso coun. ins, inclinations and interests of different men are es those ey different, and often contrary, and they dictate conplained; my things: unless therefore all manner of different natures; ad contrary propositions could be true at once, self can chanicis are be a just test or standard of truth and falshood,

god and evil.

ice upon

nd finful

place

in the VI. The tempers, humours, and peculiar terms of n or our is mind, whether they be natural or acquired, have a practice gat influence upon our judgment, and become the we strain masson of many mistakes. Let us survey a few of ade our tem.

cence, or (1.) Some persons are of an easy and credulous different imper, while others are perpetually discovering a spirit ikind are intradiction.

give to The credulous man is ready to receive every thing The credulous man is ready to receive every thing finitered a truth, that has but a shadow of evidence; every whook that he reads, and every ingenious man with to a person he converses, has power enough to draw him to the sentiments of the speaker or writer. He has much conplaisance in him, or weakness of soul, that his ready to resign his own opinion to the first obable, it is ready to resign his own opinion to the first obable, it is stand to receive any sentiments of the sunder a kind of necessity through the sindulgence of this credulous humour, either to be manner of the changing his opinions or to believe inconsistentials.

Ings. In The man of contradiction is of a contrary humour, the stands ready oppose every thing that is said: he gazainst has a slight attention to the reasons of other men, for ur in the tinward scornful presumption that they have no start the said.

strength in them. When he reads or hears a discourse different from his own sentiments, he does not give himself leave to consider whether that discourse may be true; but employs all his powers immediately to consule it. Your great disputers and your men of controversy are in continual danger of this sort of prejudice: they contend often for victory, and will maintain whatsover they have afferted, while truth is lost in the noise and tumult of reciprocal contradictions; and it frequently happens, that a debate about opinions is turned into a mutual reproach of persons.

The prejudice of credulity may in some measure be cured, by learning to set a high value on truth, and by taking more pains to attain it; remembering that truth oftentimes lies dark and deep, and requires us to dig for it as hid treasure; and that falshood often puts on a fair disguise, and therefore we should not yield up our judgment to every plausible appearance. It is no part of civility or good breeding to part with truth, but to

maintain it with decency and candour.

A spirit of contradiction is so pedantic and hateful, that a man should take much pains with himself to watch against every instance of it: He should leam to much good humour, at least, as never to oppose any thing without just and solid reason for it: He should bate some degrees of pride and moroseness, which are never-failing ingredients of this sort of temper, and should seek after so much honesty and conscience as never to contend for conquest or triumph; but to review his own reasons, and to read the arguments of his opponents (if possible) with an equal indifferency, and be glad to spy truth and to submit to it, though it appear on the opposite side.

(2.) There is another pair of prejudices derived from two tempers of mind, near a-kin to those I have just mentioned; and these are the dogmatical and the sceptical humours, i. e. always positive, or always doubt-

ing

By what means foever the dogmatist came by his opinions, whether by his senses, or by his fancy, his education, or his own reading, yet he believes them all

with the
with; he
whim; e
nery punch
he answers
tempt.
Persons

PART II.

my mista makes all tirely that ten as Wit difficult hould ma bicuous a both in le oblinacy. Sceptici fire of e Perhaps h of which days, and thing again omion, very do of every buth, and

Both to be opposed bring, and bligent a bif is in

certain.

emper, h

hilosoph

bumfelf I convincing bumfelf contains other, and bary.

u the b

RTIL

course

t give

nay be

confute

overfy

: they

atlover

ife and

quently

into a

fure be

and by

s to dig

ats on a

up our

no part

but to

hateful,

mfelf to

learn lo

pose any

hould a-

hich are

per, and

cience as

ut to re-

nts of his

ency, and

igh it ap-

s derived

fe I have

al and the

ys doubt-

with the same assurance that he does a mathematical with; he has scarce any mere probabilities that belong thim; every thing with him is certain and infallible; sery punctilio in religion is an article of his faith, and transvers all manner of objections by a sovereign contempt.

Persons of this temper are seldom to be convinced of my mistake: A full assurance of their own notions makes all the difficulties of their own side vanish so enterly that they think every point of their belief is written as with sun-beams, and wonder any one should find a difficulty in it. They are amazed that learned mentioned make a controversy of what is to them so perficuous and indubitable. The lowest rank of people, with in learned and in vulgar life, is very subject to this offinacy.

Scepticism is a contrary prejudice. The dogmatist is fer of every thing, and the sceptic believes nothing. Perhaps he has found himself often mistaken in matters of which he thought himself well assured in his younger tays, and therefore he is afraid to give assent to any thing again. He sees so much shew of reason for every pinion, and so many objections also arising against stery doctrine, that he is ready to throw off the belief of every thing: He renounces at once the pursuit of

muh, and contents himself to say, There is nothing main. It is well, if through the influence of such a imper, he does not cast away his religion as well as his milliosophy, and abandon himself to a prophane course

flife, regardless of hell and heaven.

Both these prejudices last mentioned, though they are hopposite to each other, yet they arise from the same bing, and that is, impatience of study, and want of ligent attention in the search of truth. The dogmatis in haste to believe something; he cannot keep limself long enough in suspence, till some bright and minimizing evidence appear on one side, but throws limself casually into the sentiments of one party or another, and then he will hear no argument to the contary. The sceptic will not take pain to search things the bottom, but when he sees difficulties on both

fancy, his

s them all with

Q 2

sides,

fides, resolves to believe neither of them. Humility of foul, patience in study, diligence in inquiry, with an honest zeal for truth, would go a great way towards the cure of both these follies.

(3.) Another fort of temper that is very injurious to a right judgment of things, is an inconstant fickle, changeable spirit, and a very uneven temper of mind, When fuch persons are in one humour, they pass a judgment of things agreeable to it; when their humour changes, they reverse their first judgment, and embrace a new opinion, They have no steadiness of foul; they want firmness of mind, sufficient to establish themselves in any truth, and are ready to change it for the next alluring falshood that is agreeable to their change of humour. This fickleness is sometimes so mingled with their very constitution by nature, or by distemper of body, that a cloudy day, and a lowring fky shall strong. ly incline them to form an opinion both of themselves, and of persons and things round about them, quite different from what they believe when the fun shines, and the heavens are ferene.

This fort of people ought to judge of things and perfons in their most sedate, peaceful, and composed hour of life, and referve these judgments for their conductat more unhappy feafons.

(4.) Some persons have a violent and turgid manner both of talking and thinking; whatfoever they judge of, it is always with a tincture of this vanity. are always in extremes, and pronounce concerning every thing in the superlative. If they think a man tob learned, he is the chief scholar of the age: If another has low parts, he is the greatest blockhead in nature: If they approve any book on divine subjects, it is the best book in the world next to the bible: If they speak of a storm of rain or hail, it is the most terrible storm that fell fince the creation: And a cold winter days the coldest thatever was known.

But the men of this swelling language ought to remember, that nature has ten thousand moderate things in it, and does not always deal in extremes as they do. (5.)1

PART IL PART II.

(5.) I es deriv doctrine r helieved; rails upo new noti eleem up herefore ever: Ot own nativ s much Some: propositio thing my though t re so fon that they Trinity,

> To cu que nor re certain Imigh excite in mh and or a spec

> > II. TI

foolish ra

POSSIBIL

humar indeed ar dgment some har al it a indered houghts doudy in Some ha apable o

rariety (

nconsiste

(5.) I think it may be called another fort of prejuding derived from humour, when some men believe a doftrine merely because it is ancient, and has been long believed; others so fond of novelty, that nothing premis upon their assent so much as new thoughts and new notions. Again, there are some who set a high them upon every thing that is foreign and far-fetched; therefore China pictures are admired, how aukward so mer: Others value things the more for being of our own native growth, invention or manufacture, and these smuch despise foreign things.

some men of letters and theology will not believe as popolition even concerning a fublime subject, till every ming mysterious, deep and difficult is cut off from it, mough the scripture afferts it ever so plainly; others are so fond of a mystery and things incomprehensible, and they would scarce believe the doctrine of the limity, if it could be explained; they incline to that solid rant of one of the ancients, CREDO QUIA IM—
TOSSIBILE EST; I believe it because it is impossible.

To cure these mistakes remember that neither antime nor novel, foreign nor native, misterious nor plain, meertain characters either of truth or falshood.

I might mention various other humours of men that wite in them various prejudices, and lead them into the and mistaken judgments; but these are sufficient to a specimen.

II. There are feveral other weaknesses which belong human nature, whereby we are led into mistakes, and indeed are rendered almost incapable of passing a solid indeed are rendered almost incapable of passing a solid indeed are rendered almost incapable of passing a solid indeed are rendered almost incapable of passing a solid indeed are rendered almost of great depth and difficulty. It is a want of natural sagacity?) whereby they are lindered from attaining clear and distinct ideas. Their thoughts always seem to have something confused and could in them, and therefore they judge in the dark. Some have a defect in memory, and then they are not apable of comparing their present ideas with a great linety of others, in orders to secure themselves from acconsistency in judgment. Others may have a memo-

Q 3

ry

nility of with an ards the

fickle, f mind.
y país a humour embrace al; they

next alange of led with mper of

emfelves

I ftrongemfelves, juite difnes, and

and pered hours nduct at

manner
ey judge
They
ing every
an to be

another nature: it is the ney speak

ole storm ter day is

ht to reite things they do. (5.) I

the causes

aft into

ningle wi

them be c

fauthorit

I. Tho

in the first

wars. H

by our

mkilful

trough t

lave a V

toght tha

w young

nofts app

inter tale

prophes

mens, an

mens an water water

> duence t We ch he religio macation.

uminals, metaphyfi arties.

toulands

is its inf me faith

ry large enough, yet they are subject to the same errors at to so from a narrowness of soul, and such a fixation and con. In since of finement of thought to a few objects, that they scarce it is fit we ever take a furvey of things wide enough to judge prother p wifely and well, and to fecure themselves from all in. confiftencies.

Though these are natural defects and weaknesses, yet they may in some measure be relieved by labour, disgence, and a due attention to proper rules.

But among all the causes of false judgment which are within ourselves, I ought by no means to leave out that universal and original spring of error, which we are informed of by the word of God, and that is, the fin and defection of our first parents, whereby all our best natu. ral powers both of mind and body are impaired, and rendered very much inferior to what they were in a state of innocence. Our understanding is darkened, our memory contracted, our corrupt humours and paffions are grown predominant, our reason enfeebled, and various diforders attend our constitution and animal natura whereby the mind is strangely imposed upon in its judgment of things. Nor is there any perfect relief to be expected on earth. There is no hope of ever recoverning from these maladies, but by a sincere return to God in the ways of his own appointment, whereby we shall be kept fafe from all dangerous and pernicious errors in matters of religion; and though imperfections in tweland mistakes will hang about us in this present life, as all be fai the effects of our original aportary from God, joint hope for a full deliverance from them when we arrive full our

SECT. IV.

Prejudices arifing from other persons.

TERE it not for the springs of prejudice that are lurking in ourfelves, we should not be subject

e errors into fo many mistakes from the influence of others: nd con. In fince our nature is fo susceptive of errors on all sides, y scarce is fit we should have hints and notice given us, how judge frother persons may have power over us, and become all in. the causes of our false judgments. This might all be at into one heap, for they are all near a-kin, and migle with each other; but for distinction sake let be called the prejudices of education, of custom, fauthority, and fuch as arise from the manner of pro-

I Those with whom our education, is entrusted may whe first foundation of many mistakes in our younger krs. How many fooleries and errors are instilled into by our nurses, our fellow-children, by servants, or thiful teachers, which are not only maintained ned, our trough the following part of our life, but fometimes passions are a very unhappy influence upon us! We are nd vari. wht that there are goblings and bugbears in the dark; nature, pryoung minds are crowded with the terrible ideas of n in its this appearing upon every occasion, or with the plea-relief to the tales of fairies dancing at midnight. We learn ever re- impophely betimes, foretel futurities to by good or evil whereby mens, and to presage approaching death in a family by mens and little worms, which we therefore call a minimum and little worms, and little reculous stories abide with us too long, and too far we chuse our particular sect and party in the civil,

religious and the learned life, by the influence of hation. In the colleges of learning, some are for the minals, and some for the realists, in the science of hyfics, because their tutors were devoted to these thes. The old philosophy and the new have gained wands of partizans the same way: And every religion sits infant votaries, who are born, live and die in the be fub at faith, without examination of any article. The

Turks

ce that ject

ART II. PART II.

ffes, yet

ur, dili-

hich are out that

are in-

fin and

ft natu-

red, and

ere in a

Turks are taught early to believe in Mahomet; the Jews in Moses; the Heathens worship a multitude Gods under the force of their education. And would be well if there were not millions of Christian who have little more to fay for their religion, than the they were born and bred up in it. The greatest pa of the Christian world can hardly give any reason wh they believe the bible to be the word of God, but h cause they have always believed it, and they were taught fo from their infancy. As Jews and Turks, and American Heathens believe the most monstrous and in credible stories, because they have been trained upa mongst them, as articles of faith; so the Papists believe their transubstantiation, and make no difficulty of allers ing to impossibilities, since it is the current doctrined their catechisms. By the same means the several better and parties in Christianity believe all the strained interpretations of scripture by which they have been taut to support their own tenets: They find nothing difference cult in all the abfurd gloffes and far-fetched fenfes that are fornetimes put upon the words of the facred writer because their ears have been always accustomed to the glosses; and therefore they set so smooth and easy upon their understandings, that they know not how to admi the most natural and easy interpretation in opposition to them.

In the fame manner we are nurfed up in many file and gross mistakes about domestic affairs, as well as in matters of political concernment. It is upon the lam ground that children are trained up to be Whigs a Tories betimes; and every one learns the diffinguille ing terms of his own party, as the papifts learn to by their prayers in Latin; without and any meaning, reason, or devotion.

This fort of prejudice must be cured by calling the principles of our young years to the bar of mature reason, that we may judge of the things of nature and political affairs by juster rules of philosophy and oblervation: And even the matters of religion must be inquired into by reason and conscience, and when the have led us to believe scripture to be the word of God

a that become micience mu

I. The ne hich arises f hom we live younger inger of hav influence Our opini ms of falu m, than by revails over evail over nders many nt in Brita China, an Hed them? ions polit rance and liter nation d that fand dom than nt garmen r ancestor their day at forms o egrees to t d monstro ments ar congruity, gaudery but little 1 of reason It is al my thing ca ere well if

bufiness.

The met

m. It is

blearn the

ART WAT II. et; the that becomes our fovereign guide, and reason and tude of

And in the next prejudice which I shall mention is, that instant arises from the custom or fashion of those amongst and the custom we live. Suppose we have freed ourselves from we live. Suppose we have freed ourselves from est pour wounger prejudices of our education, yet we are in which instruction our minds turned aside from truth by influence of a general custom.

Our opinion of meats and drinks, of garments and man of falutation are influenced much more by custom, than by the eye, the ear or the taste. Custom wails over sense itself, and therefore no wonder if it believe after many of the mixtures of food and sauces elements and resident with Britain, which would be aukward and nauseous rine of thin Britain, which would be aukward and naufeous al felt in China, and indeed were naufeous to us when we first china, and indeed were nauleous to us when we first that them? What but custom could make those salutions polite in Muscovy, which are ridiculous in mance and England? We call all ourselves indeed the matter nations, but it is we who judge this of ourselves; which are nations, but it is we who judge this of ourselves; which of the garments counted beautiful, and those sashions of admit a garments counted beautiful, and those sashions of a macestors the matter of scoff and contempt, which of their day were all decent and genteel? It is custom the forms our opinion of dress, and reconciles us by the forms our opinion of drefs, and reconciles us by the forms our opinion of drefs, and reconciles us by the forms to those habits which at first seemed very odd monstrous. It must be granted there are some gs and monitrous. It must be granted there are some ments and habits which have a natural congruity or congruity, modesty or immodesty, decency or indecengaudery or gravity; though for the most part there but little reason in these affairs: But what little there of reason or natural decency, custom triumphs over it It is almost impossible to persuade a gay lady that thing can be decent that is out of fashion: And it we well if fashion stretched its powers no further than re and The methods of our education are governed by cuf-

It is custom and not reason that sends every boy blam the Roman poets, and begin a little acquaint-

thee

God then

ance with Greek, before he is bound an appetice to to run foap-boiler or leather-feller. It is custom alone the us us that teaches us Latin by the rules of a Latin grammar; a This businestedious and absurd method! and what is it but culton that has, for past centuries, confined the brightest gening enginesus's even of the high rank in the femal world to the destanding the sand secluded them most an englanding that and secluded them most an englanding that the second secluded them most an englanding that the second secluded them most an englanding that the second seco only business of the needle, and secluded them most un believe or mercifully from the pleasures of knowledge, and the by, and a condition of the divine improvements of reason? But we begin to break thought conditions to divine the all these chains, and reason begins to dictate the education of youth. May the growing age be learned and ring to the wife!

It is by the prejudice arising our own customs, that we judge of all other civil and religious forms and practiculton The rites and cerremonies of war and peace in civil life, a other nations, the forms of weddings and funerals, the feveral ranks of magistracy, the trades and employment remonstrate of both sexes, the public and the domestic affairs of life and ought and almost every thing of foreign customs, is judge toms and irregular. It is all imagined to be unreasonable or un To delieve natural, by those who have no other rule to judged dlavery, v nature and reason, but the customs of their own country or the little town where they dwell. Custom is called 1. That the a fecond nature, but we often mistake it for nature it felf.

Besides all this, there is a fashion in opinions, the is a fashion in writing and printing, in style and language In our day it is the vogue of the nation, that parliament may fettle the fuccession of the crown, and that a peo ple can make a king; in the last age this was a dott a-kin to treason. Citations from the Latin poets we an embellishment of style in the last century, and who pages in that day were covered with them; it is no forbidden by custom, and exposed by the name of po dantry; whereas in truth both these are extreme Sometimes our printed books shall abound in capital on are of and sometimes reject them all. Now we deal much it change essays, and most unreasonably despise systematic learning the present the pres whereas our fathers had a just value for regularity and the preparent of t fizes, as volumes in octavo are now. We are every of pred by of pr read

h nor act I confess, th ould incline indifferent

ricular nat ion. Son Cometime great or have no 2 Confid different a ne age, an is in the f each oth timents a ent count

ountry

ament

to run into extremes, and yet custom still pertie that it is us that reason and nature are on our side.

This business of the fashions has a most powerful incustom and on our judgments; for it employs those two
and geniand engines of fear and shame to operate upon our
to the destandings with unhappy success. We are ashamed of unbelieve or profess an unfashionable opinion in philond the hy, and a cowardy soul dares not so much as indulge
break hought contrary to the established or fashionable
educate, nor act in opposition to custom, though it be aced and thing to the dictates of reason.

lonfels, there is a respect due to mankind, which as, that all incline even the wisest of men to follow the inment customs of their country in outward practices of eace in als, the indifferent affairs, where reason and scripture make remonstrances against it. But the judgments of the indifferent affairs and ought to be for ever free, and not biassed by the judger or understandings from this danger indiged dilavery, we should consider these three things:

I. That the greatest part of the civil customs of any ture it incular nation or age spring from humour rather than s, the formetimes the humour of the prince prevails, formetimes the humour of the people. It is either agrees agreat or the many who dictate the fashion, and apen a peo de have not always the hightest reason on their side. 2 Consider also, that the customs of the same nation different ages, the customs of different nations in the age, and the customs of different towns and vilsis in the same nation, are very various, and contrary each other. The fashionable learning, language, timents and rules of politeness differ greatly in different countries and ages of mankind; but truth and con are of a more uniform and steady nature, and do a tenange with the fashion. Upon this account, to a the prepossessions which arise from custom, it is of the customs of various and to read the travels of other men, and the large of past ages, that every thing may not seem strange strange

ftrange and uncouth, which is not practifed within the limits of our own parish, or in the narrow space of our own life-time.

3. Consider yet again, how often we ourselves have changed our own opinions concerning the decency, pro. priety, or congruity of several modes or practices in the world, especially if we have lived to the age of thirty or forty. Custom or fashion, even in all its changes, has been ready to have some degree of ascendency over our understandings, and what at one time seemed decent appears obsolete and disagreeable afterwards, when the fashion changes. Let us learn therefore to abstract as much as possible from custom and fashion, when we would pass a judgment concerning the real value and intrinfic nature of things.

III. The authority of men is the spring of another

rank of prejudices.

Among these the authority of our forefathers and ancient authors is most remarkable. We pay deference to the opinions of others, merely because they lived and that wi thousand years before us; and even the trifles and impertinencies that have a mark of antiquity upon them are reverenced for this reason, because they came from It is granted, that the ancients had many the ancients. wife and great men among them, and fome of their writings, which time hath delivered down to us, as traly valuable: but those writers lived rather in the infantstate of the world; and the philosophers, as well as the polite authors of our age, are properly the elders, who have feen the mistakes of the younger ages of mankind and corrected them by observation and experience.

Some borrow all their religion from the fathers of the Christian church, or from their synods or councils; but he, that will read Monsieur Daille on the use of the fathers, will find many reasons why they are by no means fit to dictate our faith, fince we have the golpel of Christ, and the writings of the apostles and prophets

in our own hands.

Some persons believe every thing that their kindreds, their parents, and their tutors believe. neration

ention an prs incline mce, witho in them tince, and c cause they a mrents are the fent nd happy nths of WI refons con Hves, they rents with deference t matters pe heir paren respect, nor te, till rea fter all, it herefore re d determin Sometim mme, drag wn mistak haracter. nd mainta unturies; lufficient artes had

ge, though

ich influe

and Luthe

rete learne

of the

much rever

me others

eives up

athority o

guides in r

PART II. gration and the love which they have for their ancefno incline them to fwallow down all their opinions at n the me, without examining what truth or falfhood there f our in them. Men take up their principles by inherihave three, and defend them as they would their estates, be-Pro- cule they are born heirs to them. I freely grant, that in the prents are appointed by God and Nature to teach us rty or the sentiments and practices of our younger years; s, has no happy are those whose parents lead them into the er our puls of wisdom and truth! I grant farther, that when decent prions come to years of discretion, and judge for themthe tres, they ought to examine the opinions of their paad as mis with the grearest modesty, and with an humble en we werence to their superior character; they ought, in me and matters perfectly dubious, to give the preference to beir parent's advice, and always to pay them the first met, nor ever depart from their opinions and pracnother to, till reason and conscience make it necessary. But nd and the all, it is possible that parents may be mistaken, and therefore reason and scripture ought to be our final rules ference of determination in matters that relate to this world, lived and that which is to come.

ond im- Sometimes a favourite author, or a writer of great them ame, drags a thousand followers after him into his e from m mistakes, merely by the authority of his name and many bracher. The fentiments of Aristotle were imbibed f ther ad maintained by all the tchools in Europe for several as trumuries; and a citation from his writings was thought infantas the
as the
tags had also too many implicit believers in the last
rs, who
te though he himself, in his philosophy, ditclaims all ankind, the thinfelf, in his philosophy, disclaims all the influence over the minds of his readers. Calvin the Luther, in the days of reformation from popery, thers of the learned and pious men, and there have been a fuc-uncils; the learned and pious men, and there have been a fuc-uncils; the learned and pious men, and there have been a fuc-uncils; the learned and pious men, and there have been a fuc-uncils; the learned and pious men, and there have been a fuc-uncils; the learned and pious men, and there have been a fuc-uncils; the learned and pious men, and there have been a fuc-ture reverence to the words of their matters. There ach reverence to the words of their masters. There by no reothers who renounce their authority, but give theme golde thes up in too servile a manner to the opinion and rophets whority of other masters, and follow as bad or worse gudes in religion.

R

The veperation

If only learned, and wife, and good men had in. fluence on the sentiments of others, it would be at least a more excusable fort of prejudice, and there would be fome colour and shadow of reason for it: but that riches, honours, and outward splendor should set up persons for dictators to all the rest of mankind; this is a most shameful invasion of the right of our understand. ings on the one hand, and as shameful a slavery of the the judgine foul on the other. The poor man, or the labourer, too thre have often believes such a principle in politics, or in morality, and judges concerning the rights of the king and the lat all the people, just as his wealthy neighbours do. Half the ame or re parith follows the opinion of the efquire, and the tenants Ifficient ev of a manor fall into the fentiments of their lord, especially if he live amongst them. How unreasonable and some of the yet how common is this!

As for principles of religion, we frequently find how the ception they are taken up and forfaken, changed and refumed in faith b by the influence of princes. In all nations the priests a four hu have much power also in dictating the religion of the muradicted people, but the princes dictate to them:, And where that is wor there is a great pomp and grandeur attending the prief. Nov hood in any religion whatfoever, with fo much the ments in more reverence and stronger faith do the people believe whatever they teach them: yet it is too often evident Again; to that riches, and dominions, and high titles in church or the ready state, have no manner of pretence to truth and certainty, wisdom and goodness, above the rest of mortals, because these superiorites in this world are not always when age of the first of the state of the stat more reverence and stronger faith do the people believe ints must

I confess, where a man of wisdom and years, of observation and experience, gives us his opinion and advice in matters of the civil or the moral life, reason tells we should pay a great attention to him, it is probable to leave to be paid to his sentiments: and the same we may so concerning an ingenious man long versed in any art or spiness of ficience, he may justly expect due regard, when he speaks of his own affairs and proper business. But in other things each of these may be ignorant enough, notwithings each of these may be ignorant enough, notwithings each of these may be ignorant enough, notwithings each of these may be ignorant enough, notwithing spiness of standing the standing that the and the same with the angular spiness of the second that the angular spiness of the second tha ftanding.

andnig al or even i believed in caminatio To free o remem! song man tho have i ime plator t least

anding

d in Jadnig all their piety and years, and particular skill: of even in their own proper province are they to be weved in every thing without referve, and without ald be

that camination. To free ourselves from these prejudices, it is sufficient et up memember, that there is no rank nor character athis is fland. rong mankind, which has any just pretence to sway of the pudgments of other men by their authority: for r, too tre have been persons of the same rank and character rality, the have maintained different and contrary fentiments: d the lat all these can never be true, and therefore the mere If the one or reputation that any of them possesses is not a

enants Micient evidence for truth.

e and one of the ancients were stoics, some peripatetics, ine platonics, and fome epicureans, fome cynics, and d how time sceptics. Shall we judge of matters of the Chrisfumed in faith by the fathers or primitive writers for three priests rour hundred years after Christ? But they often of the miradicted one another, and themselves too; and; where that is worse, they sometimes contradicted the scripture prieft. Mr. Now among all these different and contrary senthe ments in philosophy and religion, which of the an-believe mits must we believe, for we cannot believe them all? wident Again; to believe all things as our predecessors did, rch or the ready way to keep mankind in an everlasting state tainty, tinfancy, and to lay an eternal bar against all the imls, be wements of our reason and our happiness. Had the always ment age of philosophers satisfied themselves with the Mantial forms and occult qualities of Aristotle, with of ob befolid fipheres, eccentrics, and epicycles of Ptolomy, and at the ancients astronomers; then the great lord on tells soon, Copernicus, and Discartes, with the greater ablehe Islaac Newton, Mr. Locke, and Mr. Boyle, had reife in in our world in vain. We must have blundered ference afill in fucceffive generations amongst absurdities and any say ack darkness, and a hundred useful inventions for the art or upiness of human life had never been known.

Speaks Thus it is in matters of philosophy and science.

other out, you will fay, shall not our own ancestors determine twith wjudgments in matters of civil or religious concern-

R 2

ment? If they must, then the child of a Heathen must believe that heathenisin is true; the son of a Papist must believe all the abfurdities of popery; the posterity of the Jews and Socinians must for ever be Socinians and Jews; and a man whose father was of republican principles must make a succession of republicans in his family to the end of the world. If we ought always to believe whatfover our parents, or our priests, or our princes believe, the inhabitants of China ought to worship their own idols, and the favages of Africa ought to believe all the nonfense, and practise the idolatry of their Negro fathers and kings. The British nation, when it was heathen, could never have become Christian; and when it was a flave to Rome, it could never have been

Besides, let us consider that the great God, our common maker, has never given one man's understanding a legal and rightful fovereignty to determine truths for others, at least after they are past the state of childhood or minority. No fingle person, how learned and wile, and great foever, or whatfoever natural, or civil, or eclefiaftical relation he man have to us, can claim this dominion over our faith. St. Paul the apostle, in his private capacity, would not do it; nor hath an inspired man any fuch authority, until he makes his divine commission appear. Our Saviour himself tells the Jews, that if he had not done such wondrous works among them, they had not finned in disbelieving his binsinuat doctrines, and refusing him for the Messiah. No bishop or presbyter, no synod or council, no church or assembly want ly of men, (since the days of inspiration) hath power weral other derived to them from God to make creeds or articles of instinents faith for us, and impose them upon our understandings. Some p
We must all act according to the best of our own light, and the judgment of our own consciences, using the best advantages which providence hath given us, with an honest and impartial diligence to inquire and search of himself to God. To believe as the church, or the court believes, is but a forry and a dangerous saith sety, and this principle would make more. Heathers than Christian impartial court believes to the church of the sety, and the principle would make more. this principle would make more Heathens than Chris tians

PART II. ians, and lad more our himfel led by the Though fom the dimis th reducatio of truth, fo for reason things wh friends, an appears fo routh, zea and teache of the pro them. nd practi lberty. I br anothe: that they

IV. Th near a-kin receive a d proposed t the powerf filed, and

the vile pro

TII.

must

must

of the

and

prin-

amily

elieve

es be-

their

elieve

their

hen it

; and

e been

com-

ending

ths for

dhood

d wife,

or ecn this

in his

nspired

divine

lls the

orks a-

flemb-

ins, and more Papists than Protestants; and perhaps ad more fouls to Hell than to Heaven; for our Savior himself has plainly told us, that if the blind will be by the blind, they must both fall into the ditch.

Though there be fo much danger of error arifing fom the three prejudices last mentioned, yet before I limis this head, I think it proper to take notice, that reducation, custom and authority, are no fure evidences fruth, so neither are they certain marks of falshood; by reason and scripture may join to dictate the same tings which our parents, our nurses, our tutors, our tiends, and our country believe and profess. Yet there mears fometimes in our age a pride and petulancy in buth, zealous to cast off the sentiments of their fathers. md teachers, on purpose to shew that they carry none of the prejudices of education and authority about them. They indulge all manner of licentious opinions: and practices, from a vain pretence of afferting their herty. But alas! this is but changing one prejudice branother; and fometimes it happens by this means, hat they make a facrifice both of truth and virtue to the vile prejudices of their pride and fenfuality.

IV. There is another tribe of prejudices which are har a-kin to those of authority, and that is, when we neive a doctrine because of the manner in which it is roposed to us by others. I have already mentioned the powerful influence that oratory and fine words have binsinuate a false opinion, and sometimes truth is reng his med, and fuffers contempt in the lips of a wife man, bishop want of the charms of language: But there are power reral other manners of proposals whereby mistaken icles a miments are powerfully conveyed into the mind.

ndings. Some persons are easily persuaded to believe what a-n light other dictates with a positive air and a great degree of ing the furance: they feel the overbearing force of a confident is, with thator, especially if he be of a superior rank or charac-

l fearch to themselves.

some are quickly convinced of the truth of any doc-, or the time, when he that proposes it puts on all the airs of stath lety, and makes solemn appeals to heaven, and pro-

Chris tians

testations of the truth of it: the pious mind of a weaker there is christian is ready to receive any thing that is pronounced with such an awful solemnity.

It is a prejudice near a-kin to this, when a humble time of the such as the s

foul is frighted into any particular fentiments of religion, lending it because a man of great name or character pronounces and of reacherefy upon the contrary sentiments, casts the different liever out of the church, and forbids him the gates of myincing because heaven.

Others are allured into particular opinions by gentler practices on the understanding: Not only the soft tem. Thus we pers of mankind, but even hardy and rugged souls are time variet sometimes led away captives to error by the soft airs of any side in address, and the sweet and engaging methods of person further than the same and the sweet and engaging methods of person further than the same allured into particular opinions by gentler that of recommendations are supported to the same and the same allured into particular opinions by gentler that of recommendations are supported to the same allured into particular opinions by gentler that of recommendations are supported to the same allured into particular opinions by gentler that of recommendations are supported to the same allured into particular opinions by gentler that of recommendations are supported to the same allured into particular opinions by gentler that of recommendations are supported to the same allured into particular opinions by gentler that of recommendations are supported to the same allured into particular opinions by gentler that opinions by gentler that opinions is supported to the same allured into particular opinions by gentler that opinions is supported to the same allured into particular opinions by gentler that opinions is supported to the same allured into particular opinions by gentler that opinions is supported to the same allured into particular opinions by gentler that opinions is supported to the same allured into particular opinions by gentler that opinions is supported to the same allured into particular opinions by gentler that opinions is supported to the same allured to the

I grant, where natural and revealed religion plainly alone piece dictate to us the infinite and everlasting importance of the remany facred doctrine, it cannot be improper to use any of the or true, these methods, to persuade men to receive and obey the me time; truth, after we have given sufficient reason and argument to convince their understandings. Yet all these time two conventions, considered in themselves, have been often used the happen to convey falshood into the soul, as well as truth; and let how so if we build our faith merely upon these soundstants.

to convey falshood into the soul, as well as truth; and let how so if we build our faith merely upon these soundations, were to go without regard to the evidence of truth and the strength of argument, our belief is but the effect of prejudice:

The adv For neither the positive, the awful or solemn, the tember of address carry any certain the positive, the awful or solemn, the tember of proposing our own or the gentle methods of address carry any certain the cevidence with them that truth lies on that side.

There is another manner of proposing our own or the self the principles of the same and the self the s banter, and fold their faith and religion for a jest

th of real

Weaker There is no way to cure these evils in such a degeneunced at world as we live in, but by learning to distinguish all between the substance of any doctrine, and the numble number of address either in proposing, attacking, or ligion, finding it; and then by fetting a just and severe punces and of reason and conscience over all the exercises of distant propositions and the proposition of t entler in of revelation in things that relate to our faith.

Thus we have taken a brief survey of some of the in-uls are time varieties of prejudices that attend mankind on airs of vary side in the present state, and the dangers of error

f per rof rash judgment we are perpetually exposed to in slife: This chapter shall conclude with one remark, plainly done piece of advice.

The remark is this. The same opinion, whether any of feor true. may be dictated by many prejudices at the vey the me time; for as I hinted before, prejudice may hapargu. In to dictate truth sometimes as well as error. But I these there two or more prejudices oppose one another, as it in used to happens, the stronger prevails and gains the affent: ; and let how seldom does reason interpose with sufficient ations, ower to get the ascendant of them all, as it ought to rength

The advice follows, (viz.) Since we find fuch a craim of prejudices attending us both within and withcertain it; fince we feel the weakness of our reason, the alty of our natures, and our insufficiency to guard of other forms of the character of a logician or a philosopher of the with the advice already given) to direct every refuse from in search after truth to make his daily addresses answer theaven, and implore the God of truth to lead him casting to all truth, and to ask wisdom of him who giveth is have to wor follies.

Such a devout practice will be an excellent preparamission the best improvement of all the directions and a with the proposed in the two following chapters.

a with this proposed in the two following chapters.

There

CHAP. IV.

GENERAL DIRECTIONS TO ASSIST US IN JUDGING ARIGHT.

HE chief defign of the art of logic is to affift and a multiple in forming a true judgment of things; a few my times a proper observations for this end have been dropt occasionally in some of the foregoings chapters: Yet it is frient to necessary to mention them again in this place, that we all up ever may have a more complete and simultaneous view of spissest great the general directions, which are necessary in order to Yet let it judge aright. A multitude of advices may be frame sapable of for this purpose; the chief of them may, for order sake as of continuous reduced to the following heads. be reduced to the following heads.

I. Direct. When we consider ourselves as philoso those when phers, or searchers after truth, we should examine all thing wish our opinions as afresh, and inquire what was the ground much the of them, and whether our affent was built on just evide at affish dence; and then we should cast off all those judgment ough this which were formed heretofore without due examina me by the tion. A man in pursuit of knowledge should throw of to opinicall these prejudices which he had imbided in times with remed as all these prejudices which he had imbided in times pat tormed, o and guard against all the springs of error mentioned the preceeding chapter, with the utmost watchfulned II. Direct

for time to come.

Observe here, that this rule of casting away all out ar and of former prejudicate opinions and sentiments, is not producering posed to any of us to be practised at once, considered ancerning men of business, or religion, as friends or neighbours apter of as fathers or sons, as magistrates, subjects or Christians and our but merely as philosophers and searchers after truth am our just And though it may be well presumed that many of our judge at judgments, both true and false, together with the practices built thereon in the natural, the civil and the remaining in ligion would present the practices of the pract

IT II.

an unive e our prefe God, ourf mild be her dindifferer rered any

ous life, v

Joning. Belides, th

the strict nd capacity

life, were formed without fufficient evidence; an universal rejection of all these might destroy at gour present sense and practice of duty with regard God, ourselves, and our fellow-creatures. Mankind be hereby thrown into fuch a ftate of doubting indifference, that it would be too long ere they re-JDGING reed any principles of virtue of religion by a train of

oning.

oned in

MET II.

ART II

Refides, the common affairs of human life often deaffift and a much speedier determination, and we must a fee say times act upon present probabilities: The bulk pt occasimandind have not time and leifure, and advantages let it is frient to begin all their knowledge anew, and to that we all up every fingle opinion and practice afresh upon view of sjustest grounds of evidence.

order to Yet let it be observed also, that so far as any person framed appable of forming and correcting his notions and ler sake is of conduct in the natural, civil and religious life, the strict rules of logic; and so far as he hath time a capacity to review his old opinions, to re-examine philoso those which are any way doubtful, and to determine ground much the wifer, and the happier man, and (if divine uff evidence affift him) fo much the better Christian. And gment ough this cannot be done all at once, yet if may be camina me by the prudent steps and degrees, till our whole row of tof opinions and principles be in time corrected and es pal commed, or at least established upon juster foundations.

Infulned II. Direct. Endeavour that all your ideas of those beets, concerning which you pass any judgment, be all out ar and distinct, complete, comprehensive, extensive of property, as far as you have any occasion to judge level a meerning them. This is the substance of the last about apter of the first part of logic. The rules which instant our conceptions must be reviewed, if we would am our judgments aright. But if we will make haste of our judge at all adventures, while our ideas are dark and answered and articled, and very impersect, we shall be in danger of the religion into many mistakes. This is like a person who ligion ould pretend to give the sum total of a large account in

in arithmetic, without furveying all the particulars; or a diffingular as a painter, who professes to draw a fair and diffine sof fire an landskip in the twilight, when he can hardly distinguish a house form a tree.

Observe here, that this direction does not require us of their p to gain clear, distinct, complete ideas of things in all a their fact their parts, powers, and qualities in an absolute sense well to us for this belongs to God alone, and is impossible for us to attain: But it is expressed in a relative or limited as than it sense; that is, our ideas should be clear, distinct, and ich may be comprehensive, &c. at least so far as we have occasion thance, im at that time to judge concerning them. We may be there at that time to judge concerning them. We may form This ther many true and certain judgments concerning God, de our judgments angles, animals, men, heaven, hell, &c. by those partial form a ju and very imperfect conceptions of them to which we are and did have attained, if we judge no farther concerning them over of er than our conceptions reach.

We may have a clear and distinct idea of the exist which tence of many things in nature, and affirm that they do at just and exist, though our ideas of their intimate effences and causes, he mention their relations and manners of actions are very confused. The object and obscure. We may judge well concerning several merning properties of any being, though other properties are un- dar perce known, for perhaps we know not all the properties of m? Ma

ny being whatfoever.

Sometimes we have clear ideas of the absolute prompt we perties of an object; and we may judge of them with dinfinity certainty, while the relative properties are very obscure and unknown to us. So we may have a clear and just a real? Midea of the area of a parallelogram, without knowing animals it what relation it bears to the area of a triangle or a potential dealigion. I may know the length of the diameter of a kin general circle, without knowing what proportion it has to the circumference. circumference.

There are other things, whose external relative properties with respect to each other, or whose relation to unecled us we know better than their own inward and absolute thes, or properties, or their essential distinguishing attributes additing. We perceive clearly, that fire will warm or burn us, the no parand will evaporate water; and that water will allay our ties, or unthirst, or quench the fire though we know not the inthirst, or quench the fire, though we know not the in- sparticular

d characte But there

Answer.

emal, or t

diffinguishing particles or prime effential proper-diffind sof fire and water. We may know the king, and inguish achancellor, and affirm many things of them in their characters, though we can have but a confused uire us for their persons or natural seatures, if we have never in all their faces. So the scripture has revealed God selfense well to us, as our creator, preserver, redeemer and forus differ, and as the object of our worship, in clearer limited as than it has revealed many other abstruse questions et, and sich may be raised about his own divine essence or ccasion thance, immensity or omnipresence.

y form This therefore is the general observation in order to God de our judgments, that we should not allow ourselves partia som a judgment concerning things farther than our sich we at and distinct ideas reach, and then we are not in

g them nger of error.

to the

ward

RT II IT II.

But there is one confiderable objection against this needs; which is necessary to be answered; and there is they do at just and reasonable exception, which is as needful

causes, be mentioned. onfused The objection is this: May we not judge falfely feveral merning some total or complete ideas, when we have are un- dar perception only of some parts or properties of ties of m? May we not affirm, that all that is in God is mal, or that all his unknown arributes are infinite, te promuch we have so imperfect an idea of God, eternity m with dinfinity? Again, May we not safely judge of participle of the discourse of the discourse of the safely and the safely safe and jult areal? May I not affirm, that every unknown species nowing mimals has inward springs of motion, because I have dar idea that these inward springs belong to an anim of a lin general?

Answer. All those supposed unknown parts, properre pronor species, are clearly and distinctly perceived to be tion to meded with, or contained in the known parts, probsolute ties, or general ideas, which we suppose to be clear ibutes. I distinct as far as we judge of them: And as we im us, we no particular idea of those unknown divine attriay our wes, or unknown species of animals; so there is noththe inthe in-sparticular affirmed of them beyond what belongs to the

the general idea of divine attributes or animals, with Thus we which I clearly and distinctly perceive them to be con. If the deit

It may be illustrated in this manner. Suppose a long steffed Spichain lies before me, whose nearest links I see are in states's glorings, and I see them fastned to a post near me, but the frinn, the most distant links lie beyond the reach of my sight, of ther, and that I know not whether they are oval or round, by the theor iron: Now I may boldly affirm the whole length of temselves this chain is fastened to the post, for I have a clear ide of our ow that the distant links are connected with the nearest, thous contact the distant links are connected with the nearest, thous contact the distant links are connected with the nearest, thous contact the distant links are connected with the nearest, thous contact the distant links are connected with the nearest, thous contact the distant links are connected with the nearest, thous contact the distant links are connected with the nearest, the percentage of the links.

Or thus: If two known ideas, A and B are evidently recontain joined or agree, and if C unknown be included in A ndetermir and also D unknown be included in B, then I may at The same firm that C and D are joined and agree: for I have rable hu clear perception of the union of the two known idea in s, while A and B; and also a clear perception of the connection of the connec and the conclusions are thus formed, which deduc pures of

Yet it feems to me, that there is one just limitation where the or exception to this general rule of judgment, as but whe he has incomer and it is this;

on clear and distinct ideas; and it is this;

Exception. In matters of mere testimony, whether human or divine, there is always a necessity of clear at distinct ideas of the things which are believed. Thou the evidence of propositions, which are entirely formed things, a by ourselves, depends on the clearness and distincts believe a of those ideas of which they are composed, and one have idea own clear perception of their agreement or disagreement, yet they may justly assent to propositions formed by others, when we have neither a very clear conception of the two ideas contained in the work we partly nor how they agree or disagree; provided always the have a clear and sufficient evidence of the credibility of the persons who inform us. of the persons who inform us.

nd human onfused ic

never go

RT IT PART II. Thus when we read in scripture the great doctrines the deity of Christ, and of the union of the divine human natures in him, of the divine agency of the a long helfed Spirit, that the fon is the brightness of the re in ther's glory, that all things were created by him, and but the in him, that the fon shall give up his kingdom to his ight, other, and that God shall be all in all, we may safely the them: For though our ideas of these objects ngth a temselves are not sufficiently clear, destinct and perfect, the our own minds to form these judgments or proporares, thous concerning them, yet we have a clear and disconcerning of Continuous concerning them. ind perception of God's revealing them, or that they ridently recontained in scripture; and this is sufficient evidence d in Andetermine our affent.

may at The same thing holds true in some measure, where I have redible human testimony assures us of some proposim idea ims, while we have no sufficient ideas of the subject nnection and predicate of them to determine our affent. So hat clear then an honest and learned mathematician assures a necessar soughman that the three angles of a triangle are equal is built faright angles, or that the square of a hypotenuse is built faright angled triangle is equal to the sum of the deductures of the two sides; the ploughman, who has but impused ideas of these things, may firmly and safely mitatio bleve these propositions upon the same ground, beas but me he has evidence of the skill and faithfulness of his former*.

wheth clear an III. Direct.

Now

Though things, and fay, that "we cannot properly be faid to believe a proposition any farther than we ourselves have ideas under the terms: therefore if we have no diagret idea under the terms, we believe nothing but the connection of words or sounds; and if we have but obscure and inadequate ideas under the terms, then we partly believe a connection of things, and partly aconnection of sounds: but that we cannot properly credibility be said to believe the proposition, for our faith can never go beyond our ideas." never go beyond our ideas."

III. Direct. When you have obtained as clear and comprehensive ideas as is needful, both of the subject

Now to fet this matter in a clear light, I suppose that every proposition which is proposed to my affent, is a sentence made up of terms which have some ideas under them known or unknown to me. I coniess, if I believe there are no ideas at all under the terms, and there is nothing meant by them, then indeed (with regard to me) it is the mere joining of founds: but if (for instance) a ploughman has credible information from an honest and skilful mathematician, that an ellipfis is made by the fection of a cone, he believes the proposition, or he believes the sentence is true, as it is made up of terms which his informant understands, though the ideas be unknown to him; that is, he believes there are some ideas which his informant has under these words which are really connected. And, I think this may justly be called believing the proposition, for it is a belief of fomething more than the mere joining of founds; it is a belief of the real connection of fome unknown ideas belonging to those founds, and in this fense a man may be faid to believe the truth of a proposition which he doth not understand at all.

With more reason still may we be said to believe a proposition upon credible testimony, if we have some fort of ideas under the terms, though they are but partial or inadequate, and obscure; such as, divine answers were given by Urim and Thummim: for fince its purely upon testimony we believe the known parts of the ideas fignified by those words to be connected, upon the fame testimony we may also believe all the unknown parts of the ideas fignified by those words to be connected, (viz.) because our informant is knowing And in this fense we may justly be aid to believe a proposition of scripture entirely, which we understand but very imperfectly, because God who re-

veals it is knowing and faithful in perfection.

PART II. nd predic of the ful

And inc e allowe world, eve ntire affer r to belie which v And it is my thing out this a in to info nequate a may boldly ince I am imified by the term h thecially lected the I might from the c re would rould be omprehen te some God. Th bmething nd profess Iperfuac bject agair onfess, the

brabove th leas of th moposition: of dark

And

wher than

hough the

II.

and

bject and

that

, is a un-

if I

, and h re-

out if

ation

ellip-

s the

it is

ands,

e be-

s un-

nd, I

lition,

join-

ion of

and in

of a

ieve a

fome

it par-

nswers

e it is

arts of

upon

e un-

s to be

owing

be aid

ich we

ho re-

PART II. ad predicate of a proposition, then compare those ideas the subject and predicate together with the utmost

And indeed, unless this representation of the matter allowed, there are but very few propositions in the weld, even in human things, to which we can give an mire affent, or which we may be faid either to know, to believe, because there is scarce any thing on earth which we have an adequate, and most perfect idea. and it is evident that in divine things there is scarce wthing which we could either know or believe withut this allowance: for though reason and revelation in to inform me that God is holy, how exceeding inacquate are my ideas of God, and of holiness? Yet I my boldly and entirely affent to this whole proposition, ince I am ture that every known and unknown idea mified by the term God is connected with the idea of heterm holiness, because reason partly informs me, but medially because the divine testimony which has conacted them, is certainly credible.

I might argue upon this head perhaps more forcibly om the doctrine of God's incomprehensibleness. rewould believe nothing but what we have ideas of, it hould be impossible for us to believe that God is inimprehensible: for this implies in it a belief, that there n some unknown ideas belonging to the nature of M. Therefore we do both believe and profess that mething concerning unknown ideas, when we believe

nd profess that God is incomprehensible.

I persuade myself that most of these very persons who but against my representation of things will yet readily mes, they believe all the propositions in scripture, ther than declare they do not believe several of them; hugh they must acknowledge that several of them are rabove their understanding, or that they have scarce any less of the true sense of them. And therefore, where opolitions derived from credible testimony are made not dark or inadequate ideas, I think it is much more

attention, and observe how far they agree, and wherein they differ: Whether the proposition may be affirmed absolutely or relatively, whether in whole or in part, whether univertally or particularly, and then under what

proper to fay, we believe them, than that we do not believe them, left we cut off a multitude of the propositions of the bible from our affent of faith.

Yet let it be observed here, that when we believe a proposition on mere testimony, of which we have no ideas at all, we can only be faid to give a general implicit affent to the truth of that proposition, without any particular knowledge of, or explicit affent to the fpecial truth contained in that proposition: and this our implicit affent is of very little use, unless it be to testify our belief of the knowledge and veracity of him that in-

As our ideas of a proposition are more or less clear and adequate, as well as just and proper, so we explicitly affent more or less to the particular truth contained in that proposition. And our affent hereby becomes more or less uteful for the increase of our knowledge, or the

direction of our practice.

When divine testimony plainly proposes to our faith trer. Sea fuch a proposition whereof we have but obscure, doubtful and inadequate ideas, we are bound inplicitly to believe the truth of it, expressed in those terms, in order
to shew our submission to God who revealed it, as a
mptation
God of perfect knowledge and veracity: but it is our
duty to use all proper methods to obtain a farther and
explicite knowledge of the particular truth contained in
a pervert the proposition, if we would improve by it either in to belie knowledge or virtue. All necessary rules of grammar she false. and criticism should be employed to find out the very ideas that belong to those words, and which were de- V. Dire figned by the divine speaker or writer. Though we have may believe the truth of a proposition which we do not appropose understand, yet we should endeavour to understand in we sh every proposition which we believe to be true.

articular 1 nind, and mion wou w fuit each nerecting Compar in their fe ou neithe he subject

PART II.

IV. Dir mee and ence, whe

ence or m

nent.

Search v in the tru te proposi n that fu iconversa hward yo or to rece amed. 1 the rich

m nor de

aticular limitations, Turn these ideas about in your and, and take a view of them on all fides, just as a mion would do to fee whether two hewn stones exactwhit each other in every part, and are fit to be joined perecting a carved or fluted pillar.

Compare the whole subject with the whole predicate their feveral parts: Take heed in this matter that nu neither add to, nor diminish the ideas contained in the subject or in the predicate; for such an inadverme or mistake will expose you to great error in judg-

IV. Direct. Search for evidence of truth with dilime and honesty, and be heartily ready to receive evimee, whether for the agreement or disagreement of

Search with diligence. Spare no labour in fearching the truth, in due proportion to the importance of proposition. Read the best authors who have writ n that subject; consult your wise and learned friends nonversation; and be not unwilling to borrow hints ned in ward your improvement from the meanest person, w to receive any glimpse of light from the most unor the med. Diligence and humility is the way to thrive the riches of the understanding, as well as in gold or

or faith her. Search carefully for the evidence of truth, and doubtto beSearch with a steady honesty of soul, and a sincere partiality to find the truth. Watch against every it, as a appearance of truth. Do not indulge yourself to wish any ner and accommend proposition were true or false. A wish ofincluded in the truth and accommend to the proposition were true or false. A wish ofincluded in the truth and accommend to the proposition were true or false. A wish ofincluded in the truth and treatment and the proposition were true or false. ined in aperverts the judgment, and tempts the mind strangether in to believe upon flight evidence whatfoever we wish ammar bbe false.

we de- V. Direct. Since the evidence of the agreement or ugh we be be we we we we were two ideas is the ground of our affent to do not approposition, or the great criterion of truth; thereerstand in we should suspend our judgment, and neither afmor deny till this evidence appear.

53

This

parot be-

oposi-

r II.

erein

rmed

part.

what

PART II.

ieve a ve no al im-

thout

to the is our teftify at in-

s clear olicitly more

ne very

This direction is different from the fecond; for a facultie though the evidence of the agreement or difagreement their pro of two ideas most times depends on the clearness and If we ju distinctness of the ideas themselves, yet, it does not almost he ways arise thence. Testimony may be a sufficient evil aut be do dence of the agreement or difagreement of two obscure put take h ideas, as we have feen just before in the exception under the fecond direction. Therefore, though we are not universally, and in all cases, bound to suspend our judgment till our ideas of the objects themselves are dear and distinct, yet we must always suspend our judgment, distance and with hold our affent to or denial of any proposition. and with-hold our assent to, or denial of any proposition, till some just evidence appear of its truth or fall lates, and hood. It is an impatience of doubt and suspence, a nother. rashness and precipitance of judgment, and hastiness to it is by believe fomething on the one fide or the other, that ther, that plunges us into many errors.

This direction to delay and suspend our affent is dets, the more particularly necessary to be observed when such weal objection propositions offer themselves to us as are supported by tadistance education, authority, custom, inclination, interest, or maines his other powerful prejudices; for our judgment is led away at exercise insensibly to believe all that they dictate; and where and others prejudices and dangers of error are multiplied, we should attain the

let the stricter guard upon our affent.

Yet remember the caution or limitation here which sprison had a gave under the first direction (viz.) that this is not to be too strictly applied to matters of daily practice, either in human life or religion; but when we consider our felves as philosophers, or searchers after truth, we should always with-hold our affent where there is not just evidence: And as far and as fast as we can in a due consistence with our daily necessary duties, we should also reform and adjust all our principles and practices both in religion and the civil life by these rules. in religion and the civil life by these rules.

VI. Direct. We must judge of every proposition by In the sthose proper and peculiar mediums or means, whereby mics, which evidence of it is to be obtained, whether it be sense, it reason consciousness, intelligence, reason, or testimony. All ton of our

uture, the mome a

conclusion

Descartes

RT II. PART II. ; for faculties and powers are to be employed in judging ement stheir proper objects.

is and If we judge of founds, colours, odours, fapors, the not al. mothness, roughness, softness, or hardness of bodies, it nt evi- aut be done by the use of our senses: but then we becure wil take heed that our fenses are well disposed, as shall under thewn afterwards.

re not. And fince our fenses, in their various exercises, are in rjudg. me cases liable to be deceived, and more especially re dear men by our eyes or ears we judge of the figure, quantigment, distance, and position of objects that are far off, we roposit with to call our reason in to the assistance of our or fast, and correct the errors of one sense by the help of

ness to It is by the powers of sense and reason joined toer, that ther, that we must judge philosophically of the inward mure, the fecret properties and powers, the causes and fent is dets, the relations and proportions of a thousand corn such meal objects which surround us on earth, or are placed rted by tadistance in the heavens. If a man on the one hand est, or maines himself only to sensible experiments, and does daway at exercise reason upon them, he may surprise himself where and others with strange appearances, and learn to enshould stain the world with sights and shows, but will never which prison himself in his closet, and employ the most ex-interpowers of reason to find out the nature of things , either the corporeal world, without the use of his senses, er our- and the practice of experiments, he will frame to him-should if a scheme of chimeras instead of true philosophy. ust evidence came the invention of substantial forms and me conpalities, of materia prima and privation, with all the uld also despiticant names used by the peripatetic writers; and es both twas for want of more experiments that the great becartes failed in several parts of his philosophical wri-

tion by In the abstracted and speculative parts of the mathewhereby latics, which treat of quantity and number, the faculty e sense, it reason must be chiefly employed to perceive the reour anclusions; but it wants the affistance of sense also to

be

ence, a nother.

be acquainted with lines, angles and figures. And in practical mathematics our fenses have still greater em. shoth to

ployment.

If we would judge of the pure properties, and actions of the mind, of the nature of spirits, their various permetalling of the nature of spirits, their various permetalling of the mind, as we coptions and powers, we must not inquire of our eyes
ceptions and powers, we must not inquire of our eyes
ceptions and powers or spaces or spaces laid up in the brain only, or fi and our ears, nor the images or shapes laid up in the brain but we must have recourse to our own consciousness of they are

what passes within our own mind.

If we are to pass a judgment upon any thing that re-tes to spirits in a state of union with animal nature. Ta power lates to spirits in a state of union with animal nature and the mixt properties of fensation, fancy, appetite passion, pleasure and pain, which arise thence, we must be are four confult our own fensations, and the other powers which we find in ourselves, considered as men or creatures made up of a mind and an animal; and by just reason. ings deduce proper consequences, and improve our clear knowledge in these subjects.

If we have occasion to judge concerning matters done inform in past ages, or in distant countries, and where we ourfelves cannot be present, the powers of sense and reason heaver (for the most part) are not sufficient to inform us, and for prove we must therefore have recourse to the testimony of have others: and this is either divine or human.

In matters of mere human prudence, we shall find the prec the greatest advantage by making wife observations on our own conduct, and the conduct of others, and a me oracle furvey of the conduct of others, and a me oracle furvey of the conduct of others, and a me oracle furvey of the conduct of others, and a me oracle furvey of the conduct of others, and a me oracle furvey of the conduct of others, and a me oracle furvey of the conduct of others, and a me oracle furvey of the conduct of others, and a me oracle furvey of the conduct of others, and a me oracle furvey of the conduct of others. furvey of the events attending such conduct. Experis to rience in this case is equal to a natural sagacity, or rather the perspective fuperior. A treasure of observations and experiences the creat collected by wise men, is of admirable service here. And perhaps there is nothing in the world of this kind a, nor equal to the facred book of Proverbs, even if we look on it as a mere human writing.

In questions of natural religion, we must exercise the faculty of reason which God has given us; and since he has been pleased to afford us his word, we should confirm and improve, or correct our reasonings on this child

fubject by the divine affiftance of the bible.

In matters of revealed religion, that is, christianity, dipiritu judaism, &c. which we could never have known by the light

nd, and to they are Though

hid to rif

hof natu

I. When ement is be bur

Whe chares so lows not de to re opolition

ART IT IT II. And in tof nature, the word of God is our only foundater em and chief light; though here our reason must be ter em both to find out the true meaning of God in his actions and to derive just inferences from what God has ten, as well as to judge of the credentials whereby ne testimony is distinguished from mere human tesur eyes ony, or from imposture.

Is divine revelation can never contradict right reason, they are two great lights given us by our creator for conduct) fo reason ought by no means to assume to

fa power to contradict divine revelation.

ous per-

e brain.

ineis of

that re-

reatures

reason-

rs done

light

nature Though revelation be not contrary to reason, yet ppetite. reare four classes wherein matters of revelation may ve must and to rife above, or go beyond our reason. which

When revelation afferts two things of which we r clear ideas, to be joined, whose connection or ave our ment is not discoverable by reason; as when scriprinforms us, that the dead shall rise, that the earth be burnt up, and the man Christ Jesus shall return ve ourreason m heaven, none or these things could ever be found us, and for proved by reason.

ony of When revelation affirms any proposition, while in has no clear and distinct ideas of the subject, or all find the predicate; as God created all things by Jefus ons on the predicate; as God Created all things by Jeius ons on the by the Urim and Thummim God gave forth and a me oracles. The predicate of each of these propositions is to us an obscure idea, for we know not what the peculiar agency of Jesus Christ when God the riences the created the world by him; nor have we any clear the created the world by him; nor have we are the created the world by him; nor have we are the created the world by him; nor have we are the created the world by him; nor have we are the created the world by him; nor have we are the created the world by him; nor have we are the created the created the world by him; nor have we are the created the world by him; nor have we are the created t re look m.

When revelation, in plain and express language, tife the stares fome doctrine which our reason at present d since the stares fome doctrine which our reason at present d since the start of thould the to reconcile some of its own principles; as, that on this stall Jesus is the mighty God, Isa. ix. 6. which polition carries a feeming opposition to the unity ianity, dipirituality of the godhead, which are principles of

4. When

PART II

ing them these pr

his than

ato affure

any nev at these meyes fa

4. When two propositions or doctrines are plaint following afferted by divine revelation, which our reason at present the particular fent knows not how or in what sense with evidence fent knows not how or in what sense with evidence and certainty to reconcile with one another; as, the Father III. Dire is the only true God, John xvii. 3. and yet, Christia rostion b over all, God bleffed for ever, Rom. ix. 5.

Now divine revelation having declared all these pro- self principations, reason is bound to receive them, because it can world, and not prove them to be utterly inconsistent or impossible mistakes though the ideas of them may be obscure, though we the nat ourselves see not the rational connection of them, and up, then though we know not certainly how to reconcile them . And In these cases reason must submit to faith: that is, we and their are bound to believe what God afferts, and wait till he mer to re shall clear up that which feems dark and difficult, and onger or till the mysteries of faith shall be farther explained to us optionable either in this world, or in the world to come*, and reading are in fon itself dictates this submission,

VII. Direct. It is very useful to have some general mile the principles of truth fettled in the mind, whose evidence dematica is great and obvious, that they may be always ready at are b hand to affift us in judging of the great variety of thing. Yet is which occur. These may be called first notions, of them are thousand fundamental principles; for though many of them are thousand the state of deduced from each other, yet most or all of them may for this be called principles when compared with a thousand farther, other judgments which we form under the regulation power of and influence of these primary propositions.

Every art and science, as well as the affairs of civil life and religion, have peculiar principles of this kind belonging to them. There are metaphysical, physical, IL Direct mathematical, political, oeconomical, medicinal, theological, moral, and prudentical principles of judgment as ready in this along the favour in this place. Those which are of most universal univers

^{*} See fomething more on this subject, direct. I the sake preced. and chap. V. fect. 6.

e plaint following chapter among the rules of judgment and at present aparticular objects. ence and

Father III. Direct. Let the degrees of your affent to every Christic notion bear an exact proportion to the different res of evidence. Remember this is one of the ness principles of wisdom that man can arrive at in e it can world, and the best human security against danger-

npossible mistakes in speculation or practice.

ough we the nature of things, of which our knowledge is em, and tup, there is infinite variety in their degrees of evile them at And as God hath given our minds a power to at is, we and their affent till the evidence be plain, so we have it till he wer to receive things which are proposed to us with cult, and onger or weaker belief, in infinite variety of degrees ed to us portionable to their evidence. I believe, that the

and real is are inhabited, and I believe that the earth rolls them yearly round the fun; but I do not believe general sufe the arguments for the latter are drawn from ready a mer are but probable conjectures and moral reasonof thing. Yet neither do I believe either of these propositions, of the formuly, as I do that the earth is about twentyhem are thousand miles round, because the mathematical
em may housand firther, when I say that the earth was created by
gulation power of God, I have still a more infallible assurance

of civil his than of all the rest, because reason and scripture

his kind

physical L. Direct. Keep your mind always open to receive theolo. And never fet limits to your improvements. them a ready to hear what may be objected even against erfal use favourite opinions, and those which have had to possession of your affent. And if there should my new and uncontroulable evidence brought athese old or beloved sentiments, do not wink reyes fast against the light, but part with any thing rect. I the fake of truth: Remember when you overcome

an error, you gain truth; the victory is on your fide, the great

and the advantages is all your own.

I confess those grand principles of belief and practice tense, of a which universally influence our conduct both with respect to be well settled in the first years of our studies, such that say, the existence and providence of God, the truth of the subject the state of the subject to the supposed to t christianity, the authority of scripture, the general rule of morality, &c. We should avoid a light fluttering genius, ever ready to change our foundations, and to be carried about with every kind of doctrine. To guan against which inconveniences, we should labour with earnest diligence and fervent prayer, that our most fundamental and important points of belief and practice may be established upon just grounds of reason and scripture when we come to years of discretion, and fi to judge for ourselves in such important points. Ye meiples a fince it is possible that the folly or prejudices of younger years may have established persons in some mistaken fentiments, even in very important matters, we should always hold ourselves ready to receive any new advan-PHOU tage toward the direction or improvement even of our deceiv established principles, as well as opinions of leffer me exercise ment.

CHAP. V.

SPECIAL RULES TO DIRECT US IN JUDGING Of all follo PARTICULAR OBJECTS.

TT would be endless to run through all those part loccurre cular objects concerning which we have occasion to reavil of pass a judgment at one time or another. Things a nor if the most frequent occurrence, of the widest extent, and lastety.

lance of

This ma ator. It make 1 petual de nties of

This ma could h could n

nt these

ur side, the greatest importance, are the objects and exercises practice religion and prudence of le practice preligion, and prudence, of human and divine testi-with respect to the essays of reasoning upon things upposed and survey. Special rules relating to all these will truth or the subject of the following sections. truth of

SECT. I.

ts. Ye miples and Rules of Judgment concerning the Objects of Senfe.

re should w advan-PHOUGH our fenses are fometimes liable to be n of our deceived, yet when they are rightly disposed, and effer me vexercifed about their proper objects, with the just lance of reason, they give us sufficient evidence of

This may be proved from an argument drawn from wildom, goodness, and faithfulness of God our dor. It was he gave us our fenses, and he would make us of such a constitution as to be liable to ktual deception and unavoidable error in using these thes of senie in the best manner we are capable of, withese very things which are the proper objects of

ging of this may be proved also by the ill consequences that did follow from the supposition of the contrary. If wild have no certainty of the dictates of our lenses, ould never be fure of any of the common affairs nose part occurrences of life. Men could not transact any of coasion to revise or moral concerns with any certainty or just-Things of nor indeed could we eat or drink, walk or move ktent, and lafety. Our senses direct us in all these.

Again,

RT II IRT II.

ral rule uttering nd to be o guard ur with oft fun.

ion and , and fit

younger

mistaken

Again, the matters of religion depend in some measure we fart upon the certainty of the dictates of fense; for faith comes by hearing; and it is to our senses that God appeals in working miracles to prove his own revelation. Now, if when our eyes and ears, and other organs of sense are rightly disposed and exercised about their proper objects, they were always liable to be deceived, there me thing could be no knowledge of the gospel, no proof of divine d distinct revelation by visions, voices or miracles.

Our fenses will discover things near us and round a-bout us, which are necessary for our present state, with sufficient exactness, and things distant also as far as the

relate to our necessary use of them.

Nor is there need of any more accurate rules for the radiffer use of our senses in the judgment of all the common to shape affairs of life, or even of miraculous and divine opera- tange the tions, than the vulgar part of mankind are sufficiently me cases, acquainted with by nature, and by their own daily obfervations.

But if we would express these rules in a more exact 5. We manner, how to judge by the dictates of our fenses, nects are they should be represented thus:

I. We must take care that the organs of our sense be thy; wi rightly disposed, and not under the power of any distrappears temper or confiderable decay; as for instance, that our red with eyes are not tinctured with the jaundice, when we gor at re would judge of colours, lest we pronounce them all 6. We would judge of colours, lest we pronounce them all so We yellow: that our hands are not burning in a fever, or wes; and benumbed with frost or the palsy, when we would judge full allo of the heat or coldness of any object; that our palate the clobe not vitiated by any disease, or by some other impropendents, per taste, when we would judge of the true taste of any them, a solid or liquid. This direction relates to all our senses according to the following rules chiefly refer to our sight.

2. We must observe whether the object be at a proper distance, for if it be too near or too far off, our eyes alon the will not sufficiently distinguish many things which are ming, sufficiently the objects of sight; and therefore (if possible) we must make nearer approaches to the object, or respect to the object.

weye, as nner or ater, or g

asure sove farther from it, till we have obtained that due

faith thance which gives us the clearest perception.

d ap
3. We must not employ our fight to take a full suration. That once of objects that are too large for it, but we was of the view them by parts, and then judge of the whole: pro- for must our senses judge of objects too small; for there the things which appear through glasses to be really divine ad distinctly existent, are either utterly invisible, or patly confused, when we would judge of them by the ind a- aked eye.

, with 4 We must place ourselves in such a position toward s they hobject, or place the object in such a position toward or the radifferent position greatly alters the appearance of mmon te shape of bodies. And for this reason we should opera- tange the position both of the eye and the object in ciently me cases, that by viewing the object in several appearily ob- mes, we may pass a more complete and certain judg-

TII. PART II.

e exact 5. We must consider what the medium is by which senses, whether it be mer or thicker; whether it be air, or vapour, or mer, or glais, &c. whether it be duly enlightened or sense be may; whether it reflect or refract, or only transmit any difterappearance of the subject: and whether it be tincthat our red with any particular colour; whether it be mov-

hen we for at rest.
hem all 6. We must sometimes use other helps to assist our make use of glasses, we must make fever, of thes; and if we make use of glasses, we must make ar palate in the clearness or dulness, for the smoothness or improper agencies, for the plainness, the convexity or concavity e of any them, and for the distance at which these glasses are at senses and from the eye, or from the object, (or from one them, if there be two or more classes used) and all at a pro- is according to the rules of art. The same fort of our eye ation thould be used also in mediums which assist the which are sing, such as speaking trumpets, hearing-trumpets, ld judge just allowances for the thickness or thinness of them,

9, or re 7. If the object may be proposed to more senses than more that us call in the affittance of some other senses to

T 2

examine it, and this will increase the evidence of what one sense dictates. Ex. gr. Our ear may affist our eye in judging of the distance of bodies, which are both visible and sonorous, as an exploded cannon, or a cloud charged with thunder. Our feeling may affift our fight in judging of the kind, the shape, situation, or distance of bodies that are nearer at hand, as whether a garment be filk or stuff, &c. So if I both see, hear, and embrace my friend, I am fure he is prefent.

8. We should also make several trials, at some distant times, and in different circumstances, comparing former experiments with later, and our own observations with

those of other persons.

It is by fuch methods as these that modern philosophy has been fo greatly improved by the use of sensible experiments.

SECT. II.

Principles and Rules of Judgment in Matters of Reason and by r and Speculation.

T is by reason we judge both in matters of specula- the less t I tion and practice; there are peculiar rules which re- re of a late to things practical, whether they be matters of re- tis infin ligion, morality, or prudence, yet many things in this We n fection may be applied to practical inquires and matters uncerning of faith, though it chiefly relates to knowledge or specular ideas lations of reason.

I. Whatsoever clear ideas we can join together with the gene out inconfistency, and to be counted possible, because What almighty power can make whatfoever we can conceive to be de 2. From to the fa

MT IT. 1. From fer its ac it can w

Note, T m this g its, beca anot beg every far 3. Wha thing, Reafo

Hence is ewe ma 4 lt 15 1 t be at hence it joined i me time, dictory p The hous pro y to att experin confirm

If fin

tour for Yet a

ties of ar

lething :

1 From the mere possibility of a thing we cannot it its actual existence; nor from the non-existence it can we infer its impossibility.

Note, The idea of God feems to claim an exemption mthis general rule; for if he be possible, he certainly hs, because the very idea includes eternity, and he anot begin to be: if he exist not, he is impossible, for

Whatfoever is evidently contained in the idea of

every fame reason.

INT II.

TII.

what

our

both cloud

fight

Prance |

rment

brace

distant

ormer

s with

hiloso-

enfible

thing, may be affirmed of that thing, with certain-Reason is contained in the idea of a man; and tence is contained in the idea of God; and thereewe may affirm God exists, and man is reasonable. Let is impossible that the same thing should be, and the at the same time, and in the same respect. lince it follows, that two contradictory ideas cannot joined in the fame part of the fame subject, at the me time, and in the same respects: or, that two condictory propositions can never be both true.

The more we converfe with any subject in its bus properties, the better knowledge of it we are by to attain; and by frequent and repeated inquiries texperiments, reasonings and conversations about it, confirm our true judgments of that thing, and cor-

tour former mistakes. Yet after our utmost inquires, we can never be Reason jeed by reason, that we know all the powers and proties of any finite being.

If finite beings are not adequately known by us, specula- the less the things which are infinite: for it is of the hich re- re of a finite mind not to be able to comprehend

rs of realt is infinite...

in this We may judge and argue very justly and certainmatters accerning infinites, in some parts of them, or so faror specu- or ideas reach, though the infinity of them hath And this is built: ner with the general rule following, viz.

because Whatsoever is sufficiently clear and evident ought conceive to be denied, though there are other things belong-2. From to the fame subject, which cannot be comprehended ..

13

I may affirm many things with certainty concerning 15. W human fouls, their union with bodies, concerning the atters of divinibility of matter, and the attributes of God, though scertain many things relating to them are darkness to us,

10. If any opinion proposed has either no arguments, kely to c or equal arguments for and against it, we must remain his is an in perfect suspence about it, till convincing evidence ap- ine of t

pear on one fide.

11. Where present necessity of action does not con- by wh strain us to determine, we should not immediately yield to control up our assent to mere probable arguments, without a mosten due reserve, if we have any reasonable hope of obtain- 16. WI ing greater light and evidence on one fide or the other: of ftrong for when the balance of the judgment once refigns its arincon equilibrium or neutrality to a mere probable argument, a cannot it is too ready to fettle itself on that side, so that the alon, as mind will not eafily change that judgment, though a the v bright and strong evidence appear afterwards on the man act other fide.

12. Of two opinions, if one has unanswerable diff- m all, culties attending it, we must not reject it immediately, sugh lea till we examine whether the contrary opinion has not ar and I

difficulties as unanswerable.

13. If each opinion has objections against it, which incy in we cannot answer, or reconcile, we should rather embrace ir eviden that which has the least difficulties in it, and which has 17. Let the best arguments to support it: and let our assent icult ma

bear proportion to the superior evidence.

14. If any doctrine hath very strong and sufficient wiftent a light and evidence to command our affent, we should kney, an not reject it because there is an objection or two against in and it, which we are not able to answer; for upon this foot with may a common Christian would be baffled out of every arti-cle of his faith, and must renounce even the dictates of 18. For his reason and his senses; and the most learned man thies ut perhaps would hold but very few of them fast; for vable, we some objections which attend the sacred doctrine of the stand deternity and the omnipresence of God, and the philosophical doctrines of light, atoms, space, motion, &c. are should hardly folvable to this day.

15. Where by, neces

felt to to ture felo don and pposition

there a

tain con

RT II. HAT II.

erning 15. Where two extremes are proposed, either in g the atters of speculation or practice, and neither of them hough scertain and convincing evidence, it is generally the int to take the middle way. Moderation is more ments, july to come near the truth than doubtful extremes. emain is is an excellent rule to judge of the characters and ce ap- the of the greatest part of persons and things; for pure feldom deals in superlatives. It is a good rule t con by which to form our judgment in many speculay yield to controversies; a reconciling medium in such cases hout a soften best secure truth as well as peace. obtain. 16. When two different propositions have each a

other: ay strong and cogent evidence, and do not plainly apgns its a inconfistent, we may believe both of them, though ument, cannot at present see the way to reconcile them. nat the alon, as well as our own consciousness, assures us, though a the will of man is free, and that multitudes of on the man actions are in that respect contingent; and yet on and scripture assure us, that God foreknows le diff- m all, and this implies a certain fatality. Now diately, sugh learned men have not to this day hit on any fo has not a and happy method as is defired to reconcile these positions; yet since we do not see a plain incon-, which incy in them, we justly believe them both, because mbrace a evidence is great.

ich has 17. Let us not therefore too suddenly determine in r affent icult matters, that two things are utterly inconfiftent: there are many propositions which may appear inafficient afficient afficient at first, and yet afterwards we find their conshould arey, and the way of reconciling them may be made against in and easy: as also, there are other propositions his foot the may appear confishent at first, but after due exactly arti-lates of 18. For the same reason we should not call those dif-

ed man whies utterly infolvable, or those objections unanalt; for table, which we are not presently able to answer: se of the stand diligence may give farther light.

philoso
10. In short, if we will secure ourselves from error,

&c. are should not be too frequent or hafty in afferting the Where mecessity, or impossibility of things, where there is not the brightest evidence. He is but a young and ther of a raw philosopher, who, when he fees two particular ideas imation evidently agree, immediately afferts them to agree unit the truth verfally, to agree necessarily, and that it is impossible it is which should be otherwise: or when he sees evidently that outh an two particular ideas happen to difagree, he presently as- ner and ferts their constant and natural inconsistency, their utter in of fa impossibility of agreement, and calls every thing con- 4 Yet trary to his opinion absurdity and nonsense. A true expected philosopher will affirm or deny with much caution or als carry modesty, unless he has thoroughly examined, and found mation. the evidence of every part of his affertion exceeding or in plain.

20. Let us have a care of building our affurance of any important point of doctrine upon one fingle argument, if there are more to be obtained. We should not flight and reject all other arguments which support the fame doctrine, left if our favourite argument should be refuted, and fail us we should be tempted to abandon that important principle of truth. I think this was a very culpable practice in Defcartes, and fome of his followers, who, when he had found out the argument for the existence of God derived from the idea of a most perfect and felf-existent being, he seemed to despise and miples a

abandon all other arguments against atheism.

21. If we happen to have our chief arguments for any opinion refuted, we should not immediately give up the opinion itself; for perhaps it may be a truth fill, TERE and we may find it to be justly supported by other ar- mer guments, which we might once think weaker, or per- sy matte haps by new arguments which we knew not before. which we knew not before.

of a proposition, where both the kind and the force of Moral gethe arguments or proofs are as great as the nature of the the thing admits, and as the necessity or exigences of the tor vice case requires. So if we have a credible and certain telesto the torm of the timenty that Charleston for the timenty that the total the total case of the timenty that the total case of the timenty that the timenty tha timony that Christ rose from the dead, it is enough; we are not to expect mathematical or ocular demonstra- Note, T tion for it, at least in our day.

23. Though we should seek what proofs may be attained of any proposition, and we should receive any to work number.

tion of

ion of c

RT II. IT II. ing and ober of arguments which are just and evident for the ar ideas immation of the same truth; yet we must not judge ee uni. the truth of any proposition by the number of arguflible it is which are brought to support it, but by the y that geth and weight of them: a building will stand ntly af and longer on four large pillars of marble, than ir utter gen of fand, or earth, or timber.

g con- 4. Yet where certain evidence is not to be found A true expected, a confiderable number of probable argution or as carry great weight with them, even in matters of found plation. That is a probable hypothesis in philosoceeding for in theology, which goes farthest towards the tion of many difficult questions arising on any sub-

SECT. III.

sciples and Rules of Judgment in Matters of Morality and Religion.

th fill, TERE it may be proper, in the first place, to her ar- mention a few definitions of words or terms. or per- ly matters of morality and religion I mean those ore. which relate to our duty to God, ourselves, or vidence rellow-creatures.

orce of Moral good, or virtue, or holiness, is an action or of the per conformable to the rule of our duty. Moral of the for vice, or sin, is an action or temper unconforin tel- be to the rule of our duty, or a neglect to fulfil it.

onstra- Note, The words vice or virtue chiefly imply the reof our actions to men and this world: fin and be at- iness rather imply their relation to God and the we any to world.

Natural

nts for y give

ument a most ife and

ance of e arguihould Support should pandon

nough;

umber.

Natural good is that which gives us pleasure or far for con tisfaction. Natural evil is that which gives us pain or griet,

Happiness consists in the attainment of the highest and most lasting natural good. Misery consists in suffering the highest and most lasting natural evil; that is,

in fhort, heaven or hell.

Though this be a just account of perfect happiness and perfect mifery, yet whatfoever pain overbalance pleasure, there is a degree of misery; and wheresoever pleasure overbalances pain, there is a degree of happi.

I proceed now to lay down forme principles and rules of judgment in matters of morality and religion.

1. The will of our Maker, whether discovered by reason or revelation, carries the highest authority with it, and is therefore the highest rule of duty to intelligent creatures; a conformity or non-conformity to it deter. ion, or mines their actions to be morally good or evil.

2. Whatfoever is really an immediate duty towards difficult ourselves, or towards our fellow-creatures, is more remotely a duty to God; and therefore in the practice of spleafed it we should have an eye to the will of God as our ato exp

rule, and to his glory as our end.

3. Our wife and gracious Creator has closely united to fouls our duty and our happiness together; and has con-yderive nected sin, or vice, and punishment; that is, he has or dained that the highest natural good and evil should more have a close connection with moral good and evil, and as our that both in the nature of things, and by his own post portion tive appointment.

4. Conscience should seek all due information in or. to other der to determine what is duty, and what is fin, because way to

happiness and misery depend upon it.

5. On this account our inclination to present tem will I poral good, and our aversion to present temporal evil II. In must be wisely overbalanced by the consideration of different future and eternal good or evil, that is, happiness or reason, misery. And for this reason we should not omit a stency to

woid any Thoug ht be fu fary for

favour o ion is by affary to

There

mankind m in ord word for er to th on, as t duty b

There es, and

out an ery plair

10. Wh

tinful.

duty, in mat

n.

rity with un

re or a for commit a fin, to gain any temporal good, or

pain or Though our natural reason in a state of innocence e highest at be sufficient to find out those duties which were s in fuf. favour of his Maker, yet in a fallen state our natural that is, in is by no means sufficient to find out all that is pappinels lary to restore a sinful creature to the divine fa-

balances Therefore God has condescended in various ages eresoever arrived to reveal to sinful men what he requires of f happing mankind to reveal to finful men what he requires of in order to their restoration, and has appointed in and rules word some peculiar matters of faith and practice, in er to their falvation. This is called revealed ren, as the things knowable concerning God, and vered by duty by the light of nature are called natural re-

itelligent There are also many parts of morality, and natural it deter ion, or many natural duties relating to God, to ours, and to our neighbours, which would be exceedtowards difficult and tedious for the bulk of mankind to more re- out and determine by natural reason; therefore it actice of pleased God, in this sacred book of divine revelad as our 4to express the most necessary duties of this kind in by plain and easy manner, and made them intelligiy united to fouls of the lowest capacity; or they may be very has con- derived thence by the use of reason.

has or As there are some duties much more necessary I should more important than others are, so every duty reevil, and to our application to understand and practise it in wn post portion to its necessity and importance.

10. Where two duties feem to stand in opposition to on in or to other, and we cannot practife both, the less must, because away to the greater, and the omission of the less is inful. So ceremonial laws give way to moral: ent tem- will have mercy and not facrifice.

oral evil, II. In duties of natural religion, we may judge of ation of different degrees of their necessity and importance sinels or rafon, according to their greater or more apparent omit? dency to the honour of God, and the good of men: duty in matters of revealed religion, it is only divine re-

vela-

velation can certainly inform us what is most necessary of our prand most important; yet we may be assisted also in that and stated fearch by the exercises of reason.

12. In actions wherein there may be some scruple a me once bout the duty or lawfulness of them, we should chuse always the fafest side, and abstain as far as we can from the practice of things whose lawfulness we suspect.

13. Points of the greatest importance in human life. or in religion, are generally the most evident, both in the nature of things, and in the word of God; and where points of faith or practice are exceeding difficult to find out, they cannot be exceeding important. This proposition may be proved by the goodness and faithfulness of God, as well as by experience and observation.

14. In some of the outward practices and forms of inciples of religion, as well as human affairs, there is frequently a prefent necessity of speedy action one way or other: in fuch a case, having surveyed arguments on both sides, THE as far as our time and circumstances admit, we must more guide our practice by those reasons which appear most revery probable, and feem at that time to overbalance the reft; to yet always referving room to admit farther light and This is evidence, when fuch occurrences return again. It is a ion, or preponderation of circumstantial arguments that mult burse, determine our actions in a thousand occurrences.

15. We may also determine upon the probable argu- i purpo ments where the matter is of finall confequence, and siencies. would not answer the trouble of seeking after certainty. As the Life and time are more precious than to have a large tions, the there of them laid out in scrupulous inquiries, whether surnish smoaking tobacco, or wearing a periwig be lawful or no. to all to 16. In affairs of greater importance, and which may what

have a long, lafting, and extensive influence on our iss, place future conduct or happiness, we should not take up with probabilities, if certainty may be attained. Where there is any doubt on the mind, in such cases we should sall in the state of the sale. call in the affiftance of all manner of circumstances, and it is the maintain the affiftance of all manner of circumstances, and it is the maintain the maintain

he should

That is

d chuse n from

nan life, ooth in d; and difficult

d faithobserva.

her: in

re should

ecessary of our practice, according to the Roman sentence, in that food flatuendum est semal deliberandum est diu. thould be long in confidering what we must deteruple a ine once for all.

SECT. IV.

forms of inciples and Rules of Judgment in Matters of Luman Prudence. uently a

th fides, THE great defign of prudence, as distinct from we must 1 morality and religion, is to determine and manear most every affair with decency, and to the best advan-

the rest; je. ght and This is decent, which is agreeable to our flate, con-It is a fon, or circumstances, whether it be in behaviour,

at must course, or action.

That is advantageous, which attains the most and greatest inconole argu- i purposes, and avoids the most and greatest incon-

ertainty. As there is infinite variety in the circumstances of a large sons, things, actions, times and places, so we must whether surnished with such general sules as are accommodaon our to all this variety by a wife judgment and discretion:
what is an act of confunmate prudence in some
on our test, places and circumstances, would be consummate up with hin others. Now these rules may be ranged in the Where lowing manner.

we must by the degrees of concernment we have with them, man and udgment udgment udgment and udgment u which which we should proportion our diligence and applica- d we at

tion in any thing that relates to them,

2. We should always consider whether the thing we fort pursue be attainable; whether it be worthy our pur- ow exce fuit; whether it be worthy the degree of pursuit; when twen ther it be worthy of the means used in order to attain shift o it. This rule is necessary both in matters of knowledge and matters of practice.

3. When the advantages and disadvantages, con- using a veniences and inconveniences of any action are balanced to action together, we must finally determine on that side which desophis has the fuperior weight; and the fooner in things ming, which are necessarily and speedily to be done or deter-

mined.

4. If advantages and difadvantages in their own are at nature are equal, then those which are most certain or ach it. likely, as to the even, should turn the scale of our judg- 10. W.

ment, and determine our practice.

5. Where the improbabilities of fuccess or advantage arrow a are greater than the probabilities, it is not prudence to aduct f act or venture. It is proper to inquire whether this be ay learn not the case in almost all lotteries; for they that hold II. Ass thakes will certainly fecure part to themselves; and only mulation the remainder being divided into prizes must render the perfect improbability of gain to each adventurer greater than te may the probability.

6. We should not despise or neglect any real advan- ace, and tage, and abandon the pursuit of it, though we cannot others, attain all the advantages that we defire. This would rufeful be to act like children, who are fond of fomething at for which strikes their fancy most, and sullen and regardles whences of every thing else, if they are not humoured in that in guil

fancy.

7. Though a general knowledge of things be useful examp in science and in human life, yet we should content ourfelves with a more superficial knowledge of those things which have the least relation to our chief end and defign.

8. This rules holds good also in matters of business and practice, as well as in matters of knowledge; and therefore we should not grasp at every thing, left in the end

mitancy o. Tak rans ini the wa od men

mmit s

os of p

T II. RT II. plica- we attain nothing. Persons that either by an inmancy of temper, or by a vain ambition, will purfue ng we gry fort of art and science, study and business, seldom pur- wexcellent in any one of them: and projectors who whe- in twenty schemes, seldom use sufficient application attain faith one of them, or make it turn to good account. wledge of Take heed of delaying and trifling amongst the ans inflead of reaching at the end. Take heed of , con- ding a life in mere speculative studies, which is calllanced to action and employment: dwell not too long in which losophical, mathematical, or grammatical parts of things ming, when your chief defign is law, physic, or deter- mity. Do not spend the day in gathering flowers the way fide, left night come upon you before you ir own me at your journey's end, and then you will not rtain or ach it.

r judg- 10. When the case and circumstances of wise and nd men refemble our case and circumstances, we may vantage now a great deal of instruction towards our prudent ence to aduct from their example, as well as in all cases we this be a learn much from their conversation and advice.

nat hold II. After all other rules remember this, that mere

nd only mulation in matters of human prudence can never be nder the refect director without experience and observation. ter than the may be content therefore in our younger years to mmit some unavoidable mistakes in point of prul advan- ace, and we shall see mistakes enough in the conduct is would ruseful observations, in order to teach us better judgmething at for time to come. Sometimes the mistakes, imregardless dences and follies, which ourselves or others have a guilty of, give us brighter and more effectual lest of prudence, than the wisest counsels, and the fair content examples could ever have done.

of those end and

busines dge; and eft in the

SECT. V.

Principles and Rules of Judgment in Matters of human Teltimony.

HE evidence of human testimony is not so pro- ato pre per to lead us into the knowledge of the effence mion a and inward nature of things, as to acquaint us with the hort, w existence of things, and to inform us of matters of fact ming his both past and present. And though there be a great ; Confi deal of fallibility in the testimony of men, yet there are report of some things we may be almost as certain of, as that the ions wh fun shines, or that five twenties makes an hundred, the fur Who is there at London that knows any thing of the lether t world, but believes that there is fuch a city as Paris in the I France; that the Pope dwells at Rome; that Julius liferent Cæfar was an emperor; or that Luther had a great the m hand in the reformation?

If we observe the following rules, we may arrive at their ac fuch a certainty in many things of human testimony, as a persist that it is morally impossible we should be deceived, i.e. Conf we may obtain a moral certainty.

1. Let us confider whether the thing reported be in Inqui itself possible; if not, it can never be credible, whose- t, unifo ever relates it.

2. Consider farther whether it be probable, whether withou there are any concurring circumstances to prove it, befides the mere testimony of the person that relates it. If an I confess, if these last conditions are wanting, the thing vable pe may be true, but then it ought to have the stronger teltimony to support it.

3. Confider whether the persons who relates it be omes m capable of knowing the truth; whether he be a skilful judge in such matters, if it be a business of art, and a derable nice appearance in nature, or fome curious experiment propo

philotop plain, fer nether he whether eit up 4. Confi as well mind, r ring it, own be By is th

obts rein

wing ea this cor

very fi

Inqui

philosophy. But if it be'a mere occurrence in life, pain, sensible matter of fact, it is enough to inquire wher he who relates it were an eye or ear-witness, whether he himself had it only by hear-say, or can tit up to the original.

Consider whether the narrator be honest and faith-38 well as skilful: whether he hath no biass upon mind, no peculiar gain or profit by believing or remig it, no interest or principle which might warp own belief aside from truth, or which might tempt pro- to prevaricate, to speak falsely, or to give a repreeffence mion a little different from the naked truth of things. ith the hort, whether there be no occasion of suspicion conof fact ming his report.

a great ; Consider whether several persons agree together in ere are report of this matter; and if so, then whether these hat the rons who joined together in their testimony might of the hether they are persons of sufficient skill, probity and aris in the life also inquired, whether they are Julius therent nations, sects, parties, opinions, or interests. a great the more divided they are in all these, the more by is their report to be true, if they agree together rrive at their account, or the fame thing; and especially if ony, as apperful in it without wavering.

ed, i. e. Confider farther, whether the report were capable being easily refuted at first if it had not been true; if

this confirms the testimony.

ed be in Inquire yet again, whether there has been a conwholo- uniform tradition and belief of this matter from whether without any reasonable doubts or contradictions.

ates it. If any part of it hath been doubted by any con-ne thing able persons, whether it has been searched out and ger tel- twads confirmed, by having all the scruples and

es it be a skilful to the other hand, whether there are any derable objections remaining against the belief of a proposition so attested. Whether there be any

thing

buman

RT II.

e it, be-

thing very improbable in the thing itself. Whether any concurrent circumstances seem to oppose it. Whether any person or persons give a positive and plain testimony against it. Whether they are equally skilful, and equally faithful as those who affert it. Whether there be as many or more in number: and whether they might have any fecret biass or influence on them to contradict it.

10. Sometimes the entire filence of a thing may have fomething of weight towards the decision of a doubtful point of history, or a matter of human faith, viz. where the fact is pretended to be public, if the persons who are filent about it were skilful to observe, and could not but know fuch an occurrence; if they were engaged by principle or by interest to have declared it: if they had fair opportunity to speak of it: and these things may bid rat tend to make the matter fuspicious, if it be not very well attefted by positive proof.

11. Remember that in some reports there are more as, men marks of fallhood than of truth, and in others there are more marks of truth than falshood. By a comparifon of all these things together and putting every argument on one fide and the other into the balance, we must be; tho form as good a judgment as we can which fide pre- ted by ponderates; and give a strong or feeble affent or diffent, sany pr or withhold our judgments entirely, according to great with in er leffer evidence, according to more plain or dubious

marks of truth or fallhood.

12. Observe, that in matters of human testimony there is oftentimes a great mixture of truth and fall- wh man hood in the report itself: some parts of the story may be perfectly true, and some utterly false; and some may have fuch a blended confusion of circumstances which was, ho are a little warpt aside from the truth, and misreprefented, that there is need of good skill and accuracy to form a judgment concerning them, and determine which part is true, and which is false. The whole report is not to be believed, because some parts are indubitable true, nor the whole to be rejected, because fome parts are as evident falshoods. We

We ma dion.

RT II.

Observ. gion, ar ich is a le have derived glorio ment cir sforeto enot ur tiples we th their nin the wall agr Ferent ch as, who

Observ. ditude found as to a ever w public f

mit.

We may draw two remarkable observations from this

Observ. I. How certain is the truth of the Christian ion, and particularly of the refurrection of Christ, ich is a matter of fact on which christianity is built! have almost all the concurrent evidences that can derived from human testimony, joining to confirm sglorious truth. The fact is not impossible; conrent circumstances cast a favourable aspect on it; it where sforetold by one who wrought miracles, and therewho and unlikely, nor unexpected: the apostles and first d not liples were eye and ear-witnesses, for they conversed their risen Lord; they were the most plain, honest in themselves: the temptations of worldly inters may did rather discourage their belief and report of it: wall agreed in this matter, though they were men of ment characters; Pharifees and fishermen, and pubmore as, men of Judæa and Galilee, and perhaps Heaere are as, who were early converted: the thing might eafily npari- sebeen disproved, if it were false; it hath been conargu- and by constant tradition and writing down to our e must be; those who at first doubted were afterwards condiffent, wany proof of the contrary, but merely denied the great with impudence in opposition to all these evidences.

imony chiude of things in ancient human history! For any many of these criteria, or marks of credibility, so may found plainly in the more general and public sacts, as to a multitude of particular sacts and circumwhich are, how deficient are they in such evidence as strepredud demand our assent! Perhaps there is nothing the eremine ole reare inberv. II. How weak is the faith which is due to a

We

SECT.

ether Vhe-1 tef-

T II.

RT II.

cilful, ether ether them

have abtful ed by

y had very

abious

are inpecause

let it bour f n a ma

SECT. VI.

Principles and Rules of Judgment in Matters of divine hem, a Tellimony.

S human testimony acquaints us with matter of and ever fact, both patt and present, which lie beyond the ssuch s reach of our own personal notice; so divine testimony, whom is fuited to inform us both of the nature of things, as, diposse well as matters of fact, and of things future, as well as mands

present or past.

Whatfoever is dictated to us by God himfelf, or by thency men who are divinely inspired, must be believed with Upon full affurance. Reason demands us to believe whatsom must ever divine revelation distates: for God is perfectly the cowife, and cannot be deceived; he is faithful and good, as of prand will not deceive his creatures: and when reason are divin has found out the certain marks or credentials of divine ion can testimony to belong to any proposition, there remains revealed then no farther inquiry to be made, but only to find Divin out the true fense and meaning of that which God has and to revealed, for reason itself demands the belief of it.

Now divine testimony or revelation requires these fol-

lowing credentials.

1. That the propositions or doctrines revealed be as cre not inconsistent with reason; for intelligent creatures wisble can never be bound to believe real inconfistencies. If the Therefore we are fure the Popish doctrine of transubtrances stantiation is not a matter of divine revelation, because spose dit is contrary to all our senses and our reason, even in such a their proper exercises.

God can dictate nothing but what is worthy of him- was felf, and agreeable to his own nature and divine perfections. Now many of these perfections are discovered
by the light of reason, and whatsover is inconsistent and the with these perfections cannot be a divine revelation.

medent to ded to ordered uan: b es, and ahatfoer s of the

powers

ch is tr

let it be noted, that in matters of practice toyour fellow-creatures, God may command us to a manner contrary to what reason would direct endent to that command. So Abraham was comded to offer up his fon a facrifice: the Ifraelities ordered to borrow of the Egyptians without paydivine them, and to plunder and flay the inhabitants of because God has a sovereign right to all s, and can with equity disposses his creatures of atter of and every thing which he has given them, and espeand the fuch finful creatures as mankind; and he can aptimony, whom he pleases to be the instruments of this ngs, as dipossession or deprivation. So that these divine well as mands are not really inconfiftent with right reason: hatfoever is fo, cannot be believed where that in-, or by Mency appears.

d with Upon the same account the whole doctrine of rewhatform must be consistent with itself; every part of it erfectly be confistent with each other; and though in I good, so of practice latter revelation may repeal or cancel reason per divine laws, yet in matters of belief no latter redivine ion can be inconfistent with what has been hereto-

emains revealed.

to find Divine revelation must be confirmed by some od has mand supernatural appearances, some extraordinary ese fol. hecies fulfilled. There must be some demonstraof the presence and power of God, superior to all powers of nature, or the settled connection which aled be has creator, has established among his creatures in eatures wifible world.

encies. If there are any fuch extraordinary and wonderful ransub- arances and operations brought to contest with, or because prose divine revelation, there must, and always will wen in such a superiority on the side of that revelation this truly divine, as to manifest that God is there. of hims was the cause when the Egyptian forcerers conne perted with Moses. But the wonders which Moses
covered with did so far transcend the power of the magicians,
insistent and them confess, It was the singer of God.

on. But

5. These divine appearances or attestions to revelation must be either known to ourselves, by our own personal observation of them, or they must be sufficiently attested by others, according to the principles and rules by which matters of human faith are to be judged in the foregoing festion.

Some of those, who lived in the nations and ages imples an where miracles were wrought, were eye and ear-witnef. prefent, fes of the truth and divinity of the revelation; but we who live in these distant ages, must have them derived THOU down to us by just and incontestible history and tradi- thing tion. We also, even in these distant times, may see the many accomplishments of some antient predictions, and there- an faith by obtain that advantage towards the confirmation of sjudge our faith in divine revelation beyond what those perfons enjoyed who lived when the predictions were pronounced.

6. There is another very confiberable confirmation of the we confirmation of the weak divine testimony; and that is, when the dostrines in this themselves, either on the publication or the belief of rof ca them produced supernatural effects. Such were the ences, miraculous powers which were communicated to be lievers in the first ages of christianity, the conversion of where the Jews or Gentiles, the amazing success of the gosple is and of Christ without human aid, its power in changing the hearts and lives of ignorant and vicious Heathens, and may in wicked and profane creatures in all nations, and filling cause them with a spirit of virtue, piety, and goodness as co Wheresoever persons have found this effect in their preti own hearts, wrought by a belief of the gospel of Christ to conthey have a witness in themselves of the truth of it, and tell w abundant reason to believe it divine.

Of the difference between reason and revelation, and its as in what sense the latter is superior, see more in Chap. Il tely a Sect. 9. and Chap. IV. Direct. 6.

Ther med five ain in hight o dar was forete

SECT. om, an

ART II. IT II.

revelaour own ficiently and rules

adged in

239

SECT. VII.

nd ages riples and Rules of Judging concerning I bings past, -witnes- present, and to come, by the mere Use of Reason. but we

derived THOUGH we attain the greatest assurance of things past and suture by divine faith, and learny see the many matters of fact, both past and present, by d therean faith, yet reason also may, in a good degree, assistation of pjudge of matters of fact both past, present, and to ose perg, by the following principles.

There is a fystem of beings round about us, of the we ourselves are a part, which we call the world; oblighted in this world there is a course of nature, or a settled policy of causes, effects, antecedents, concomitants, concomitants with the author of nature doth ary but upon very important occasions.

There is a system of beings round about us, of the world; the world; on a settled world; or a settled with the author of nature doth wary but upon very important occasions.

There is a system of beings round about us, of the world; or a settled world; or a sett

there antecedents, concomitants and confequences, as ging the and effects, figns and things fignified, subjects and filling are necessarily connected with each other, may infer the causes from the effects, and the effects are causes, the antecedents from the consequences, as consequences from antecedents, &c. and there is consequences from antecedents, &c. and there is consequences from antecedents, that astronomers to come. It is by this principle, that astronomers it what day and hour the sun and moon were elian, and five hundred years ago, and predict all suture elians. It is as long as the world shall stand. They can tell what minute the sun rises or sets this day at min in China, or what altitude the dog-star had at hight or midnoon in Rome, on the day when Julius for was slain. Gardeners, upon the same principle, some succession, and the ploughman knows the weeks of harvest: we sure, if there be a chicken, there was an egg: if there

there be a rainbow, we are certain it rains not fare upon the rif we behold a tree growing on the earth, we know for a famp

has naturally a root under ground.

3. Where there is a necessary connection between things fignified, we know also, that like causes is books, have like effects, and proportionable causes will have on any proportionable effects, contrary causes will have on any and metrary effects; and observing men may form many and metrary effects; and observing men may form many and metrary effects, &c. are not entirely the same.

4. Where there is but a probable and uncertain on the island nection between antecedents, concomitants and concom them, quences, we can give but a conjecture, or a probable metron determination. If the clouds gather, or the weather mes, coinglass sinks, we suppose it will rain: if a man spit bloc mor disp frequently with coughing, we suppose his lungs a mings, by hurt: if very dangerous symptoms appear, we expect Thus I I his death.

our jud 5. Where causes operate freely, with a liberty of it was prince difference to this or the contrary, there we cannot a doursel tainly know what the effects will be for it feems the conce be contingent, and the certain knowledge of it belong judgment only to God. This is the case in the greatest part of worth

human actions.

writers 6. Yet wise men by a just observation of humanity in nature, will give very probable conjectures in this mature between ter, also concerning things past, or things future, because human nature in all ages and nations has such a considerent formity to itself. By a knowledge of the tempers express a men and their present circumstances, we may be able to every to give a happy guess what their conduct will be, an allay a what will be the event, by an observation of the like ming a cases in former times. This made the emperor Mar island I case. Antoninus to say "By looking back into history." cus Antoninus to fay, " By looking back into history " and confidering the fate and revolutions of govern-" ments, you will be able to form a guess, and almost " prophely upon the future. For things past, present " and to come, are strangely uniform, and of a colour " and are commonly cast in the same mould. So that ee upon

PART IT. ot faro upon the matter, forty years of human life may ferve

of faro open the matter, forty years of human life may ferve to know for a fample of ten thousand." Collier's Antoninus, ook VII. Sect. 50.

There are also some other principles of judging nees, in the needing the past actions of men in former ages, becauses to books, histories and traditions, which are mediums will be conveying human testimony; as we may infer the nave of all and magnificence of the ancients, by some fraganyjudents of their statues, and ruins of their buildings. On, who seknow what Roman legions came into Great Britain me.

The finance of bricks dug out of the earth in some parts than on the island, with the marks of some particular legion and contour them, which must have been employed there in probable them, which must have been employed there in probable them, which must have been employed there in probable them, of the information of disprove some pretended traditions and historical ungs a mings, by medals, images, pictures, urns, &c.

Thus I have gone through all those particular objects four judgment which I sirst proposed, and have laid try of it was principles and rules by which we may safely conmitted of ourselves therein. There is a variety of other observation of the same disconcerning which we are occasionally called to pass

feems its concerning which we are occasionally called to pass to below judgment, viz. The characters of persons, the value of particular writers, matters of wit, oratory, poerly, matters of this may be between man and man, which would be endless to because mumerate. But if the general and special rules of the action which have been mentioned in these two last moments which have been mentioned in these two last moments are treasured up in the mind, and wrought into the able to every temper of our souls in our younger years, they be, an allay a foundation for just and regular judgment conthe like ming a thousand special occurrences in the religious, or Mar all and learned life.

X

history governd almon prefent

colour So that « upon

1. Som

THE

THIRD PART

OF

OF REASON AND SYLLOGISM.

S the first work of the mind is perception, where mner, b by our ideas are framed, and the fecond is judg. rdicate, ment, which joins or disjoins our ideas, and forms a compar proposition, so the third operation of the mind is reason ling how ing, which joins feveral propositions together; and to ju makes a fyllogism, that is, an argument whereby we are temfelves wont to infer fomethings that is lefs known, from now not truths which are more evident.

In treating of this subject, let us consider more parti- see wit cularly.

1. The nature of a fyllogism, and the parts of which ther of it is composed,

2. The feveral kinds of fyllogifins, with particular pped, v rules relating to them.

THEN

IF the

would rations ence, or f aloning, owners of thity of e truth ne C, or mal; bu en I coi

So if 1

and fa

3. The

1. The doctrine of fyllogisms, of faise reasoning, tother with the means of avoiding them, and the manner folving or answering them.

L. Some general rules to direct our reasoning.

CHAP. I.

THE NATURE OF A SYLLOGISM, AND THE PARTS OF WHICH IT IS COMPOSED.

If the mere perception and comparison of two ideas would shew us whether they agree or disagree; then rational propositions would be matters of intellimee, or first principles, and there would be no use of aloning, or drawing any confequences. It is the narmess of the human mind which introduces the ne-May of reasoning. When we are unable to judge of truth or falshood of a proposition in an immediate where anner, by the mere contemplation of its subject and s judg, redicate, we are thus constrained to use a medium, and forms 100mpare each of them with some third idea, that by reason ing how far they agree or disagree with it, we may be r; and he to judge how far they agree or disagree among we are amselves: as, if there are two lines A and B, and I n, from now not whether they are equal or no, I take a third aC, or an inch, and apply it to each of them; if it re parti- see with them both, then I infer that A and B are al; but if it agree with one and not with the other, a I conclude A and B are unequal: if it agree with of which ther of them, there can be no comparison.

o if the question be, whether God must be worpred, we feek a third idea, suppose the idea of a crea-

and fay. 3. The

PART III.

X 2

Our

Our Creator must be worshipped. God is our Creator.

Therefore God must be worshipped.

The comparison of this third idea, with the two distinct parts of the question, usually requires two propo. fitions, which are called the premisses: the third propo. fition, which is drawn from them, is the conclusion, wherein the question itself is answered, and the subject and predicate joined either in the negative or the affirmative.

The foundation of all affirmative conclusions is laid in this general truth, that so far as two proposed ideas agree to any third idea, they agree also among them- logisin, selves. The character of a creator agrees to God, and worship agrees to a creator, therefore worship agrees to ons, doe God.

The foundation of all negative convulsions is this, lough, a that where one of the two proposed ideas agrees with a pical to third idea, and the other difagrees with it, they mult needs disagree so far also with one another; as, if no Note for finners are happy, and if angels are happy, then angels aced fir are not finners.

Thus it appears what is the strict and just notion of a fyllogism: it is a sentence or argument made up of three propositions so disposed, as that the last is ne- The fo ceffarily inferred from those which go before, as in the the proinstances which have been just mentioned.

In the constitution of a syllogism two things may be tom ther considered, (viz.) the matter and the form of it,

The matter of which a fyllogism is made up, is three other, is propositions; and these three propositions are made un trefore, of three ideas, or terms variously joined. The three rules terms are called the remote matter of a syllogism; and g, such the three propositions the proxime or immediate matter to frea of it.

The three terms are named the major, the minor, here is a and the middle.

The predicate of the conclusion is called the major aced in term, because it is generally of a larger extension than the minor term, or the subject. The major and minor terms are called the extremes. The

The n ned in be conn he concl s fometin The pr onclusion alled the tion con

with the ims, of

onclusion

Note,

the las pled and

afoning, The ac herefore

erlians t

is laid

y mult

The middle term is the third idea invented and difofed in two propositions in such a manner as to shew e connection between the major and minor term in o dif- he conclusion; for which reason the middle term itself propo. sometimes called the argument.

propo- The proposition which contains the predicate of the lusion, onclusion, connected with the middle term, is usually subject fled the major proposition, whereas the minor propothe af- in connects the middle term with the subject of the onclusion, and is sometimes called the assumption.

dideas Note, This exact distinction of the several parts of a them- Hogifin, and of the major and minor terms connected od, and in the middle term, in the major and minor proposiis this, tough, all fyllogisms, whatsoever have something anawith a pical to it. grees to ons, does chiefly belong to simple or categorical syllo-

s, if no Note farther, that the major proposition is generally otion of which and represented.

is ne- The form of a syllogism is the framing and disposing in the the premisses according to art, or just principles of aloning, and the regular inference of the conclusion. may be form them.

The act of reasoning, or inferring one thing from a. is three other, is generally expressed and known by the particle made un trefore, when the argument is formed according to m; and is such casual particles as for, because, manisest the matter of reasoning, as well as the illative particles then and berefore: and wherefoever any of these words are used, minot here is a perfect fyllogifin expressed or implied, though e major intended in regular forms.

on than d mino

Th

CHAP. II.

OF THE VARIOUS KINDS OF SYLLOGISMS, WITH IS MAY
PARTICULAR RULES RELATING TO THEM.

SYLLOGISMS are divided into various kinds. The gent by them, according to the nature and composition of imed or them, or according to the middle term, which is used med or to prove the question.

SECT. I.

Of universal and particular Syllogisms, both negative and affirmative.

CCORDING to the question which is to be proved, so syllogisms are divided into universal affirmative, universal negative, particular affirmative, and particular negative. This is often called a division of syllogisms drawn from the conclusions; for so many forts of conclusions there may be which are marked with the letter A, E, I, O.

In an universal affirmative syllogism, one idea is proved, universally to agree with another, and may be comuniversally affirmed of it, as every sin deserves death, aposition every unlawful wish is a sin; therefore every unlawful single sy wish deserves death.

In

In an undifagree as denie as denie id; all price; the pleasing larticular ms may dals, and ale in the genticular immed or med or dich are

Note, In lefinite problems before

a. So
and an
ich is co
with de

Of p

han univerfal negative fyllogism, one idea is proved difagree with another idea univerfally, and may be denied of it, as, no injustice can be pleasing to d; all perfecution for the fake of conscience is inice; therefore no perfecution for conscience sake can pleasing to God.

Particular affirmative, and particular negative fyllo-WITH ms may be easily understood by what is said of unifals, and there will be fufficient examples given of all in the next fection.

kinds. The general principle upon which these universal and proved ticular fyllogisms are founded is this; whatsoever is tion of med or denied univerfally of any idea, may be afis used med or denied of all the particular kinds or beings th are contained in the extension of that universal 2. So the defart of death is affirmed universally of and an unlawful wish is one particular kind of fin, ich is contained in the universal idea of sin; therethe defart of death may be affirmed concerning an lawful with; and fo of the rest.

Note, In the doctrine of fyllogisms, a singular and an Efinite proposition are ranked among universals, as before observed in the doctrine of propositions.

SECT. II.

Of plain, simple Syllogisms and their Rules.

idea is PHE next division of syllogisms is into single and compound. This is drawn from the nature and position of them.

nlawful ingle syllogisms are made up of three propositions:

In

regative

to be niversal ve, and

ision of many

marked

may be

death.

T III MT III.

248

compound fyllogisms contain more than three proposisingle full origins for diffin Single for is

Single fyllogisms, for distinction's sake, may be distinction is

vided into * fample, complex and conjunctive.

Those are properly called simple or categorical syllogiaccide gifins, which are made up of three plain, fingle, or cette happen gorical propositions, wherein the middle term is evident ly and regularly joined with one part of the question the major proposition, and with the other in the minor know 4 whence there follows a plain fingle conclusion; a systake every human virtue is to be fought with diligence in a large large to the produce of a large prudence is a human virtue; therefore prudence is deny all be fought diligently.

Note, Though the terms of propositions may be complex; yet where the composition of the whole are the composition. gument is thus plain, simple, and regular, it is proper cicularly called a simple syllogism, since the complection does not the tern belong to the fyllogistic form of it.

Simple fyllogifins have feveral rules belonging to them, which being observed, will generally secure i from falle inferences: but these rules being founded at will t four general axioms, it is necessary to mention these are the two ioms before-hand, for the use of those who will ententhe far into the speculative reason of all these rules.

Axiom 1. Particular propositions are contained taken universals, and may be inferred from them; but un are spo versals are not contained in particulars, nor can be in ferred from them.

Axiom 2. In all universal propositions, the subject reason universal: in all particular propositions, the subject inever t particular.

Ixiom 3 avs to b

ne recipi

The ru

the fame ion is c dicate w ether th and fo ne robbe

Rule II. en more

Rule III affirma

Axion

^{*} As ideas and propositions are divided into sing conclusions and compound, and single are subdivided into simples not and complex; fo there are the fame divisions and full another divisions applied to fyllogisms.

ART HE III. proposition 3. In all affirmative propositions, the prediproposition is restrained by the subject, and therefore it is by be days to be esteemed as a particular idea. It is by cal fyllo reaccident, if it ever be taken univerfally, and canor cete happen but in fuch univerfal or fingular propositions evident re reciprocal.

The predicate of a negative proposition is minor taken universally, for in its whole extension it is ays taken universally, for in its whole extension it is stilligence and of the subject. If we say no stone is vegetable, and in the subject is the subject of vegetation concerning stones.

The rules of fimple, regular fyllogisms are these.

may be taken twice whole ar-inderly but once at least universally. For if the proper icularly, but once at least universally. For if the does not the term be taken for two different part or kinds of he same universal idea, then the subject of the conion is compared with one of these parts, and the nging that with another part, and this will never shew ecure ther that subject and predicate agree or disagree: anded at will then be four distinct terms in the syllogism, these are the two parts of the question will not be compared vill enter the same third idea; as if I say, some men are piand some men are robbers, I can never infer that robbers are pious, for the middle term, men, beained taken twice particularly, it is not the fame men but unware spoken of in the major and minor propositions, an be in

Rule II. The terms in the conclusion must never be m more univerfally than they are in the premiffes. subject reason is derived from the first axiom, that generals subject inever be inferred from particulars.

kule III. A negative conclusion cannot be proved by affirmative premisses. For when the two terms of to fine conclusions are united or agree to the middle term, to fine less not follow by any means that they disagree with and fub another.

Axion

Rule

Rule IV. If one of the premisses be negative, the see a conclusion must be negative. For if the middle ten see a be denied of either part of the conclusion, it may she at Art of that the terms of the conclusion disagree, but it can never shew that they agree.

Rule V. If either of the premisses be particular, the conclusion must be particular. This may be proved for the most part from the first axiom.

These two last rules are sometimes united in this single sentence. The conclusion always follows the weaker part of the premisses. Now negatives and particulars are counted inserior to affirmatives and universals.

Rule VI. From two negative premisses nothing can the combe concluded. For they seperate the middle term both ions about the subject and predicate of the conclusion, and context when two ideas disagree to a third, we cannot infer that endead they either agree or disagree with each other.

Yet where the negation is a part of the middle tern my real the two premisses may look like negatives according to most in the words, but one of them is affirmative in sense; as the perhaps what has no thought cannot reason; but a worm has; i. e. no thought; therefore a worm cannot reason. The atters i minor proposition does really affirm the middle tern of the concerning the subject. (viz.) a worm is what has need in the thought, and thus it is properly in this syllogism an along be firmative proposition.

Rule VII. From two particular premisses nothing tuse the can be concluded. This rule depends chiefly on the muity of first axiom.

A more laborious and accurate proof of these rule and judgand the derivation of every part of them in all possible to tracases, from the foregoing axioms, require so much time sigures and are of so little importance to assist the right use out lets reason impers

RT III III. ive, then, that it is needless to insist longer upon them Art of Thinking, Part III. Chap. III. &c.

SECT. III.

t it can

ular, the proved

in this ows the

ves and of the Moods and Figures of simple Syllogisms.

MPLE fyllogifms are adorned and furrounded in hing can the common books of Logic with a variety of inrm bottoms about moods and figures, wherein by the artifion, and contexture of the letters A, E, I, and O, men nfer the endeavoured to transform Logic, or the Art of bining, into a fort of mechanism, and to teach boys illogize, or frame argument and refute them, withdle tern my real inward knowledge of the question. ording whost in the same manner as school-boys have been ense; as t perhaps in their trissing years to compose Latin form has; i. e. by certain tables and squares, with a variety n. Thatters in them, wherein by counting every fixth, dle terroth, or eight letter, certain Latin words should be t has need in the form of hexameters or pentameters; and in an a may be done by those who know nothing of Latin reries.

confefs, some of these logical subtilties have much nothin sufe than those verifying tables, and there is much y on the suity discovered in determining the precise number plogishes that may be formed in every figure, and the reasons of them; yet the light of nature, elerule od judgment, and due consideration of things tend I possible to true reasoning, than all the trappings of moods ach time figures.

ht use a sur lets this book be charged with too great defects realor imperfections, it may be proper to give thort hints

PART HE MAT III.

of that which some logicians have spent so much tim In the sec and paper upon.

fboth the All the possible combinations of three of the letter laster, Carr. A, E, I, O, to make three propositions amount to fix inegative of ty-four; but fifty four of them are excluded from forming true fyllogifms, by the seven rules in the fore it. No lian going fection: the remaining ten are variously divert. Every The figure of a fyllogism is the proper disposition of the parts of the question.

The reads fied by figures and moods into fourteen fyllogisms. Therefore

the middle term with the parts of the question.

A mood is the regular determination of proposition The third according to their quantity and quality, i. e. their un bject of be verfal or particular affirmation or negation; which an arepti, Fe fignified by certain artificial words wherein the conford it admit nants are neglected, and these four vowels A, E, I, O are only regarded.

There are generally counted three figures.

In the first of them the middle term is the subject. Therefore the major proposition, and the predicate of the mind This contains four moods (viz.) Barbara, Celarer Ileave th Darii, Ferio. And it is the excellency of this figure The most that all forts of questions or conclusions may be provent Latin v by it, whether A, E, I, or O, i. e. universal or particular lar, affirmative or negative, as,

Bar- Every wicked man is truly miserable;

All tyrants are wicked men:

Therefore all tyrants are truly miserable.

Ce- He that is always in fear is not happy;

la- Covetous men are always in fear:

rent. Therefore covetous men are not happy.

Da- Whatsoever furthers our falvation is good for u

Some afflictions further our falvation:

Therefore some afflictions are good for us.

Fe- Nothing that must be repented of is truly desirab in is pred

Some pleasures must be repented of:

Therefore there are fome pleasures which are n concludir 0. truly desirable.

a- Whofe p- All the

Barbara. Cafure, G Tertia De Adjungen

The tpeci In the fir universal, in the fee and one m, must b

In the thi concusio There is

tted in the

man life,

HI PART III. tim In the second figure the middle term is the predicate south the premisses: this contains four moods, (viz.) tter lafare, Camestres, Festino, Baroco, and it admits only for inegative conclusions; as,

fore iz- No liar it fit to be believed; veri. Every good christian is fit to be believed:

from

1,0

nino

Therefore no good christian is a liar.

on of The reader may eafily form examples of the rest. ition. The third figure requires that the middle term be the un bject of both the premisses. It has fix moods, (viz.) charlarepti, Felapton, Disamis, Datisi, Bocardo, Ferison; conford it admits only of particular conclusions; as,

1- Whofoever loves God shall be faved; All the lovers of God have their imperfections: ed Therefore some who have imperfections shall be saved.

laren Heave the reader to form examples of the rest. figure The moods of these three figures are comprised in provent Latin verses. articu

Barbara Celarent, Darii, Ferio quoque prima. Cafare, Camestres, Festino, Baroco, secunda. lertia Darepti sibi vendicat, atque Felapton. Adjungens Difamis, Datifi, Brocado Ferison.

The special rules of the three figures are thefe. In the first figure the major proposition must always universal, and the minor affirmative.

In the fecond figure also the major must be univerand one of the premisses, together with the conclufor min, must be negative.

In the third figure the minor must be affirmative, and

concusion always particular.

There is also a fourth figure, wherein the middle m is predicated in the major proposition, and subare moncluding, and is never used in the sciences, nor in man life, and therefore I call it useless.—Some logicians loicgians will allow it to be nothing else but a mere in- willians are version of the first figure; the moods of it, (viz.) Bara- ous men; lipton or Barbari, Celantes, Dabitis, Fapismo, Ferison, Javen. are not worthy to be explained by one example.

SECT. IV.

Of complex Syllogisms.

T is not the mere use of complex terms in a syllo- IV. Or i gism that gives it this name, though one of the svanish terms is usually complex: but those are properly called gun to var complex fyllogisms, in which the middle term is connected with the whole subject, or the whole predicate V. Or m in two distinct propositions, but is intermingled and meral under compared with them by parts, or in a more consuled identifiend manner, in different forms of speech; as,

The fun is a fenfeless being: The Persians worshipped the sun:

Therefore the Persians worshipped a senseless being present it Here the predicate of the conclusion is worshipped is at noor a fenfeless being, part of which is joined with the mid-Beside al. dle term, fun in the major proposition, and the other logisims w

part in the minor. Though this fort of argument is confessed to be entexceeding tangled, or confused, and irregular, if examined by the Christiani rules of simple syllogisms; yet there is a great variety tote: St. of arguments used in books of learning, and in common west us to life, whose consequence is strong and evident, and which No hum must be ranked under this head; as,

I. Exclusive propositions will form a complex argue. The fath ment; as, pious men are the only favourities of heaven; therefore true and on. chrif

II. Excep logifins; a on; the nu me not to

III. Or, c etter than erefore vir ly a mi dove; the a minute.

lius should the fun v at the mo

rular titles

orm is an By or a w I. PART III.

of thus, hypocrites are not a. ous men; therefore hypocrites are no favourites of n, aven.

I. Exceptive propositions will make such complex logifins; as, none but physicias came to the confultain the nurse is no physician; therefore the nurse me not to the confultation.

III. Or, comparative propositions; as, knowledge is ther than riches; virtue is better than knowledge: refore virtue is better than riches. Or thus, a dove If fly a mile in a minute: a swallow flies swifter than dove; therefore a fwallow will fly more than a mile a minute.

llo- IV. Or inceptive and defitive propositions: as, the the swanish as the fun rises: but the fogs have not yet illed gun to vanish: therefore the sun is not yet risen.

cate V. Or modal propositions; as, it is necessary that a and meral understand the art of war: but Caius does not used identiand the art of war; therefore it is necessary aius should not be a general. Or thus, a total eclipse the fun would cause darkness at noon; it is possible at the moon at that time may totally eclipse the sun:

mesore it is possible that the moon may cause dark
pped as at noon.

mid-Beside all these, there is a great number of complex

other logifins which can hardly be reduced under any par-

what titles, because the forms of human language are entexceeding various; as, y the Christianity requires us to believe what the Apostles ariety note: St. Paul is an apostle: therefore christianity re-

nmon wires us to believe what St. Paul wrote.

which No human artist can make an animal; a fly or a orm is an animal: therefore no human artist can make

By or a worm.

The father always lived in London; the fon always aven sed with the father: therefore the fon always lived in a true andon. chrif

Y 2

The bloffom foon follows the full bud; this peartree hath many full buds: therefore it will shortly have many bloffoms.

One hail-stone never falls alone; but a hail-stone fell

just now; therefore others fell with it.

Thunder feldom comes without lightning; but it thundered yesterday: therefore probably it lightned also,

Moses wrote before the Trojan war; the first Greek historians wrote after the Trojan war: therefore the THOSE

first Greek historians wrote after Moses*.

Now the force of all these arguments is so evident a parts, v and conclusive, that though the form of the fyllogism h particle be never fo irregular, yet we are fure the inferrences are both, ar just and true; for the premisses, according to the reason nerally the of things, do really contain the conclusion that is de at parts of duced from them, which is a never-failing test of true cassertion fyllogisms, as shall be shewn here-after. logisms, as shall be shewn here-after.

The truth of most of these complex syllogisms may in the

also be made to appear (if needful) by reducing them the conclusion either to regular, fimple fyllogisms, or to some of the at definiti conjunctive fyllogisms, which are described in the next chief an fection. I will give an instance only in the first, and edisjunction leave the rest to exercise the ingenuity of the reader.

The first argument may be reduced to a fyllogism in I. The

Barbara, thus,

The fun is a fenfeless being;

What the Persians worshipped is the sun:

Therefore what the Persians worshipped is a sense wild is gov less being. Though the conclusive force of this argu- The sylle ment is evident without this reduction.

one o

tole majo litions; a Provider

SECT. in, where

I. When e confeque

e conclusi orld exists withou

^{*} Perhaps fome of these syllogisms may be reduced the precede to those which I call connexive afterwards; but it is a position little moment to what species they belong; for it is not asequent. any formal fet of rules, so much as the evidence and 2. When force of reason, that must determine the truth or fall oposition, hood of all fuch fyllogisms.

arave

fell

t it

llo.

SECT. V.

Of conjunctive Syllogifms.

the PHOSE are called conjunctive fyllogisms wherein one of the premisses, namely the major, has distent a parts, which are joined by a conjunction, or some that particle of speech. Most times the major or minor, are both, are explicitly compound propositions: and also aerally the major proposition is made up of two distent parts or propositions, in such a manner, as that by true castertion of one in the minor, the other is either asset or denied in the conclusion; or by the denial of may a in the minor, the other is either afferted or denied them the conclusion. It is hardly possible indeed to fit any the met definition to include all the kinds of them; but next a chief amongst them are the conditional syllogism, and a disjunctive, the relative, and the connexive.

In I. The conditional or hypothetical fyllogisms is tose major, or minor, or both, are conditional proditions; as, if there be a God, the world is governed Providence; but there is a God: therefore the onse wild is governed by providence.

The fyllogisms admit two forts of true argumenta-

CT. where the major is conditional.

I. When the antecedent is afferted in the minor, that confequence may be afferted in the conclusion; such use the preceding example. This is called arguing from is a position of the antecedent to the position of the not mequent.

and 2. When the confequent is contradicted in the minor fall sposition, that the antecedent may be contradicted in a conclusion; as, if atheists are in the right, then the wild exists without a cause; but the world does not without a cause: therefore atheists are not in the

Y 3

right. This is called arguing from the removing of the

confequent to the removing of the antecedent.

To remove the antecedent or confequent here does not merely fignify the denial of it, but the contradiction of it; for the mere denial of it by a contrary proposition will not make a true syllogism, as appears thus: if every creature be reasonable, every brute is reasonable; but no brute is reasonable: therefore no creature is reasonable. Whereas, if you say in the minor, but every brute is not reasonable: then it would follow truly in the conclusion: therefore every creature is not reafonable.

When the antecedent or confequent are negative atly emb propositions, they are removed by an affirmative; as, if y is hype there be no God, then the world does not discover at advanta creating wisdom; but the world does discover creating wisdom: therefore there is a God. In this instance II. A disj the confequent is removed or contradicted in the minor, inton is d that the antecedent may be contradicted in the conclu- in ellipsis So in this argument of St. Paul, I Cor. xv. rit move " If the dead rife not, Christ died in vain; but Christ A disjunce " did not die in vain: therefore the dead shall rife." ts thus:

There are also two forts of false arguing, viz. (I.) Inter; but from the removing of the antecedent to the removing to it is fur of the confequent; or, (2.) from the position of the The true confequent to the position of the antecedent. Ex- nof one,

amples of these are easily framed; as,

(1.) If a minister were a prince, he must be honour- mot be true ed; but a minister is not a prince:

Therefore he must not be honoured.

(2.) If a minister were a prince, he must be honour- in to be r ed; but a minister must be honoured:

Therefore he is a prince.

Who fees not the ridiculous falshood of both these foldiers; fyllogisms?

Observ. I. If the subject of the antecedent and the consequent be the same, then the hypothetical syllogism three to may be turned into a categorical one; as, if Cæfar be a

ing, he mus re &c. TI honoured

Observ. I

mal, the co th be con the worf ts worship : therefo eidolaters. ided as in

> one or in major fl

wants be; ats shall 1 diers are f Argumen ul be refe

three m

III. A re

king,

es C-

0-

lly

2-

ng

nce

rifm

ing,

he must be honoured; but Cæsar is a king: there-&c. This may be changed thus; every king must honoured; but Cæsar is a king: therefore, &c.

Observ. II. If the major proposition only be condis: mal, the conclusion is categorical: but if the minor or le; the conditional, the conclusion is also conditional; is the worshippers of images are idolaters; if the Pae- is worship a crucifix, they are worshippers of an imtherefore, if the Papifts worthip a crucifix, they idolaters. But this fort of fyllogisms should be aded as much as possible in disputation, because they we atly embarras a cause: the syllogisms whose major if y is hypothetical, are very frequent, and used with ver at advantage.

II. A disjunctive fyllogism is when the major proor, thion is disjunctive; as, the earth moves in a circle lu- in ellipsis; but it does not move in a circle; therexv. rit moves in an ellipfis.

rift A disjunctive fyllogism may have many members or ts thus: it is either fpring, fummer, autumn, or I.) Inter; but it is not fpring, autumn, or winter: thereing te it is fummer.

the The true method of arguing here is from the afferex- nof one, to the denial of the rest, or from the denial one or more, to the affertion of what remains; but major should be so framed that several parts of it ur- mot be true together, though one of them is evidently

III. A relative fyllogism requires the major proposiour- to be relative; as, where Christ is, there shall his rants be; but Christ is in heaven; therefore his serats shall be there also. Or, as is the captain, so are hele foldiers; but the captain is a coward: therefore his diers are fo too.

Arguments that relate to the doctrine of proportion. the the be referred to this head; as, as two are to four, fo three to fix; but two make the half of four: therebe a three make the half of fix.

Befides

Besides these, there is another fort of syllogism which (7.) The is very natural and common, and yet authors take very father is little notice of it, call it by an improper name, and del cribe it very defectively, and that is,

IV. A connective fyllogism. This some have called ; devils copulative; but it does by no means require the major ace. to be a copulative nor a compound proposition (accordance in the major accordance). ing to the definition given of it, Part II. Chap. II logifins, b Sect, 6.) but it requires that two or more ideas be so s, compar connected either in the complex subject or predicate of the major, that if one of them be affirmed or denied in minimize, the minor, common fense will naturally shew us what we may will be the consequence. It would be very tedious and me and pa useless to frame particular rules about them, as will appear by the following examples, which are very various, ins, take and yet may be farther multiplied.

(1,) Meekness and humility always go together regorical Moses was a man of meekness: therefore Moses was we the tru also humble. Or we may form this minor, Pharaoh werted in was no humble man: therefore he was not meek.

(2.) No man can ferve God and Mammon! the covetous man serves Mammon: therefore he cannot Observ. I ferve God. Or the minor may run thus, the true cient or Christian serves God; therefore he does not serve science w Mammon.

(3.) Genius must join with study to make a great ic: the c man; Florino has genius but he cannot study: there- mosition fore Florino will never be a great man. Or thus, sument u Quintus studies hard but has no genius: therefore Quintus will never be a great man.

(4.) Gulo cannot make a dinner without flesh and fish; there was no fish to be gotten to-day: therefore

Gulo this day cannot make a dinner.

(5.) London and Paris are in different latitudes; the latitude of London is 51 deg. I half: therefore this cannot be the latitude of Paris.

(6.) Joseph and Benjamin had one mother; Rachel WE was the mother of Joseph: therefore she was Benja- W min's mother too.

(8.) Prid we innoces

Observ. I

by con

ms, and

HE AT III. hich (7.) The father and the fon are of equal stature; very father is fix feet high: therefore the fon is fix feet

def. 0. 8.) Pride is inconfistent with innocence; angles ne innocence: therefore they have no pride. Or alled 15; devils have pride: therefore they have not inno-

najor nce. lmight multiply other instances of these connexive . II logifins, by bringing in all forts of exceptive, exclue 6 s, comparative, and modal propositions into the comte of ition of them; for all these may be wrought into ed in njunctive, as well as into simple syllogisms, and therewhat we may render them complex. But it would waste and me and paper without equal profit.

lap. Concerning these various kinds of conjunctive syllo-

ious, ins, take these two observations.

efore

and efore

ides:

this

Observ. I. Most of them may be trasformed into ther, regorical fyllogisms by those who have a mind to was ove the truh of them that way; or they may be eafily rach werted into each other by changing the forms of

the nnot Observ. II. These conjunctive syllogisms are seldom true scient or faulty in the form of them; for such a ferve science would be discovered at the first glance generby common reason, without any artificial rules of great ic: the chief care therefore is to fee that the major here position be true, upon which the whole force of the thus, sument usually depends.

SECT. VI.

Of compound Syllogisms.

which are made of two or more fingle fyllo-ins, and may be refolved into them. The chief The kinds

kinds are these, Epichirema, Dilemma, Prosyllogismus, and Sorites.

I. Epichirema is a fyllogifm which contains the proof trein a D of the major or minor, or both, before it draws the conclusion. This is often used in writing, in public fpeeches, and in common conversation, that so each part of the discourse may be confirmed and put out of doubt, of, and us as it moves on towards the conclusion, which was chiefly defigned. Take this inflance;

Sickness may be good for us; for it weans us from must pay the pleasures of life, and makes us think of dying;

But we are uneasy under fickness, which appears by to your our impatience, complaints, groaning: &c.

Therefore we are uneafy sometimes under that which t Euathli

is good for us.

Another instance you may see in Cicero's oration in thing will defence of Milo, who had flain Clodius. His major the judge proposition is, that it is lawful for one man to kill at to you a nother who lies in wait to kill him; which he proves in or lost from the custom of nations, from natural equity, ex. be due to amples, &c. his minor is, that Clodius laid wait for Milo; which he proves by his arms, guards, &c. and Note 1. then infers the conclusion, that is was lawful for Mile dways pr to kill Clodius.

II. A Dilemma is an argument which divides the valfo be whole into all its parts or members by a disjunctive in proposition, and then infers fomething concerning each sor not; part which is finally inferred concerning the whole staction; Instances of this are frequent; as, in this life we must as they either obey our vicious inclinations or refift them; to ally fatist obey them will bring fin and forrow, to refift them is laborious and painful: therefore we cannot be perfectly Note 2. free form forrow or pain in this life.

A Dilemma becomes faulty or ineffectual three ways: ana. first, whem the members of the division are not well opposed, or not fully enumerated; for then the major is II. A P. falfe. Secondly, when what is afferted concerning each to conn part is not just; for then the minor is not true. Third mer is th

when it r outters it There wa otagoras a ading, and ned any my fide, he cause g me or a

gain th

Monablene most co

three or

ly od canno

III AT III. when it may be retorted with equal force upon him outers it.

There was a famous ancient inflance of this case roof grein a Dilemma was retorted. Euathlus promifed the pagoras a reward when he had taught him the art of blic ading, and it was to be paid the first day that he pan and any cause in the court. After a considerable e Protagoras goes to law with Euathlus for the renief, and uses this Dilemma; Either the cause will go my fide, or on yours; if the cause goes on my fide, rom must pay me according to the sentence of the judge: he cause goes on your side, you must pay me accords by to your bargain: therefore whether the cause goes me or against me, you may pay me the reward. hich t Euathlus retorted this Dilemma thus: Either I gain the cause or lose it; if I gain the cause, then m in thing will be due to you, according to the fentence najor the judge; but if I lose the cause, nothing will be ill a to you according to my bargain: therefore whether over an or lose the cause, I will not pay you, for nothing , ex. be due to you.

t for and Note 1. A Dilemma is usually described as though Mile aways proved the abfurdity, inconvenience, or unionableness of some opinion or practice; and this is most common design of it; but it is plain, that it s they also be used to prove the truth or advantage of any Give g proposed; as, in heaven we shall either have deeach sor not; if we have no defires, then we have full hole staction; if we have defires, they shall be satisfied as must as they arise: therefore in heaven we shall be com-; to atly fatisfied.

em is feelly Note 2. This fort of argument may be composed three or four members, and may be called a Triways ma.

ll op. jor is III. A Profyllogisim is when two or more syllogisms each to connected together, that the conclusion of the hird-mer is the major or the minor of the following; as, ly od cannot think; but the foul of man thinks: there-

fore

fore the foul of man is not blood; but the foul of a four duty brute is his blood, according to the scripture; therefore there are the foul of man is different from the foul of a brute, See another instance in the introduction to this treatife, Note, Ti

IV. A Sorites is when feveral middle terms are discourse chosen to connect one another successively in several sigure. propositions, till the last proposition connects its predi- inpliment cate with the first subject. Thus, all men of revenge they kn have their fouls often uneafy; uneafy fouls are a plague implied to themselves; now to be one's own plague is folly in the conthe extreme; therefore all men of revenge are extreme

The apostle, Rom. viii. 29. gives us an instance of a must b this fort of argument, if it were reduced to exact form goodness Whom he foreknew those he predestinated; whom he predeffinated he called; whom he called he juffified; whom he justified he glorified: therefore whom he fore. knew he glorified.

To these syllogisms it may not be improper to add Induction, which is when from feveral particular propofitions we infer one general; as, the doctrine of the Socinians cannot be proved from the Gospel, it cannot be proved from the acts of the Apostles, it cannot be proved from the Epiftles, nor the book of Revelation; therefore it cannot be proved from the New Tella-the middle ment,

Note, This fort of argument is often defective, because there is not due care taken to enumerate all the THE ne particulars on which the conclusion should depend.

All these four kinds of syllogisms in this section may be befor be called redundant, because they have more then three of the propositions. But there is one fort of syllogism which the delay is defective, and is called an Enthymema, because on place the conclusion with one of the premisses is expressed in the mind arts are thus, there is no true religion without good morals to the therefore a knave cannot be truly religious: or thus, beca

nongst ma

would tal

If there b

middl my propo

ute.

m he ified: fore-

o add ropoe Sonot be

ments

f our duty to love our neighbours as ourselves: therefore there are but few who perform their duty.

tife, Note, This is the most common fort of argument nongst mankind both in writing and in speaking; for would take up too much time and too much retard are discourse to draw out all our arguments in mood reral figure. Besides, mankind love to have so much redi- mpliment paid to their understandings, as to suppose enge they know the major or minor which is suppressed ague implied, when you pronounce the other premis ly in the conclusion.

reme If there be any debate about this argument, the fylloce of n must be compleated, in order to try its force and orm; goodness, by adding the absent proposition.

SECT. VII.

ation; Tells the middle Terms, of common Places or Topics, and Invention of Arguments.

we, be all the THE next division of fyllogisms is according to the all the middle term, which is made use of in the proof any proposition. Now the middle term (as we have on ma ted before) is often called Argument, because the n thre to of the fyllogism depends upon it: we much make which the delay here to treat briefly of the doctrine of tofe on for places whence middle terms or arguments are pressec wn.

mind arts and sciences have some general subjects which morals and to them, which are called Topics or common thus, is; because middle terms are borrowed, and argu-

ments derived from them for the proof of their various I grant propositions which we have occasion to discourse of. sons of The topics of Grammar, are etymology, noun, verb, discou construction, fignification, &c. The topics of Logic are as to r genus, species, difference, property, definition, division, aintance &c. The topics of Ontology or Metaphysics, are cause, on their effect, action, passion, indentity, opposition, subject, ad- der indi junct, fign, &c. The topics of Morality or Ethics, are inderate for law, fin, duty, authority, freedom of will, command, just dilig threatning, reward, punishment, &c. The topics of ocking Theology, are, God, Christ, faith, hope, worship, salva. mish him tion, &cc.

To these severals topics there belong particular ob- a use con fervations, axioms, canons, or rules *, which are laid svariety

down in their proper sciences; as,

Grammar hath such canon, (viz.) words in a dif- By some ferent construction obtain a different sense, words de- ation is t rived from the same primitive may probably have some sigures a affinity in their original meaning, &c.

Canons in logic are such as these; every part of a sugh an division singly taken must contain less than the whole certain a A definition must be peculiar and proper to the thing guments defined. Whatever is affirmed or denied of the genus, it, without

may be affirmed or denied of the species, &c.

Metaphyfical canons are fuch as these; final causes in a practice belong only to intelligent agents. If a natural and ne nof and r ceffary cause operate, the effect will follow, &c. and there are large catalogues of many more in each diffinct science.

Now it has been the custom of those who teach logic or rhetoric, to direct their disciples, when they want an argument, to confult the feveral topics which are fuited to their subject of d'scourse, and to rummage over the definitions, divisions and canons that belong to each topic. This is called the invention of an argument, and is taught with much folemnity in fome schools.

I grant

dindeed las wha al words

low there

Of feveral

cor

^{*} A canon is a proposition declaring some property our trea of the subject, which is not expressed in the definition ded argun or division of it.

and stinct

ch lo-

h are

ment,

grant

ous I grant there may be good use of this practice for of. sons of a lower genius, when they are to compose erb, discourse for the public; or for those of superior are not to refresh their memory, and revive their action, and their thoughts, or when their natural spirits labour adder indisposition and langour; but when a man of or inderate sagacity has made himself master of his theme and, just diligence and inquiry, he has seldom need to run so of the indisposition of all the topics, that he may mish himself with argument or matter of speaking: dindeed it is only a man of sense and judgment that ob- a use common places or topics well; for a mongst laid is variety he only knows what is sit to be left out, as the swhat is sit to be spoken.

dif- By some logical writers this business of topics and indeation is treated of in such a manner with mathematisome ligures and diagrams, filled with the barbarous techal words, Napcas, Nipcis, Ropcos, Nosrop, &c. as
of a sugh an ignorant lad were to be led mechanically in
certain artificial harnesses and trammels to find out
thing suments to prove or resute any proposition whatsoenus, a, without any rational knowledge of the ideas.
ow there is no need to throw words of contempt on
causes the a practice; the very description of it carries reunenof and ridicule in abundance.

SECT. VIII.

Of several Kinds of Arguments and Demonstrations.

TE proceed now to the division of syllogisms according to the middle term; and in this part operty our treatise the syllogisms themselves are properly nition led arguments, and are thus distributed.

7. 2

I. Ar-

I. Arguments are called Grammatical, Logical, Metaphyfical, Phyfical, Moral, Mechanical, Theological, &c. according to the art, science or subject, whence the middle term or topic is borrowed. Thus if we prove vial. that no man should steal from his neighbour, because the scripture forbids it, this is a theological argument: if we prove it from the laws of the land, it is political; ircumstance but if we prove it from the principles of reason and e- rong, it p quity, the argument is moral.

II. Arguments are either certain and evident, or An inardoubtful and merely probable.

Probable arguments are those whose conclusions are to or ear proved by some probable medium; as, this hill was hen it is once a church-yard, or a field of battle, because there We hav are many human bones found here. This is not a vine or h certain argument, for human bones might have been oduces a conveyed there some other way.

Evident and certain arguments are called demonstrations; for they prove their conclusions by clear mediums Note, A and undoubted principles; and they are generally di-ell as from

vided into these two forts:

1. Demonstrations a priori, which prove the effect od, or t by its necessary cause; as, I prove the scripture is in anot arise fallibly true; because it is the word of God, who can- it an his not lie.

2. Demonstrations a posteriori, which infer the cause from its necessary effects; as, I infer there hath been IV. Are the hand of some artificer here, because I find a curious ted argui engine. Or, I infer, there is a God, from the works equestion of his wisdom in the visible world.

The last of these is called "demonstratio Tou oti," ment proper it proves only the aris The last of these is called "demonstratio Tou oti," ment pr because it proves only the existence of a thing; the first reby manamed "demonstratio Tou DIOTE," because it shews in conse

also the cause of existence.

But note, that though these two sorts of arguments are most peculiarly called demonstrations, yet generally are contrary strong and convincing argument obtains that name and it is the custom of mathematicians to call all their probable

rguments bey derive

PART III.

III. Arg

An arti ras at first felf.

nd this is eds imm

nces a fur

nd indeed argume

fearce in

PART III.

cal,

ule ent:

II. guments demonstrations, from what medium soever le- bey derive them.

the III. Arguments are divided into artificial and inartiove icial.

An artificial argument is taken from the nature and cal; roumstances of the things; and if the argument be de-tong, it produces a natural certainty; as, the world as at first created by God, because nothing can create

or An inartificial argument is the testimony of another, nd this is called original, when our information proeds immediately from the persons concerned, or from sare e or ear witnesses of the fact: it is called tradition was hen it is delivered by the report of others.

there We have taken notice before, that testimony is either not a vine or human. If the human testimony be strong, it been oduces a moral certainty; but divine testimony promes a supernatural certainty, which is far superior. ıstra-

iums Note, Arguments take from human testimony, as y di- all as from laws and rules of equity, are called moral; id indeed the fame name is also applied to every fort argument which is drawn from the free actions of effect od, or the contingent actions of men, wherein we is in-anot arise at a natural certainty, but content ourselves can-ith an high degree of probability, which in many cases. cause scarce inferior to natural certainty.

been IV. Arguments are either direct or indirect. It is a arious rect argument where the middle term is fuch as proves works equestion itself, and infers that very proposition which oti, ment proves, or refutes some other proposition, and the first treby makes the thing inquired appear to be true by shews in consequence.

ments several arguments are called indirect; as, (1.) when nerally me contradictory proposition is proved to be false, probable or impossible: or when, upon supposition

argu-

of the falshood, or denial of the original proposition, ther than fome absurdity is inferred. This is called a proof per um ad par impossibile, or a reductio ad absurdum. (2.) When made pub fome other proposition is proved to be true which is less the people. probable, and thence it follows, that the original propo- After al fition is true; because it is more probable. This is an ing from t argument ex magis probabili ad minus. (3.) When et to be any other proposition is proved upon which it was be- in argum fore agreed to yield the original question. This is an at derived argument ex concello.

V. There is yet another rank of arguments which ferent fr have Latin names; their true distinction is derived zent. from the topics or middle terms which are used in them, Whether though they are called an address to our judgment, our livine, wh ith, our ignorance, our profession, our modesty, or our me faith, paffions.

1. If an argument be taken from the nature or ex- Thus the istence of things, and addressed to the reason of man-mount gi kind, it is called argumentum ad judicium.

2. When it is borrowed from some convincing testi- fin logic mony, it is called argumentum ad fidem, an address to i them, a

our faith.

3. When it is drawn from any infufficient medium ir the co whatfoever, and yet the oppofer has not skill to refute me argument or answer it, this is argumentum ad ignorantiam, an ad- walogism

drefs to our ignorance.

4. When it is built upon the professed principles or ten it is opinions of the person with whom we argue, whether tall be th the opinions be true or false, it is named argumentum ad hominem, an address to our professed principles. St. Paul often uses this argument when he reasons with the Jews, and when he fays, I speak as a man.

5. When the argument is fetched from the fentiments of fome wife, great, or good men, whose authority we reverence and hardly dare oppose, it is called argumentum ad verecundiam, an address to our modesty.

6. I add finally, when an argument is borrowed from PRON any topics which are fuited to engage the inclinations true and pailions of the hearers on the fide of the speaker, which dr rather

be fenfe, ith: Bu

on, I leav of theolog

uments v If a fyl ment puts

III. PART III. ion, ther than to convince the judgment, this is argumenper um ad passiones, an address to the passions; or if it be hen made publicly, it is called ad populum, or an appeal to less the people.

po- After all these divisions of syllogism or argument arisan of from the middle term, there is one distinction prohen at to be mentioned which arises from the premisses. be- In argument is called uniform when both the premiffes s an te derived from the same spring of knowledge, whether be fense, reason consciousness, human faith, or divine ith: But when the two premisses are derived from hich Herent springs of knowledge, it is called a mixt arguived ment.

nem, Whether the conclusion must be called human or our wine, when one or both premisses are matters of diour ine faith, but the conclusion is drawn by human reain, I leave to be disputed and determined in the schools ftheology.

ex- Thus the fecond chapter is finished, and a particular man- mount given of all the chief kinds of syllogisms or arments which are made use of among men, or treated teffi- fin logic, together with special rules for the formation is to i them, as far as is necessary.

If a fyllogifm agree with the rules which are given lium is the construction and regulation of it, it is called a efute we argument: If it disagree with these rules, it is a ad- ralogism, or false argument: but when a false argument puts on the face and appearence of a true one, es or ten it is properly called a fophism or fallacy, which ether all be the subject of the next chapter.

CHAP. III.

ntum iples. with

entihorid ar-

defty.

The Doctrine of Syllogifms.

from FROM truth nothing can really follow but what is true; whenfoever therefore we find a false conaker, lusion drawn from premisses which seem to be true, ather

there must be some fault in the deduction or inference: or else one of the premisses is not true in the sense in which it is used in that argument.

When an argument carries the face of truth with it, and yet leads us into mistake, it is a sophism: and there is some need of a particular description of these fallacious arguments, that we may with more ease and readi-

ness detect and solve them.

SECT. I.

Of several Kinds of Sophisms and their Solution.

S the rules of right judgment and of good ratiocination often coincide with each other, fo the doctrine of prejudices, which was treated of in the fecond part of logic, has anticipated a great deal of what might be faid on the subject of sophisms: yet I shall mention the most remarkable springs of false argumentation, which are reduced by logicians to some of the following heads.

I. The first fort of sophism is called ignoratio elenchi, or a mistake of the question; that is, when something else is proved which has neither any necessary connection or inconfiftency with the thing inquired, and confequently gives no determination to the inquiry, though it may feem at first fight to determine the question; as, if any should conclude that St. Paul was not a native Jew, by proving that he was born a Roman; or if they should pretend to determine that he was neither Roman nor Jew, by proving that he was born at Tarfus in Cilicia; these sophisms are refuted by shewing that these three may be true; for he was born of Jewish parents

INT III.

the city anted to l denizen o ree chara her, and t ot refute t Or if th ne can h ifter show tes his fo iong and has pro But the me may the foul Disputer to this fa erfary as hich he ith a gr tele imag mph ove onfounde It is a t uilty of, or him, a nt propo ne discou

100m. II. Th uppositio propositio

e can pro as oppoi

The w

ixed on

dering fro

o wande

words, or suputed: nce:

le in

eadi-

hey

man

in

the city of Tarfus, and by some peculiar privilege anted to his parents, or his native city, he was a born hit, denizen of Rome. Thus there is neither of these re characters of the apostle inconsistent with each here laci. her, and therefore the proving one of them true does at refute the other.

Or if the question be proposed, whether excess of me can be hurtful to him that drinks it, and the tolifter should prove that it revives his spirit, it exhilales his foul, it gives a man courage, and makes him ong and active, and then he takes it for granted that

has proved his point.

But the respondent may easily shew, that though me may do all this, yet it may be finally hurtful both the foul and body of him that drinks it to excefs.

Disputers when they grow warm are ready to run to this fallacy; they drefs up the opinion of their adstary as they pleafe, and afcribe fentiments to him. hich he doth not acknowledge, and when they have ntio- th a great deal of pomp attacked and confounded the rele images of straw of their own making, they trife- mph over their adversary, as though they had utterly what infounded his opinion.

shall It is a fallacy of the same kind which a disputant is nen- ulty of, when he finds that his adversary is too hard the whim, and that he cannot fairly prove the question of proposed; he then with flyness and subtlety turns the discourse aside to some other kindred point which chi, can prove, and exults in that new argument wherein

ning as opponent never contradicted him.

nec- The way to prevent this fallacy is by keeping the eye on- med on the precise point of dispute, and neither wanugh tring from it ourselves, nor suffering our antagonist as, wander from it, or substitute any thing else in its tive foom.

II. The next fophism is called petitio principii, or a s in position of what is not granted; that is, when any hele ropolition is proved by the same proposition in other ents words, or by fomething that is equally uncertain and suputed: as if any one undertake to prove that the hu

man foul is extended through all the parts of the body, because it resides in every member, which is but the same thing in other words. Or, if a Papist should pretend to prove that his religion is the only Catholic religion, and is derived from Christ and his apostles, because it agrees with the doctrine of all the fathers of the Church, all the holy Martyrs, and all the Christian world throughout all ages: whereas this is a great point in contest, whether their religion does agree with that of all the ancients, and the primitive christians or no.

III. That fort of fallacy which is called a Circle is very near a-kin to the petitio principii; as, when one of the premisses in a syllogism is questioned and opposed, and we intend to prove it by the conclusion: or, when in a train of fyllogisms we prove the last by recurring to what was the conclusion of the first. The Papists are famous at this fort of fallacy, when they prove the scripture to be the word of God by the authority or infallible testimony of their church, and when they are called to shew the infallible authority of their church, ong pers they pretend to prove it by the scripture.

IV. The next kind of fophism is called non causa arded te pro causa, or the assignation of a false cause. This the re a min Peripatetic philosophers were guilty of continually, when hifm, an they told us that certain beings, which they called sub- torance, stantial forms, were the springs of colour, motion, vege- hat is re tation, and the various operations of natural beings in netimes the animate and inaimate world; when they informed at upon us that nature was terribly afraid of a vacuum, and that it was the cause why the water would not fall out of a sometim long tube if it was turned upfide down: the moderns thon to as well as the ancients fall often into this fallacy, when is the fall they positively assign the reasons of natural appearances, gment. without sufficient experiments to prove them.

Aftrologers are over-run with this fort of fallacies, aflow in and they cheat the people grofly by pretending to tell reding e fortunes, and to deduce the cause of the various occur- a be on

ART III. nces in th eftars an When co instrued t Hates, fa allacy the There is than th ridental o nde the c ighbour wn and b ine ven his fophis orid: for mmon m nost heir ted guilt mfelf by elophifin How fre ked con ur, which

hat are th an's cond

ren-

III.

ody,

the

pre-

re-

be-

ren-

nes in the lives of men from the various positions of that and planets, which they call Aspects.

When comets and eclipses of the sun and moon are offrued to signify the fate of princes, the revolution states, famine, wars, and calamities of all kinds, it is

illacy that belongs to this rank of fophisms.

f the There is scarce any thing more common in human stian than this fort of deceitful argument. If any two great with idental events happen to concur, one is presently de the cause of the other. If I itius wronged his tians ghbour of a guinea, and in fix months after he fell wn and broke his leg, weak men will impute it to the cle is time vengeance on Titius for his former injustice. one his fophism was found also in the early days of the ofed, mid: for when holy Job was furrounded with unwhen mmon miseries, his own friends inferred, that he was ng to nost heinous criminal, and charged him with aggras are ted guilt as the cause of his calamities; though God the melf by a voice from heaven folved this uncharitar in fophism, and cleared his servant Job of that charge. are How frequent is it among men to impute crimes to urch, ong persons? We too often charge that upon the ked contrivance and premeditated malice of a neighur, which arose merely from ignorance, or from uncausa arded temper. And on the other hand, when we s the "e a mind to excuse ourselves, we practise the same when hism, and charge that upon our inadvertance or our fub-torance, which perhaps was defigned wickedness. vege- hat is really done by a necessity of circumstances, we gs in netimes impute to choice. And again, we charge rmed t upon necessity, which was really defired and chothat

of a sometimes a person acts out of judgment in opderns stion to his inclination; another person perhaps
when to the same thing out of inclination, and against his
neces, sment. It is hard for us to determine with affurance
at are the inward springs and secret causes of every
as conduct: and therefore we should be cautious
acies, slow in passing a judgment, where the case is not
to tell seeding evident: and if we should mistake, let it racour- to be on the charitable than on the censorious side.

It

It is the same sophism that charges mathematical VI. Th learning with leading the minds of men to scepticism ad that is and infidelity, and as unjustly accuses the new philoso- ricular phy of paving the way to herefy and schism. Thus bolutely, the reformation from Popery has heen charged with the is is called murder and blood of millions, which in truth is to be aid ad di imputed to the tyranny of the princes and the priests, the sham who would not fuffer the people to reform their fenti- the fla ments and their practices according to the word of God, . Or Thus Christianity in the primitive ages was charged by hen he the Heathens with all the calamities which befel the my's Ro Roman empire, because the christians renounced the ing. On Heathen gods and idols.

The way to relieve ourselves from those sophisms, me is no and to fecure ourselves from the danger of falling into them, is an honest and diligent inquiry into the real This so nature and causes of things, with a constant watchful- eargue fr nefs against all those prejudices that might warp the we the s

judgment afide from truth in that inquiry.

V. The next is called fallacia accidentis, or a fophism at he him wherein we pronounce concerning the nature and ef- in shoul fential properties of any subject according to something in him, which is merely accidental to it. This is a-kin to the dy of an former, and is also very frequent in human life. So if These t opium or the Peruvian bark has been used imprudently wing the or unfuccessfully, whereby the patient has received in ture, and jury, some weaker people absolutely pronounce against the use of the bark or opium upon all occasions what the use of the bark or opium upon all occasions what the use of the bark or opium upon all occasions what the use of drawlands and quarters. been the accidental occasion of drunkenness and quar- that t rels; learning and printing may have been the accident-al cause of sedition in a state; the reading of the bible by accident has been abused to promote heresies or del-tructive errors; and for these reasons they have been all pronounced evil things. Mahomet forbad his followers the use of wine; the Turks discourage learning in their dominions; and the Papifts forbid the scripture to be read by the laity. But how very unreasonable are these inferences, and these prohibit. 2.1s which are built upon to of for them!

bers in

hatfoever mmandn

VI. The med from mits of 1

III. PART III.

into

their to be

tical VI. The next fophism borders upon the former; is is altered in the structure in the follutely, simply, and abstracted from all circumstances; the is is called in the schools a sophism a dicto secundum and addictum simpliciter; as, that which is brought the shambles is eaten for dinner; raw meat is bought the shambles: therefore raw meat is eaten for dining. Or thus, Livy writes fables and improbabilities then he describes prodigies and omens: therefore the interpretation of the structure in the structure. Or thus, there may be some mistake of transfers in some part of scripture: therefore scripture sin some a safe guide for our faith.

This fort of sophism has its reverse also; as when fulargue from that which is true simply and absolutely to we the same thing true in all particular circumstances tatsoever*; as if a traitor should argue from the sixth mmandment, Thou shalt not kill a man, to prove the himself ought not to be hanged: or if a madment in should tell me I ought not to withhold his sword thing in him, because no man ought to withhold the protest of the sty of another.

So if These two species of sophisms are easily solved by ently wing the difference betwixt things in their absolute dinture, and the same things surrounded with peculiar cumstances, and considered in regard to special times, whates, persons and occasions; or by shewing the difference between a moral and metaphysical universality, quart that the proposition will hold good in one case, thou in the other.

en all at to be confidered.

A

The

This is arguing from moral universality, which adts of some exceptions, in the same manner as may be
The med from metaphysical or a natural universality, which
mits of no exceptions.

The fophism of composition is when we infer any thing concerning ideas in a compounded fense, which is only true in a divided fense. And when it is faid in the gospel that Christ made the blind to see, and the deaf to hear, and the lame to walk, we ought not to infer hence, that Christ performed contradictions; but those who were blind before were made to fee, and those who were deaf before were made to hear, &c. So when the scripture affures us the worst of sinners may be saved, it fignifies only, that they who have been the worst of finners may repent and be faved, not that they shall be faved in their fins. Or if any one should argue thus, two and three are even and odd; five are two and three; therefore five are even and odd. Here that is very falsely inferred concerning two or three in union, which is only two of them divided.

The fophism of division is when we infer the same thing concerning ideas in a divided fense, which is only true in a compounded fense; as, if we should pretend to prove, that every foldier in the Grecian army put an spear in hundred thousand Persians to flight, because the Grecian pon our foldiers did fo. Or if a man should argue thus; five is thich we one number; two and three are five: therefore two there the

and three are one number.

This fort of fophisms is committed when the word ally suff All is taken in a collective and a distributive sense, with reat mist out a due distinction; as, if any one should reason thus; reatest p all the musical instruments of the Jewish temple made a sile from noble concert; the harp is a musical instrument of the ad the of Jewish temple, therefore the harp made a noble concert, ath been Here the word All in the major is collective, whereas hap. IV Here the word All in the major is collective, where the fuch a conclusion requires that the word All should be which is which is distributive.

It is the same fallacy when the universal word All or a false No refers to species in one proposition, and to individuals in another; as, all animals were in Noah's ark; opofition therefore no animals perished in the flood: whereas in regoing the premise all animals signifies every kind of animals, wifm wh which does not exclude or deny the drowning of

thousand individuals.

VIII. fthe am atensive mer fallac

PART III

When hey are hould ar ight, defi he fire, fe book in This fo ke natur he words ropositio

he mino nd there as no mi But w kin, and

II.

ny

is

the

to ice,

ho

vho

the

l, it

fin-

l be

nus,

ree;

alfe-

ch is

VIII. The last fort of sophisms arises from our abuse the ambiguity of words, which is the largest and most atensive kind of fallacy; and indeed several of the forner fallacies might be reduced to this head.

When the words or phrases are plainly equivocal, her are called Sophifins of Equivocation; as, if we hould argue thus, he that fends forth a book into the ght, defires it to be read; he that throws a book into he fire, fends it into the light: therefore he that throws book into the fire defires it to be read.

This fophism, as well as the foregoing, and all of the ke nature, are folved by shewing the different senses of he words, terms or phrases. Here Light in the major roposition signifies the public view of the world; in me minor it fignifies the brightness of flame and fire, nd therefore the fyllogisin has four terms, or rather it fame

only as no middle term, and proves nothing.

But where fuch gross equivocations and ambiguities nd to t an mear in argument, there is little danger of imposing ecian pon ourselves or others. The greatest danger, and we is thich we are perpetually exposed to in reasoning, is, there the two fenses or fignifications of one term are near two kin, and not plainly distinguished, and yet they, are word ally sufficiently different in their sense to lead us into with reat mistakes, if we are not watchful. And indeed the thus; reatest part of controversies in the facred or civil life nade a me from the different fenses that are put upon words, of the ad the different ideas which are included in them; as oncert, ath been shewn at large in the first part of Logic, hereas hap. IV. which treats of words and terms.

uld be There is, after all these, another fort of sophism hich is wont to be called an imperfect Enumeration, All or a false Induction, when from a few experiments or indiviblervations, men infer general theorems and universal 's ark popolitions. But this is fufficiently noticed in the reas in regoing chapter, where we treated of that fort of fyl-

nimals, wifin which is called Induction.

g of

I. The

SECT. II.

Two general Tests of true Syllogisms, and Methods of folving all Syllogifms.

PESIDES the special description of true syllogisms and fophifins already given, and the rules by which the one are framed, and the other refuted, there are these two general methods of reducing all fyllogisms whatfoever to a test of their truth or falshood.

I. The first is, that the premisses must (at least implicitly) contain the conclusion; or thus, one of the premisses must contain the conclusion, and the other must shew, that the conclusion is contained in it. The reason of this rule is this; when any proposition is offered to be proved, it is necessary to find another propofition which confirms it, which may be called the containing Propositions; but because the second must not contain the first in an express manner, and in the same word*, therefore it is necessary that a third or oftensive proposition be found out, to shew that the second proposition contains the first, which was to be proved. Let us make an experiment of this fyllogism. W hofoever is a flave to his natural inclinations is miferable; the wicked man is a flave to his natural inclinations: therefore the wicked man is miserable. Here it is evedent that the major proposition contains the conclusion; for prefore i

PART II under the tions, a v minor pr evidently

In mai the majo he other But in n hat cont ition the no angry man is w minor to mplicitly ause mas he major

> Note, inctive, new the

II. Th im are i recisely in part o erived fro ne terms used.

I. It is under in the thout a putting derence i 2. Wh:

re you at

^{*} It is confessed, that the conditional and disjunctive major propositions do expresly contain all that is in the conclusion; but then it is not in a certain and conclufive manner, but only in a dubious form of speech, and mingled with other terms, and therefore it is not the fame express proposition.

it be red ar there a man;

under the general character of a flave to natural inclinations, a wicked man is contained or included; and the minor proposition declares it; whence the conclusion is widently deduced, that the wicked man is miserable.

In many affirmative fyllogisms we may suppose either the major or the minor to contain the conclusion, and the other to shew it; for there is no great difference. But in negative fyllogisms it is the negative proposition hat contains the conclusion, and the affirmative propotion shews it; as, every wife man masters his passions; n angry man mafters his passion: therefore no angry Here it is more natural to suppose the man is wife. minor to be the containing proposition; it is the minor aplicitly denies wisdom concerning an angry man, beause mastering the passions is included in wisdom, and he major shews it.

Note, this rule may be applied to complex and coninctive, as well as simple syllogisms, and is adapted to lew the truth or falshood of any of them.

II. The fecond is this; as the terms in every fylloin are usually repeated twice, so they must be taken neifely in the same sense in both places: for the greatpart of mistakes, that arise in forming syllogisms, is gived from some little difference in the sense of one of oever te terms in the two parts of the fyllogisms wherein it used. Let us consider the following sophism.

I. It is a fin to kill a man; a murderer is a man; prefore it is a fin to kill a murderer. Here the word in the first proposition signifies to kill unjustly, or thout a law; in the conclusion it is taken absolutely putting a man to death in general, and therefore the derence is not good.

2. What I am you are not; but I am a man: therere you are not man. This is a relative fyllogism: but the reduced to a regular categorical form, it will apa there is ambiguity in the terms, thus; what I am a man; you are not what I am: therefore you are

s of

L

fms by nere fins

imthe ther The s of-

opocont not fame nfive

pro-Let ; the

hereedent i; for under

nctive in the oncluh, and

ot the

Aa3

not a man. Here what I am in the major proposition, is taken especially for my nature; but in the minor proposition the same words are taken individually for my person; therefore the inference must be false, for the syllogisms does not take the term what I am both times in the same sense.

3. He that fays you are an animal, fays true; but he that fays you are a goofe, you are an animal: therefore he that fays you are a goofe, fays true. In the major proposition the word animal is the predicate of an incidental proposition; which incidental proposition being affirmative, renders the predicate of it particular, according the Chap. II. Sect. 2. Axiom 3. and consequently the word animal there fignifies only human animality. In the minor proposition, the word animal, for the same reason, signifies the animality of a goose; whereby it becomes an ambiguous term, and unfit to build the conclusion upon. Or if you say the word animal, in the minor, is taken for human animality, then the minor is evidently salse.

It is from this last general test of syllogisms that we derive the custom of the respondent in answering the arguments of the opponent, which is to distinguish upon the major or minor proposition, and declare which term is used in two senses, and in what sense the proposition

may be true, and in what sense it is false.

CHAP. IV.

Some general Rules to direct our Reasoning.

OST of the general and special directions given to form our judgments aright in the preceding part Logic might be rehearsed here; for the judgments which

which we icret read inpposed in in after asset in the interest will

PART III

I. Rule leas, to gument hole boo neet with aloning. arithin hefe adva hem for we parts ndy; fo eve wit ions in t temonstra Mhood. w every Mr. Lock mematicia We fh erception difcern inded wi were) r th judg ropositio at lame race ever This 1

nd of re

he happi he best r

recepts.

II.

on,

or

for

for

oth

he

ore

lor

in-

ing

rd-

ntly

ity.

ime

y it

the

l, in

the

we

the

pon

term

tion

which we pass upon things are generally built on some icret reasoning or argument by which the proposition is imposed to be proved. But there may be yet some inther assistances given to our reasoning powers in their earch after truth, and an observation of the following thes will be of great importance for that end.

I. Rule. Accustom yourselves to clear and distinct itas, to evident propositions, to strong and convincing guments. Converse much with those friends, and lofe books, and those parts of learning where you neet with the greatest clearness of thought and force of The mathematical sciences, and particularcaloning. arithmetic, geometry, and mechanics, abound with lefe advantages; and if there were nothing valuable in em for the uses of human life; yet the very speculare parts of this fort of learning are well worth our udy; for by perpetual examples they teach us to conive with clearnefs, to connect our ideas and proposions in train of dependence, to reason with strength and amonstration, and to distinguish between truth and Mhood. Something of these sciences should be studied y every man who pretends to learning, and that (as Ir. Locke expresses it) not so much to make us mamematicians, as to make us reasonable creatures.

We should gain such a familiarity with evidence of reception and force of reasoning, and get such a habit sufficerning clear truth, that the mind may be soon of-inded with obscurity and confusion: then we shall (as twere) naturally and with ease restrain our minds from the judgment, before we attain just evidence of the apposition which is offered to us; and we shall with the same ease, and (as it were) naturally seize end emace every truth that is proposed with just evidence.

This habit of conceiving clearly, of judging justly, and of reasoning well, is not the be attained merely by the happiness of constitution, the brightness of genius, we best natural parts, or the best collection of logical recepts. It is custom and practice that must form and stablish this habit. We must apply ourselves to it till the perform all this readily, and without reslecting on

rules.

given eding ments which

Th

ation of

II. Rule ings dail middle r may l meditate : to the n the part lonfider i blites, fig

nd on all

ing in c

rules. A coherent thinker, and a strict reasoner is not las. to be made at once by a fet of rules, any more than a le invisit good painter or musician may be formed extempore by at state, an excellent lecture on music or painting. It is of in- sinly di finite importance therefore in our younger years to be leafon its taught both the value and the practice of conceiving the bri clearly and reasoning right: for when we are grown to the middle of life, or past it, it is no wonder that we should not learn good reasoning, any more than an ignorant clown should not be able to learn fine language, dancing, or a courtly behaviour, when his ruftic airs have grown up with him till the age of forty.

For want of this care some persons of rank and education dwell all their days among obscure ideas; they conceive and judge always in confusion, they take weak. arguments, for demonstration, they are led away with the disguises and shadows of truth. Now if such perfons happen to have a bright imagination, a volubility pose. of speech, and a copiousness of language, they not only impose many errors upon their own understandings, but to eve they stamp the image of their own mistakes upon their actice ha

neighbours also, and spread their errors abroad.

It is a matter of just lamentation and pity to consider It. It w the weakness of the common multitude of mankind in pics for this respect, how they receive any thing into their as fame s fent upon the least trifling grounds. True reasoning 2. It w. hath very little share informing their opinions. They is of the refift the most convincing arguments by an obstinate in upon adherence to their prejudices, and believe the most im- ind, or t probable things with the greatest assurance. They talk 3. This of the abstrufest mysteries, and determine upon them folution with the utmost confidence, and without just evidence your di either from reason or revelation. A confused heap of ho have dark and inconfistent ideas make up a good part of their 4. By s knowledge in matters of philosophy as well as religion, propert having never been taught the use and value of clear and om incoming just reasoning.

Yet it must be still confessed that there are some letted or mysteries in religion, both natural and revealed, as well extensive as some abstruse points in philosophy, wherein the wife tof an i as well as the unwife must be content with obscure inot bas. There are several things, especially relating to n a le invisible world, which are unsearchable in our preby at state, and therefore we must believe what revelation in- ainly dictates, though the ideas may be obscure. be leason itself demands this of us; but we should seek ing or the brightest evidence both of ideas, and of the conto alion of them, wherefover it is attainable.

ig- II. Rule. Enlarge your general acquaintance with age, ings daily, in order to attain a rich furniture of topics, airs middle terms, whereby those propositions which ocmay be either proved or disproved; but especially du- editate and inquire with great diligence and exactness they to the nature, properties, circumstances and relations reak the particular subject about which you judge or argue. with infider its causes, effects, consequences, adjuncts, opper- lites, figns, &c. fo far as is needful to your prefent ility spose. You should survey a question round about, only if on all fides, and extend your views as far as possibut to every thing that has a connection with it. This heir netice has many advantages in it; as,

sider 1. It will be a means to suggest to your mind proper d in pics for argument about any proposition that relates to

af. je same subject.

III. PART III.

we

re i-

deas.

ning 2. It will enable you with greater readiness and justhey is of thought to give an aniwer to any fudden quefnate in upon that subject, whether it arises in your own im- ind, or be proposed by others.

talk 3. This will instruct you to give a plainer and speedhem folution of any difficulties that may attend the theme ence your discourse, and to refute the objections of those

ap of ho have espoused a contrary opinion.

their 4. By fuch a large survey of the whole subject in all gion, properties and relations, you will be better fecured and in inconsistencies, i. e. from afferting or denying any ing in one place, which contradicts what you have some letted or denied in another: and to attain these ends, well extensiveness of understanding and a large memory wife tof an unspeakable service.

One

One would be ready to wonder formetimes, how easi. By ly great and wife and learned men are led into affertions of many in some parts of the same treatise, which are found to sometim be scarce consistent with what they have afferted in it in such other places: but the true reason is the narrowness of limiting the mind of man, that it cannot take in all the innu- furvey merable properties and relations, of one subject with a fingle view; and therefore whilft they are intent on one ew, we f particular part of their theme, they bend all their force nent resp of thought to prove or disprove some proposition that pruded relates to that part, without a sufficient attention to the gy perfect confequences which may flow from it, and which may unhappily effect another part of the same subject; and IV. Ru by this means they are sometimes led to say things reise po which are inconfistent. In such a case the great dealers sestion, t in dispute and controversy take pleasure to cast nonsense ready of and felf-contradiction on their antagonist with huge hich it is and hateful reproaches. For my part, I rather chuse nown an to pity human nature, whose necessary narrowness of may understanding exposes us all to some degrees of this what co frailty. But the most extensive survey possible of our lose ideas whole subject is the best remedy against it. It is our e questi judging and arguing upon a partial view of things, that terms exposes us to mistakes, and pushes us into absurdities, my be pr or at least to the very borders of them.

III. Rule. In searching the knowledge of things, alther, ta ways keep the precise point of the present question in determ Take heed that you add nothing to it while ome me you are arguing, nor omit any part of it. Watch care-tent bet fully lest any new ideas slide in, to mingle themselves mp into either with the subject or predicate. See that the quel-pinion, tion be not altered by the ambiguity of any word taken by to tr in different fenses; nor let any secret prejudices of your own, or the fophistical arts of others, cheat your un- V. Ru derstanding by changing the question, or shuffling in prove any thing elfe in its room.

And for this end it is useful to keep the precise matter of inquiry as fimple as may be, and diffengaged from becially a complication of ideas, which do not necessarily belong to to

By keep

In this

west, and dence : may

eafi- it. By admitting a complication of ideas, and taking ions o many things at once into one question, the mind to fometimes dazzled and bewildered; and the truth is d in It in fuch a variety and confusion of ideas; whereas is of slimiting and narrowing the question, you take a fulnu. furvey of the whole of it.

tha By keeping the fingle point of inquiry in our constant one ew, we shall be secured from sudden, rash, and imperorce nent responses and determinations, which some have that struded instead of solutions and solid answers, before

the gy perfectly know the questions.

and IV. Rule. When you have exactly confidered the nings wife points of inquiry, or what is unknown in the alers restion, then consider what, and how much you know sense ready of this question, or of the ideas and terms of huge hich it is composed. It is by a comparison of the chuse nown and unknown parts of the question together, that is of may find what reference the part known hath unto, this what connection it hath with the thing that is fought: our of ideas, whereby the known and unknown parts of s our e question are connected, will furnish you with midthat terms or arguments whereby the thing proposed lities, my be proved or disproved.

In this part of your work, (viz.) comparing ideas tos, al ther, take due time, and be not too hasty to come to on in determination, especially in points of importance. while ome men when they fee a little agreement or disagreecare-tent between ideas, they prefume a great deal, and fo selves mp into the conclusion: this is a short way to fancy, quel-pinion, and conceit; but a most unsafe and uncertain taken your to true knowledge and wisdom.

v. Rule. In chusing your middle terms or arguments ing in prove any question, always take such topics as are west, and least fallible, and which carry the greatest edence and strength with them. Be not so solicitous to the number, as the weight of your arguments, belong to the proving any proposition which admits of to the total to

times

ART III.

(6.) In

cred and

ith a me

ments. de, for ar

d, a fima

m the b

ent, as I To con

learning

gs in af

dge and

ments : mence c

ined by

pect dei

VI. Ru

lome p

dent an

are mor

om you

thall e

fometh

at which

certain.

mpollib

ers of it

em than

VII. R

e under

gudgine

tural, di

arers, as

the que

or nied

medled

times we do injury to a cause by dwelling upon trifling arguments. We amuse our hearers with uncertainties, by multiplying the number of feeble reasonings, before we mention those which are more substantial, conclufive, and convincing. And too often we yield up our own affent to mere probable arguments, where certain proofs may be obtained.

Yet it must be confessed there are many cases, wherein the growing number of probable arguments increases the degree of probability, and gives a great and fufficient confirmation to the truth which is fought; as,

(1.) When we are inquring the true sense of any word or phrase, we are more confirmed in the signification of it, by finding the fame expression so used in feveral authors, or in feveral places of the fame author.

(2.) When we are fearthing out the true meaning or opinion of any writer, or inquiring into any fecred doctrine of scripture, we come to a surer determination of the truth by feveral distinct places wherein the same thing is expressed or plainly implied; because it is not probable that an honest skilful reader should mistake the meaning of the writer in many places, as he may in one or two.

(3.) When we would prove the importance of any fcriptural doctrine or duty, the multitude of texts, wherein it is repeated and inculcated upon the reader, feems naturally to instruct us that it is a matter of greater importance than other things which are but flightly or fingly mentioned in the bible.

(4.) In fearthing out matters of fact in times palt, or in diftant places, (in which case moral evidences is sufficient, and moral certainty is the utmost which can be attained) here we derive a greater assurance of the truth of it by a number of persons, or a multitude of circumstances concurring to bear witness of it.

(5.) From many experiments in natural philosophy much as we more fafely infer a general theorem, than we can wed, or from one or two.

(6.) In

ng

es,

ore

lu-

our

ain

ere-

ales

ıffi-

any

nifi-

d in hor.

ning

ecred

ation

fame

s not

e the

one

fany

eader,

greatightly

aft, or

is fut-

can be

truth

rcum-

,

(6.) In matters which require prefent practice, both red and civil, we must content ourselves oftentimes in a mere preponderation of probable reasons or aroments. Where there are feveral reasons on each for and against a thing that is to be done or omitd, a fmall argument added to the heap may justly in the balance on one fide, and determine the judgent, as I have noted in the second part of Logic.

To conclude; a growing acquaintance with matters. learning, and a daily improvement of our understand. in affairs human and divine, will best teach us to dge and diffinguish in what cases the number of arments add to their weight and force: it is only exmence can fully inform us when we must be determed by probable topics, and when we must feek and

pect demonstrations.

VI. Rule. Prove your conclusion (as far as possible) some propositions that are in themselves more plain, dent and certain than the conclusion; or at least such are more known, and more intelligible to the person om you would convince. If we neglect this rule, thall endeavour to enlighten that which is obscure fomething equally or more obscure, and to confirm at which is doubtful, by fomething equally or more pertain. Common sense dictates to all men, that it impossible to establish any truth, and to convince otexts, ers of it, but by fomething that is better known to am than that truth is.

VII. Rule. Labour in all your arguings to enlighten understanding, as well as to conquer and captivate fjudgment. Argue in fuch a manner as may give a ural, diffin I, and folid knowledge of things to your arers, as well as to force their affent by a mere proof the question. Now to attain this end, the chief toor medium of your demonstration should be setched, ofophy much as possible, from the nature of the thing to be we can wed, or from those things which are most naturally medled with it.

6.) In

Geometricians fometimes break this rule without in order necessity, two ways, (viz.)

1. When they prove one proposition only be shewing what adjurdities will follow if the coutradictory reequal proposition be supposed or admitted. This is called Reductio ad absurdum*, or Demonstratio per impossi- Yet it bible; as for instance, when they prove all the Radii of ms hap a circle to be equal, by supposing one Radius to be med are longer or shorter than another, and then shewing what id then abfurd confequences will follow. This, I confess, for- sindire ces the affent, but it does not enlighten the mind by sediums thewing the true reason and cause why all Radii are e- ippositio qual, which is derived from the very construction of a Such is circle: for fince a circle is formed by fixing one end metime of a strait line in the centre, and moving the other end sen before round (or, which is all one, by compasses, kept open to adiate. a certain extent it follows evidently that every part of the circumference being thus described must be equally VIII. distant from the centre, and therefore the Radii, which a subject are lines from the centre to the circumference, must be arn to all equal.

2. Geometricians forget this rule when they heap ryourfe up many far fetched lines, figures and proportions to mere ill prove some plain, simple, and obvious proposition. This is called a Demonstration per aliena et remota, or Axiom

an argument from unnatural and remote mediums: as ant an e

* Note, This rule chiefly refers to the establishment mblance of fome truth, rather than to the refutation of error. By proc It is a very common and useful way of arguing to re-ing in q fute a false proposition, by shewing what evident falshood folid pro or abfardities will follow from it. For what proposition hich the foever is really absurd and false, does effectually prove id to fi that principle to be falle from which it is derived; lo be tw that this way of refuting an error is not fo usually called te inger Reductio ad abjurdum.

bould n ircle, an flouare:

gument

if be prov

Simility influe inder the

alled

nout in order to prove the Radii of a circle are all equal, I buld make feveral triangles and fquares about the icle, and then from fome properties and propositions new- fouries and triangles prove that the Radii of a circle tory reequal.

offi- Yet it must be confessed, that sometimes such queslii of ons happen, that it is hardly possible to prove them by o be red arguments drawn from the nature of things, &c. what id then it may not only be lawful, but necessary to for- kinditest proofs, and arguments drawn from remote d by ediums, or from the abfurdity of the contradictory re e- ppositions.

of a Such indirect and remote arguments may also be end metimes used to confirm a proposition which has r end en before proved by arguments more direct and im-

en to pediate.

art of

qually VIII. Rule. Though arguments should give light to which esubject, as well as constrain the assent, yet you must ust be am to distinguish well between an explication and an gument; and neither impose upon yourselves, nor sufheap ryourselves to be imposed upon by others, by mistaking ns to mere illustration for a convincing reason. fition.

ota, or Axioms themselves, or self-evident propositions may is: as ant an explication or illustration, though they are not if the proved by reasoning.

Similitudes and allusions have oftentimes a very hapinfluence to explain some difficult truth, and to inder the idea of it familiar and easy. Where the rehment mblance is just and accurate, the influence of a simile error, by proceed to far as to thew the possibility of the to re-ing in question: but fimilitudes must not be taken asishood baid proof of the truth or existence of those things to ofition hich they have a refemblance. A too great deference prove aid to similitudes, or an utter rejection of them feem ed; for be two extremes, and ought to be avoided. The called to ingenious Mr. Locke, even in his inquiries after B b 2

truth, makes great use of similies for frequent illustration, and is very happy in the invention of them though he warns us also lest we mistake them for conclusive arguments.

Yet let it be noted here, that a parable or a fimilitude used by any author, may give a sufficient proof of the true sense and meaning of that author, provided that we draw not this similitude beyond the scope and design for which is was brought: as when our Saviour affirms, Rev. iii. 3. 'I will come to thee as a thief;' this will plainly prove that he describes the unexpectedness of his appearance, though it will by no means be drawn to signify any injustice in his design.

IX. Rule. In your whole course of reasoning keep your mind sincerely intent in the pursuit of truth; and sollow solid argument wheresoever it leads you. Let not a party spirit, nor any passion or prejudice whatsoever, stop or avert the current of your reasoning in quest of true knowledge.

When you are inquiring therefore into any subject, maintain a due regard to the arguments and objections on both fides of a question: confider, compare, and balance them well before you determine for one fide. It is a frequent, but a very faulty practice to hunt after arguments, only to make good one fide of a question, and entirely to neglect and refuse those which favour the other fide. If we have not given a due weight to arguments on both fides, we do but wilfully misguide our judgment, and abuse our reason, by forbidding its fearch after truth. When we espouse opinions by a fecret biass on the mind through the influences of fear, hope, honour, credit, interest, or any other prejudice, and then feek arguments only to support those opinions, we have neither done our duty to God nor to ourfelves; and it is a matter of mere chance if we flumble upon truth in our ways to ease and preferment. The power of reasoning was given us by our Maker

for this of his rich by any conterests will lead pel, whe

path of

PART I

III.

offrathem
conitude

itude
f the
that
lefign
ir afhief;
ctedns be

keep; and Let natfoquest

bject, ctions

e fide.
t after
eftion,
avour
ght to
fguide

by a fear, udice, nions,

fumment. Iaker

for

for this very end, to pursue truth; and we abuse one of his richest gifts, if we basely yield up to be led astray by any of the meaner powers of nature, or the perishing interests of this life. Reason itself, if honestly obeyed, will lead us to receive the divine revelation of the gospel, where it is duly proposed, and this will shew us the path of life everlasting.

B b 3

THE

FOURTH PART

OF

LOGIC.

OF DISPOSITION AND METHOD.

IT is not merely a clear and distinct idea, a well-formed proposition, or a just argument that is sufficient to search out and communicate the knowledge of a subject. There must be a variety and series of them disposed in a due manner, in order to attain this end: and therefore it is the design of the last part of Logic to teach us the art of method. It is that must secure our thoughts from that confusion, darkness, and mistake which unavoidably attend the meditations and discourses even of the brightest genius who despites the rules of it.

1. We shall here consider the nature of method, and the several kinds of it.

2. Lay down the general rules of method, with a few particulars under them.

OF THE KIND SYNT

operation ain for all the airs of themes, her in r

which a all affects
But is limited

Now

method my fubjunknow mown, It is cand arbi

Nature, mowled reat meas twofe ometim

CHAP. .

* Th

CHAP. I.

OF THE NATURE OF METHOD AND THE SEVERAL KINDS OF IT (VIZ.) NATURAL AND ARBITRARY SYNTHETIC AND ANALYTIC.

PETHOD, taken in the largest sense, implies the placing of several things, or performing several operations in such an order as is most convenient to atain some end proposed: and in this sense it is applied all the works of nature and art, to all the divine assirs of creation and providence; and to the artifices, themes, contrivances and practices of mankind, wheter in natural, civil, or sacred assairs.

Now this orderly disposition of things includes the iless of prior, posterior, and simultaneous; of superior, inferior, and equal; of beginning, end, and middle, &c. which are described more particularly among the gene-

al affections of being in ontology.

But in Logic method is usually taken in a more imited sense, and the nature of it is thus described: method is the disposition of a variety of thoughts on my subject, in such order as may best serve to find out mknown truths, to explain and confirm truths that are mown, or to fix them in the memory.

It is distributed into two general kinds, (viz.) natural

and arbitrary.

vell-

fut-

edge.

s of

this.

rt of

must

and

and,

s the

and

ith a

IAP.

Natural method is that which observes the order of mature, and proceeds in such a manner as that the mowledge of the things which follow depends, in a reat measure, on the things which go before, and this twofold, (viz.) Synthetic and Analytic, which are ometimes called Synthesis and Analysis*.

Syn-

^{*} The words Analysis has three or four senses, which tmay not be improper to take notice of here.

Synthetic method is that which begins with the parts+, and leads onward to the knowledge of the whole;

I. It fignifies the general and particular heads of a discourse, with their mutual connections, both co-ordinate and subordinate, drawn out by way of abstract into one or more tables, which are frequently placed like an

index at the beginning or end of a book.

2. It fignifies the resolving of a discourse into its various subjects and arguments, as when any writing of the ancient prophets is resolved into the prophetical, historical, doctrinal, and practical parts of it; it is said to be analysed in general. When a sentence is distinguished into the Nouns, Verbs, Pronouns, Adverbs and other particles of speech which compose it, then it is said to be analysed grammatically. When the same sentence is distinguished into Subject and predicate, Proposition, Argument, Act, Object, Cause, Effect, Adjunct, Opposite, &c. then it is analysed logically, and metaphysically. This last is what is chiefly meant in the theological schools, when they speak of analysing a text of scripture.

3. Analysis signifies particularly the science of algebra, wherein a question being proposed, one or more letters, as, x, y, z, or vowels, as, a, e, i, &c. are made use of to signify the unknown number, which being intermingled with several known numbers in the question, is at last by the rules of art separated or released from that entanglement, and its particular value is sound out by shewing its equation, or equality to some known num-

ber.

4. It fignifies analytical method, as here explained in Logic.

† Note, It is confessed that synthesis often begins with the genus, and proceeds to the species and individuals. But the genus or generic nature is then considered only as a physical or essential part of the species, though

whole; in the drawn from scalled

Analy finds it, kads us first prin properties olution.

As fyriciences, practifed must be employe

If we

than the putting the who ters, we compose and discount his herb and com

But in we are the whale knowled grofs whistry

water, e

be the

pound r

though whole. descript

ceeds to

IV.

the

the

ole;

rdi-

into

e an

ari-

the

ifto-

o be

hed

ther

d to

ence

ion,

Op-

ohy-

neo-

t of

bra,

ters,

e of

iter-

n, is

that

t by

um-

d in

gins divicon-

cies,

ough

whole; it begins with the most simple principles, and eneral truths, and proceeds by degrees to that which is hawn from or compounded of them: and therefore it scalled the method of composition.

Analytic method takes the whole compound as it of a inds it, whether it be a species or an individual, and ads us into the knowledge of it by refolving it into its fift principles or parts, its generic nature, and its special properties; and therefore it is called the method of re-

blution.

As fynthetic method is generally used in teaching the biences, after they are invented, fo analytic is most machifed in finding out things unknown. Though it must be confessed that both methods are sometimes imployed to find out truth, and to communicate it.

If we know the parts of any subject easier and better han the whole, we confider the parts distinctly, and by putting them together, we come to the knowledge of he whole. So in grammar we learn first to know leters, we join them to make fyllables, out of fyllables we ompose words, and out of words we make sentences and discourses. So the physician or apothecary knows the nature and powers of his simples, (viz.) his drugs, his herbs, his minerals, &c. and putting them together, and confidering their feveral virtues, he finds what will be the nature and powers of the bolus, or any compound medicine. This is the fynthetic method.

But if we are better acquainted with the whole than we are with particular parts, then we divide or refolve the whole into its parts, and thereby gain a diffinct knowledge of them. So in vulgar life we learn in the grofs what plants or minerals are; and then by chymistry we gain the knowledge of falt, sulphur, spirit, water, earth, which are the principles of them.

are

though it be fometimes called an universal or logical whole. Thus fynthetic method maintains its own description still, for it begins with the parts, and proneeds to the whole which is composed of them.

are first acquainted with the whole body of an animal and then by anatomy or dissistance we come to learn all the inward and outward parts of it. This is analytic method.

According to this most general and obvious idea of fynthetic and analytic method, they differ from each other as the way which leads up from a valley to a mountain differs from itself, consider as it leads down from the mountain to the valley; or as St. Matthew and St. Luke prove Christ to be the son of Abraham; Luke finds out by analysis, rising from Christ to his ancestors; Matthew teaches it in the synthetic method, beginning from Abraham, and shewing that Christ is found among his posterity. Therefore it is an usual thing in the sciences, when we have by analysis found out a truth, we use synthetic method to explain and deliver it, and prove it to be true.

In this easy view of things, these two kinds of method may be preserved conspicuously, and entirely distinct: but the subjects of knowledge being infinite, and the ways whereby we arrive at this knowledge being almost infinitely various, it is very difficult, and almost impossible, always to maintain the precise distinction

between these two methods.

This will evidently appear in the following observations.

Obs. I. Analytic method being used chiefly to find out things unknown, it is not limited or confined merely to begin with some whole subject, and proceed to the knowledge of its parts, but it takes its rise sometimes from one single part of property, or from any thing whatsoever that belongs to a subject which happens to be first and most easily known, and thereby inquires into the more abstruse and unknown parts, properties, causes, effects, and modes of it, whether absolute or relative; as for instance.

(1.) Analysis finds out causes by their effects. So in the speculative part of natural philosophy, when we observe light, colours, motions, hardness, softness, and other

other promision arth, or so by the learn his (2.) I

PART IV

practical iders fue water, & applied make min (3.) I

thing by induals, proper, we inveying dity, example of their quents to and becaut to a

(4.)
athing,
the area
and the
atriangl
to when
afpirit,

nortalit

ther pr

(5.)
poled er
political
terniment
der to
what an
so in t
of various

ve doth, had these th

other properties and powers of bodies, or any of the common or uncommon appearances of things either on arth, or in heaven, we fearch out the causes of them. To by the various creatures we find out the Creator, and carn his wisdom, power and goodness.

(2.) It finds out effects by their causes. So the pactical and mechanical part of natural philosophy conders such powers of motion, as the wind, the sire, the pater, &c. and then contrives what uses they may be applied to, and what will be their effects in order to

make mills and engines of various kinds.

(3.) It finds out the general and special nature of a hing by considering the various attributes of the indiciduals, and observing what is common, and what is super, what is accidental, and what is essential. So by inveying the colour, the shape, motion, rest, place, so dity, extension of bodies, we come to find that the ature of body in general is solid extension; because all wher qualities of bodies are changeable, but this beauge to all bodies, and it endures through all changes; and because this is proper to a body alone, and agrees to any thing else; and it is the foundation of all ther properties.

(4.) It finds out the remaining properties or parts of athing, by having some parts or properties given. So the area of a triangle is found by knowing the height and the base. So by having two sides, and an angle of atriangle given, we find the remaining side and angles. So when we know constation is the prime attribute of aspirit, we infer its immateriality, and thence its im-

mortality.

(5.) Analysis finds the means necessary to attain a proposed end, by having the end first assigned. So in moral,
political, economical affairs, having proposed the goternment of self, a family, a society, or a nation, in orter to their best interest, we consider and search out
what are the proper laws, rules and means to effect it.
To in the practices of artificets, and the manufactures
of various kinds, the end being proposed, as, making
doth, houses, thips, &c. we find out ways of composing
these things for the several uses of human life. But the
putting

a of each to a

IV.

mal

1 all

ytic

own hew

his nod, it is

und and

medifand

g almost tion

find

rva-

the imes

into uses,

ifes,

So we and other

putting any of these means in execution to attain the

end, is fynthetic method.

Many other particulars might be represented, to shew the various forms of analytic method, whereby truth is found out, and some of them come very near to synthetic, fo as hardly to be diffinguished.

Obs. II. Not only the investigation of truth, but the communication of it also is often practifed in such a method, as neither agrees precifely to fynthetic nor analytic. Some sciences, if you consider the whole of them in general, are treated in fynthetic order; fo phyfics, or natural philosophy, begins usually with an account of the general nature and properties of matter or bodies, and by degrees descends to consider the particular species of bodies, with their powers and properties; yet it is very evident, that when philosophers come to particular plants and animals, then by chymistry and anatomy they analyfe or refolve these bodies into their feveral conftituent parts. On the other hand, Logic is begun in analytic method; the whole is divided into its integral parts, according to the four operations of the mind; yet here and there synthetic method is used in the particular branches of it, for it treats of the ideas in general first, and then descends to the several species of them; it teaches us how propositions are made up of ideas, and fyllogisms of propositions, which is the order of compositions.

The ancient scholastic writers have taken a greater deal of pains, and engaged in useless disputes about these two methods; and after all have not been able to give fuch an account of them as to keep them entirely diftinet from each other, neither in the theory nor in the practice. Some of the moderns have avoided this confusion in some measure, by confining themselves to describe almost nothing else but the synthetic and analytic methods of geometricians and algebraists, whereby they have too much narrowed the nature and rules of method, as though every thing were to be treated in mathematical forms.

Upon

Upon methods purfued, ion of k be but followin to befor most eff udiciou. ulate al Here poled, (

PART I

int mer Arbit ommod p thin md per r civil nind.

As fo

but it is

method,

matura leducing nemory endenc hods w ofe, and e dedu In rea the g on un f King anals c ear. late to ne leag

last in

so in

aphy,

ace ev

Upon the whole I conclude, that neither of these two methods should be too scrupulously and superstitiously pursued, either in the invention or in the communication of knowledge. It is enough if the order of nature be but observed in making the knowledge of things sollowing depend on the knowledge of the things which so before. Oftentimes a mixed method will be found most effectual for these purposes; and indeed a wise and judicious prospect of our main end and design must regulate all method whatsoever.

Here the rules of natural method ought to be proposed, (whether it be analytic, or synthetic, or mixt:) but it is proper first to give some account of arbitrary method, lest it be thrust at too great a distance from the

in mention of it.

le

W

is

e-

he

1 a

12-

of

ny-

ac-

or

cu-

ies:

e to

and heir

ic is

o its

d in

as in

es of p

order

reater

thefe

give .

y dil-

in the

con-

es to

d ana-

nereby

ules of

ted in

Arbitrary method leaves the order of nature, and acommodates itself to many purposes; such as, to treasure p things, and retain them in memory; to harangue md persuade mankind to any practice in the religious r civil life; or to delight, amuse, or entertain the mind.

As for the affiftance of the memory, in most things, inatural order has an happy influence; for reason itself educing one thing from another, greatly affists the memory, by the natural connection and mutual dendence of things. But there are various other memors which mankind have made use of for this purpose, and indeed there are some subjects that can hardly

adeduced to analysis or synthesis.

In reading or writing history, some follow the order the governors of a nation, and dispose every transacion under their particular reigns: so the sacred books Kings and Chronicles are written. Some write in mals or journals, and make a new chapter of every ar. Some put all those transactions together which late to one subject; that is, all the assars of one war, me league, one consederacy, one council, &c. though last many years, and under many rulers.

So in writing the lives of men, which is called Biophy, forne authors follow the tract of their years, and are every thing in the precise order of time when it

Upon

occured: others throw the temper and characters of the persons, their private life, their public stations, their personal occurrences, their domestic conduct, their speeches, their books or writings, their sickness and death, into so

many distinct chapters.

In chronology, some writers makes their epochas to begin all with one letter: so in the book called Ductor Historicus, the periods all begin with C; as, Creation, Cataclysm or deluge, Chaldean empire, Cyrus, Christ, Constantine, &c. Some divide their accounts of time according to the four great monarchies, Assyrian, Persian, Grecian and Roman. Others think it serves the memory best to divide all their subjects into the remarkable number of sevens; so Prideaux has written an Introduction to History. And there is a book of divinity called Fasciculus Controversiarum, by an author of the same name, written in the same method, wherein every controversy has seven questions belonging to it; though the order of nature seems to be too much neglected by a consinement to this septenary number.

Those writers and speakers, whose chief business is to a muse and delight, or allure, terrify, or persuade mankind, do not confine themselves to any natural order, but in a cryptical or hidden method adapt every thing to their defigned ends. Sometimes they omit those things which might injure their defign, or grow tedious to their hearers, though they feem to have a necessary relation to the point in hand: fometimes they add those things which have no great reference to the subject, but are fuited to allure or refresh the mind and the ear. They dilate fometimes, and flourish long upon little incidents, and hey skip over, and but lightly touch the drier part of their theme. They place the first things last, and the last things first, with wondrous art, and yet fo manage it as to conceal their artifice, and lead the fenses and passions of their hearers into a pleasing and

powerful captivity.

In is chiefly poefy and oratory that requires the practice of this kind of arbitrary method: they omit things effectial which are nor beautiful, they infert little needless circumstances, and beautiful digressions, they invert time

PART
time an affection negles the for use to Hereb such a compression what and m

their o

THE F

comprisafe.
or wit
(6.) P

I. R thod, t that it end the observe

founda

time and actions, in order to place every thing in the most affecting light, and for this end in their practice they neglect all logical forms; yet a good acquaintance with the forms of Logic and natural method, is of admirable use to those who would attain these arts in perfection. Hereby they will be able to range their own thoughts in such a method and sche ne, as to make a more large and comprehensive survey of their subject and design in all the parts of it; and by this means they will better judge what to chuse and what to refuse; and how to dress and manage the whole scene before them, so as to attain their own ends with greater glory and success.

CHAP. II.

THE RULES OF METHOD, GENERAL AND SPECIAL.

THE general requisites of true method in the purfuit or communication of knowledge, may be all comprised under the following heads. It must be (1.) Safe. (2.) Plain and easy. (3.) Distinct. (4.) Full, or without defect. (5.) Short or without superfluity. (6.) Proper to the subject and the design. (7.) Connected.

- I. Rule. Among all the qualifications of a good method, there is none more necessary and important than that it should be safe and secure from error; and to this end these four particular, or special directions should be observed.
- 1. Use great care and circumspection in laying the foundations of your discourse, or your scheme of thoughts upon any subject. These propositions which are to C c 2.

the eries,

V.

o fo to

on, rift, me

re-

k of hor rein it;

ne-

der, hing hofe ious

ffary hofe but

ear. e in-

nings d yet l the and

prachings need-

nvert time stand as first principles, and on which the whole argument depends, must be viewed on all sides with the utmost accuracy, lest an error, being admitted there, should diffuse itself through the whole subject. See therefore that your general definitions or descriptions are as accurate as the nature of the thing will bear: See that your general divisions and distributions be just and exact, according to the rules given in the first part of Logic: See that your axioms be sufficiently evident, so as to demand the assent of those that examine them with due attention. See that your first and more immediate consequences from these principles be well drawn; and take the same care of all other propositions that have a powerful and spreading influence through the several parts of your discourse.

For want of this care sometimes a large treatise has been written by a long deduction of consequences from one or two doubtful principles, which principles have been effectually resuted in a sew lines, and thus the whole treatise has been destroyed at once; so the largest and fairest buildings sink and tumble to the ground, if the foundations and corner-stones of it are teeble and

infufficient.

2. It is a very adviseable thing that your primary and fundamental propositions be not only evident and true, but they should be made a little familiar to the mind, by dwelling upon them before you poceed farther. By this means you will gain so full an acquaintance with them, that you may draw consequences from them with much more freedom, with greater variety, brighter evidence, and with a firmer certainty, than if you have but a slight and sudden view of them.

3. As you proceed in the connection of your arguments, see that your ground be made firm in every step. See that every link of your chain of reasoning be strong and good: for if but one link be feeble and doubtful, the whole chain of arguments feels weakness of it, and lies exposed to every objector, and the original question

remains undetermined.

4. Draw up all your propositions and arguments with fo much caution, and express your ideas with such a just

just lin jection as it is tion, o the sen the que cated, o argume and afte full street

PART

your he through and counthis enful.

known
no diffi
fleps to
ble let i
position
ways o
der tha
fo man
teachin
Latin,
ledge o
udime

ng any
deas ar
without
before.
will co

th adge of

7.

1t-

re,

ee

ns

r:

uft

art

nt,

eaf

vell

ons

the

has

om

the

gest

d, if

and

and

true,

und,

By

with

with

evi-

e but

argu.

step.

trong

btful,

, and

just limitation, as may preclude or anticipate any objections. Yet remember this is only to be done as far as it is possible, without too much entangling the question, or introducing complicated ideas, and obscuring the sense. But if such a cautious and limited dress of the question should render the ideas too much complicated, or the sense obscure, then it is better to keep the argument more simple, clear and easy to be understood, and afterwards mention the objections distinctly in their sull strength, and give a distinct answer to them.

II. Rule. Let your method be plain and eafy, so that your hearers or readers, as well as yourself, may run through it without embarrassment, and may take a clear and comprehensive view of the whole scheme. To this end the following particular directions will be useful.

I. Begin always with those things which are best known, and most obvious, whereby the mind may have no difficulty or satigue, and proceed by regular and easy steps to things that are more difficult. And as far as possible let not the understanding, or the proof of any of your positions depend on the positions that follow, but always on those which go before. It is a matter of wonder that in so knowing an age as this, there should be many persons offering violence daily to this rule, by reaching the Latin language by a grammar written in Latin, which method seems to require a persect knowedge of an unknown tongue, in order to learn the first sudiments of it.

2. Do not effect excessive haste in learning or teaching any science, nor hurry at once into the midst of it, est you be too soon involved in several new and strange deas and propositions, which cannot be well understood without a longer and closer attention to those which go refore. Such sort of speech is but a waste of time, and will constrain you to take many steps backward again; you would arrive at a regular and compleat know-adge of the subject.

Cc3

3. Be-

with iuch a just

3. Be not fond of crowding too many thoughts and reasonings into one fentence or paragraph, beyond the apprehension or capacity of your readers or hearers. There are some persons of good genius, and a capacious mind, who write and speak very obscurely upon this account; they effect a long train of dependencies, before they come to a period; they imagine that they can never fill their page with too much sense; but they little think how they bury their own best ideas in the crowd, and render them in a manner invisible and useless to the greatest part of mankind. Such men may be great scholars, yet they are but poor teachers.

4. For the fame reason, avoid too many subdivisions. Contrive your scheme of thoughts in such manner as may finish your whole argument with as few inferior branchings as reason will admit; and let them be such as are obvious and open to the understanding, that they may come within one fingle view of the mind. This will not only affift the understanding to receive, but it will aid the memory also to retain truth: whereas a discourse cut out into a vast multitude of gradual subordinations has many inconveniences in it; it gives pain to the mind and memory, in furveying and retaining the scheme of discourse, and exposes the unskilful hearers to mingle the fuperior and inferior particulars together, it leads them into a thick wood, instead of open daylight, and places them in a labyrinth instead of a plain path.

5. Give all diligence in your younger years to obtain a clear and eafy way of expressing your conceptions, that your words, as fast as you utter them, may stamp your ideas exactly on the mind of the hearer. This is a most happy talent for the conveyance of truth, and an excellent security against mistakes and needless con-

troversies.

III. Rule. Let your method be distinct, and without the perplexing mixture of things that ought to be kept separate, and this will be easily practised by four directions.

1. Do

kept irec-

in your an argutirely to clearer in Logillustra philoso

PART

2. I into it fubject you m thing of judgme when t

Con

in ord

of spiri

courfe,

mafter ceffion, furvey of a ve cated i to us i this, ar

proper

place.
part or
ject.
you fromust r
we won
us into

variety

* T

V.

ind

the

ers.

ous

ac-

ore

can

ttle

wd,

the

reat

ons.

as

rior

hey

his

it it

as a

or-

pain the

s to

day-

olain

otain

ons,

amp

IS IS

and

con-

hout

kept irec-

Do

1. Do not bring unnecessary heterogeneous * matter in your discourse on any subject; that is, do not mingle an argument on one subject with matters that relate entirely to another, but just so far as is necessary to give a clearer knowledge of the subject in hand. Examples in Logic may be borrowed from any of the sciences to illustrate the rules: but long interpositions of natural philosophy, of the imagination and passions, of agency of spirit united to bodies, &c. break the thread of discourse, and perplex the subject.

2. Let every complicated theme or idea be divided into its distinct single parts, as far as the nature of the subject and your present design requires it. Though you must not abound in-needless subdivisions, yet something of this work is very necessary; and it is good judgment alone can dictate how far to proceed in it, and

when to stop.

Compound ideas must be reduced to a simple form, in order to understand them well. You may easily master that subject in all the parts of it by a regular succession, which would consound the understanding to survey them at once. So we come to the knowledge of a very perplexed diagram in geometry, or a complicated machine in mechanics, by having it parcelled out to us into its several parts and principles, according to this, and the foregoing rule of method.

3. Call every idea, proposition and argument to its proper class, and keep each part of the subject in its own place. Put those things all together that belong to one part or property, one consideration or view of your subject. This will prevent needless repetitions, and keep you from intermixing things which are different. We must maintain this distinction of things and places, if we would be safe from error. It is consusion that leads us into endless mistakes, which naturally arise from a variety of ideas ill-joined, sorted, or ill-disposed. It is

one

^{*} Things of one kind are called Homogeneous, things of different kinds are called Heterogeneous.

one great use of method, that multitude of thoughts and propositions may be so distinctly ranged in their proper situations, that the mind may not be overwhelmed with a confused attention to them all at once, nor be distracted with their variety, nor be tempted to unite things which ought to be separated, nor disjoin things which should be united.

4. In the partition of your discourse into distinct heads, take heed that your particulars do not interfere with the general, nor with each other. Think it is not enough that you make use of distinct expressions in each particular, but take care that the ideas be distinct also. It is mere foolery to multiply distinct particulars in treating of things, where the difference of your particulars lie only in names and words.

IV. Rule. The method of treating a subject should be plenary of full, so that nothing may be wanting; nothing which is necessary or proper should be omitted.

When you are called to explain a fubject, do not pass by, nor skip over any thing in it which is very difficult or obscure.

When you enumerate the parts or the properties of any subject, do it in a compleat and comprehensive manner.

When you are afferting or proving any truth, fee that every doubtful or disputable part of the argument be well supported and confirmed.

If you are to illustrate or argue a point of difficulty, be not too scanty of words, but rather become a little copious and diffusive in your language: set the truth before the reader in several lights, turn the various sides of it to view, in order to give a full idea and firm evidence of the proposition.

When you are drawing up a narrative of any matter or fact, see that no important circumstance be omitted.

When you propose the solution of any difficulty, consider all the various cases wherein it can happen, and shew how they may be solved.

In short, let your enumerations, your divisions, and distributions of things be so accurate, that no needful part or idea be left out.

PART I

This thing fine it is the defined ender the defined ender the definition of the convenience of the convenience in the convenience of the convenien

deficier
ty. T
and th
der to
the ch
fome p
due case

different there a foregoi feveral be fo the feverateful

any pa fome le fructo hearers than the

Befi you aff courfe, contract perhap your d

lome i

and

per

rith

ict-

ngs

ich

nct

fere

t is

nct

lars

ar-

uld

ng;

ted.

not

ery

s of

five

hat

be

ilty,

ittle

uth

ides

evi-

tter

ted.

ilty,

and

and

dful

Chis

This fulness of method does not require that every thing should be said which can be said upon any subject; for this would make each single science endless: But you should say every thing which is necessary to the design in view, and which has a proper and direct tendency to this end; always proportioning the amplitude of your matter, and the sulness of your discourse to your great design, to the length of your time, to the convenience, delight and profit of your hearers.

V. Rule. As your method must be full without deficiency, so it must be short, or without superfluity. The sulness of a discourse enlarges our knowledge, and the well-concerted brevity saves our time. In order to observe this rule, it will be enough to point out the chief of those superfluities or redundances, which some persons are guilty of in their discourses, with a due caution against them.

1. Avoid all needless repetitions of the same thing in different parts of your discouse. It must be confessed there are several cases wherein a review of the same foregoing proposition is needful to explain or prove several of the following profitions; but let your method be so contrived, as far as possible, that it may occasion the sewest rehearsals of the same thing; for it is not grateful to the hearers without evident necessity.

2. Have a care of tedious prolixity, or drawing out any part of your discourse to an unnecessary and tire-some length. It is much more honourable for an infructor, an orator, a pleader, or a preacher, that his hearers should say, I was assaid he would have done, than that they should be tempted to shew signs of untassiness, and long for the conclusion.

Besides, there is another incovenience in it; when you affect to amplify on the former branches of a discourse, you will often lay a necessity upon yourself of contracting the latter and most useful parts of it, and perhaps prevent yourself in the most important part of your design. Many a preacher has been guilty of this fault in former days, nor is the present age without some instances of this weakness.

3. Do

3. Do not multiply explications where there is no difficulty, or darkness, or danger of mistake. Be not fond of tracing every word of your theme through all the grammatical, the logical and metaphysical characters and relations of it; nor shew your critical learning in spreading abroad the various senses of a word, and the various origin of those senses, the etymology of terms, the synonymous and the paronymous or kindred names, &c. where the chief point of discourse does not at all require it. You would laugh at a pedant, who professing to explain the Athanasian Creed, should acquaint you, that Athanasius is derived from a Greek word which signifies immortality, and that the same word (Athanasia) signifies also the herb Tansie.

There are some persons so fond of their learned distinctions, that they will shew their subtlety by distinguishing where there is no difference: and the fame filly affectation will introduce diffinctions upon every occurrence, and bring three or four negatives upon every fubject or discourse; first to declare what it is not, and then what it is: whereas fuch negatives ought never to be mentioned where there is no apparent danger of mif-How ridiculous would that writer be, who, if he were speaking of the Nicene Creed, should declare negatively, 1. That he did not mean the doctrine which the inhabitants of Nice believed, nor 2. A creed written by them, but 3. Politively a creed composed by several Christian bishops met together in the city of Nice? The politive is sufficient here, and the two negatives are impertinent.

4. Be not fond of proving those things which need no proof, such as self-evident propositions and truths universally confessed, or such as are entirely agreed to and granted by our opponents. It is this vain affectation of proving every thing that has led geometricians to form useless and intricate demonstrations to support some theorems, which are sufficiently evident to the eye by inspection, or to the mind by the first mention of them; and it is the same humour that reigns sometimes in the pulpit, and spends half the sermon in proving some general truth which is never disputed or

bubted ntertair 5. A

ART I

hey wand a which objust hem:

f meth 6. A fart, a red of f Most nather umvall

a Livo

of the

7. I hought nus to he poir my use heme, ome of place ar our pr main b man, w ney's neighbo e mee trikes ! lower t

ender our kn hand, t

with tr

To

blerve

IV.

no

not

all all

ters

gin

the

ms,

nes,

all

pro-

laint

vord

vord

dif-

ftin-

filly

cur-

very

and

er to

mif-

if he

ne-

hich

itten

veral

lice?

tives

need

ruths

ed to

ecta-

cians

pport

e eye

on of

ome-

prov-

ed or

oubt-

bubted, and thereby robs the auditory of more useful attertainment.

5. As there are some things so evidently true, that hey want no proof, so there are others so evidently life, that they want no refutation. It is mere trisling, and a waste of our precious moments, to invent and raise inch objections as no man would ever make in earnest, and that merely for the sake of answering and solving hem: this breaks in notoriously upon the due brevity of method.

6. Avoid in general all learned forms, all trappings fart, and ceremonies of the schools where there is no seed of them. It is reported concerning the late Czar f Moscovy, that when he first acquainted himself with mathematical learning, he practised all the rules of cirumvallation and contravallation at the siege of a town a Livonia; and by the length of those formalities he

of the opportunity of taking the town.

7. Do not fuffer every occasional and incidental lought to carry you away into a long parenthefis, and hus to stretch out your discourse, and divert you from he point in hand. In the pursuit of your subject, if my useful thought occur which belongs to some other heme, note it down for the fake of your memory on ome other paper, and lay it by in referve for its proper lace and feafon: but let it not incorporate itself with our present theme, nor draw off your mind from your main business, though it thould be ever so inviting. A nan, who walks directly but flowly towards his jourky's end, will arrive thither much fooner than his Righbour, who runs into every crooked turning which meets, and wanders aside to gaze at every thing that tikes his eyes by the way, or to gather every gaudy lower that grows by the fide of the road.

To fum up all; there is an happy medium to be biferved in our method, so that the brevity may not ender the sense obscure, nor the argument seeble, nor are knowledge merely superficial: and on the other and, that the sulness and copiousness of our method may not waste the time, tire the learner, or fill the mind

with trifles and impertinencies.

The

The copious and the contracted way of writing have each their peculiar advantages. There is a proper use to be made of large paraphrases, and full, particular, and diffusive explications and arguments; these are fittest for those who defign to be acquainted thoroughly with every part of the subject. There is also an use of shorter hints, abstracts and compendiums to instruct those who seek only a slight and general knowledge, as well as to refresh the memory of those who have learned the science already, and gone through a larger scheme, But it is a gross abuse of these various methods of instruction, when a person has read a mere compend or epitome of any science, and he vainly imagines that he understands the whole science. So one boy may become a philosopher by reading over the mere dry defitions and divisions of Scheibler's compendium of Peripateticism: so another may boast that he understands Anatomy, because he has seen a skeleton; and a third profess himself a learned divine, when he can repeat the apostles creed.

VI. Rule. Take care that your method be proper to the subject in hand, proper to your present design, as well as proper to the age and place wherein they dwell.

I. Let your method be proper to the subject. All sciences must not be learned or taught in one method. Morality and theology, metaphysics, and logic will not be easily and happily reduced to a strict mathematical method: those who have tried have found much inconvenience therein.

Some things have more need to be explained than to be proved; as axioms or felf-evident propositions; and indeed all the first great principles, the chief and most important doctrines both of natural and revealed religion; for when the sense of them is clearly explained, they appear so evident in the light of nature or scripture, that they want no other proof. There are other things that stand in need of proofs as well as explication, as many mathematical theorems, and several deep controversies in morality, and divinity. There are yet other sorts of subjects which want rather to be warmly impressed upon the mind by servent exhortations.

tions, either plain love to nation

to be

PART

Aga which fome a defign us to more fame things ject, a fiructu

for if views ferent Trinit ture to a treat purfue to infi

prover

are m

duties
In to
dife ru
we diff
pics;
of our
prefent
particu
provid

Yet

aws o

7.

re

to

nd

eft

th

of

ict

as

n-

ne,

in-

or

he

be-

efi-

eri-

nds

hird

the

r to

1, 25

well.

All

hod.

not

itical

con-

than

ions;

and

ealed

olain-

re or

e are

s ex-

everal

There

to be

norta-

tions,

tions, and fland more in need of this than they do either of proof or explication: fuch are the most general, plain and obvious duties of piety towards God, and love towards men, with a government of all our inclinations and passions. Now these several subjects ought to be treated in a different manner and method.

Again, There are some subjects in the same treatise which are more useful and necessary than others, and some parts of a subject which are eminently and chiefly defigned by a writer or speaker: true method will teach us to dwell longer upon these themes, and to lay out more thought and language upon them; whereas the same art of method will teach us to cut short those things which are used only to introduce our main subject, and to fland as a feaffolding merely to aid the structure of our discourse. It will teach us also to content ourselves with brief hints of those matters which are merely occasional and incidental.

2. Your method must be adjusted by your defign; for if you treat of the same subject with two different: views and defigns, you will find it, necessary to use different methods. Suppose the doctrine of the sacred Trinity were your theme, and you were to read a lecture to young students on that subject, or if you designed a treatile for the conviction of learned men, you would purfue a very different method from that which would: be proper to regulate a practical discourse, or a sermon to instruct vulgar Christians merely in the pious improvement of this doctrine, and awaken them to their duties which are derived thence.

In short, we must not first lay down certain and preofe rules of method, and refolve to confine the matter we discourse of to that particular form and order of topics; but we must well consider and study the subject of our discourse thoroughly, and take a just survey of our present design, and these will give sufficient hints of the particular form and order in which we should handle it, provided that we are moderately skilled in the general laws of method and order.

Yet let it be noted here, that neither the subject, nor matter of a discourse, nor the particular design of it, can

Da

fo precifely determine the method, as to leave no room for liberty and variety. The very fame theme may be handled, and that also with the same design, in several different methods, among which it is hard to fay which is the best. In writing a system of divinity, some begin. with the scriptures, and thence deduce all other doctrines and duties. Some begin with the being of God and his attributes, fo far as he is known by the light of nature, and then proceed to the doctrines of revelation. Some distinguish the whole subject into the Credenda and Agenda, that is, things to be believed, and things to be done. Some think it is best to explain the whole Christian religion by an historical detail of all the discoveries which God has made of himself of his lower world, beginning at the creation in the first chapter of Genefis, and fo proceeding onward according to the narrative of the old and new Testament. And thereare others that endeavour to include the whole of religion under these four heads, (viz.) the Apostles. Creed, the Lord's Prayer, the ten Commandments, and the two Sacraments; though I cannot but think this is the least accurate of any. The same variety may be allowed in treating other fubjects; this very treatife or Logic is an instance of it, whose method differs very confiderably from any others which I have feen, as they differ also greatly from one another, though several of them are confessed to be well written.

3. Though a just view of our subject and our defign. may dictate proper rules of natural method, yet there must be some little deference at least paid to the custom of the age wherein we dwell, and to the humour and genius of our readers or hearers, which if we utterly reject and disdain, our personances will fail of desired fuccess, even though we may have followed the just rules of method. I will mention but this one inflance; In the former century it was frequent with learned men to divide their theme or subject into a great multitude of co-ordinate members or parts, they abounded also in the forms of Logic and diffinction, and indulged numerous ranks of subordination. Now though we ought not to abandon the rules of just method and division, in

who h nuch : orms o mul nd ne raders ther n rell as ittle to urfelv s to n or the ady a VII. arts of aree st

PART

order

t all t ards i the v ason as intr 2. L weral b

I. K

lat eve athout nd def louid a er, as thind

ons an nth co er hor e profi

rupt a whes a er fuel akes ar

3 thou me clu V.

m

be

ral

ch

zin.

res

nd

of

on.

nda.

ngs

ole

dif-

wer

of

the

iere-

re-

tles

and

S 15

y be

e or

very

they al of

efign

here:

ftom and

y re-

fired

just

ance;

men itude

lo in

nu-

ought

on, in order

3. Ac-

order to comport with the modify writers in our age who have renounced them: yet it is prudent to pay fo nuch respect to the custom of the age, as to use these orms of division with due moderation, and not effect multiply them in such a manner, as to give an early nd needless digust to the generality of our present aders. The fame may be faid concerning various ther methods of conduct in the affairs of learning, as rell as in the affairs of life, wherein we must indulge a Itle to custom: and yet we must by no means suffer urfelves fo far to be imposed upon and governed by it, to neglect those rules of method, which are necessary or the fafe, eafy and complete inquiry into truth, or the ady and effectual communication of it to others.

VII. Rule. The last requisite of method is, that the ats of a discourse should be well connected; and these

ree short directions will suffice for this purpose.

1. Keep your main end and delign ever in view, and t all the parts of your discourse have a tendency toards it, and as far as possible make that tendency visible the way: otherwife the readers or hearers will have ason to wonder for what end this or that particular as introduced.

2. Let the mutual relation and dependence of the weral branches of your discourse be so just and evident, at every part may naturally lead onward to the next, thout any huge chaims or breaks which interrupt d deform the scheme. The connection of truths build arife and appear in their fuccessive ranks and ort, as the feveral parts of a fine prospect ascend just thind each other, in their natural and regular elevaons and diffances, and invite the eye to climb onward th constant pleasure till it reach the sky. Whatsoer horrid beauty a precipice or a cataract may add to eprospect of a country, yet such fort of hedious and rupt appearances in a fcene of reasoning are real blewhes and not beauties. When the reader is passing er fuch a treatife, he often finds a wide vacancy, and akes an uneafy stop, and knows not how to transport thoughts over to the next particular, for want of me clue or connecting idea to lay hold of. Dd2

3. Acquaint yourself with all the proper and decent forms of transition from one part of a discourse to another, and practise them as occasion offers. Where the ideas, propositions and arguments, are happily disposed, and well connected, the truth indeed is secure; but it renders the discourse much more agreeable, when proper and graceful expression joins the parts of it together in so entertaining a manner, that the reader knows not how to leave off till he hath arrived at the end.

These are the general and most important rules of true method; and though they belong chiefly to the communication of knowledge, yet an early and thorough acquaintance with them will be of considerable use to-

wards the pursuit and attainment of it.

Those persons who have never any occasion to communicate knowledge by writing or by public discourses, may also with great advantage presue these rules of method, that they may learn to judge with justice and accuracy concerning the performances of others. And besides, a good acquaintance with method, will greatly assist every one in ranging, disposing and managing all human affairs.

The particular means or methods for a farther improvement of the understanding are very various, such as, meditation, reading, conversing, disputing by speech or by writing, question and answer, &c. And in each of these practices some special forms may be observed, and special rules may be given to facilitate and secure our inquiries after truth: but this would require a little volume by itself, and a treatise of Logic has always been esteemed sufficiently complete without it.



IV.

ecent o anre the pofed, out it

proether s not

les of the ough fe to-

comurfes, f med ac-And

And reatly ng all

er im-, fuch peech ach of d, and re our little s been

1